

Governing Body Meeting in Public Agenda

Date: Wednesday 7th March 2018, 13:00 hrs to 15:10 hrs

Venue: Family Life Centre, Southport, PR8 6JH

13:00 hrs Members of the public may highlight any particular areas of concern/interest and address questions to Governing Body members. If you wish, you may present your question in writing beforehand to the Chair.

13:15 hrs Formal meeting of the Governing Body in Public commences. Members of the public may stay and observe this part of the meeting.

The Governing Body Members

Dr Rob Caudwell	Chair & Clinical Director	RC
Dr Kati Scholtz	Clinical Vice Chair & Clinical Director	KS
Helen Nichols	Deputy Chair & Lay Member for Governance	HN
Matthew Ashton	Director of Public Health, Sefton MBC <i>(co-opted member)</i>	MA
Gill Brown	Lay Member for Patient & Public Engagement	GB
Dr Doug Callow	GP Clinical Director	DC
Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse & Quality Officer	DCF
Dwayne Johnson	Director of Social Services & Health, Sefton MBC <i>(co-opted member)</i>	DJ
Maureen Kelly	Chair, Healthwatch <i>(co-opted Member)</i>	MK
Susan Lowe	Practice Manager	SL
Martin McDowell	Chief Finance Officer	MMcD
Dr Hilal Mulla	GP Clinical Director	HM
Dr Tim Quinlan	GP Clinical Director	TQ
Colette Riley	Practice Manager	CR
Dr Jeff Simmonds	Secondary Care Doctor	JS
Fiona Taylor	Chief Officer	FLT

In Attendance

Debbie Fairclough	Chief Operating Officer	DFair
Margaret Jones	Public Health Consultant, Sefton MBC	MJ
Jan Leonard	Director of Redesign and Commissioning Officer	JL
Karl McCluskey	Director of Strategy & Outcomes Officer	KMcC
Judy Graves	<i>Minutes</i>	

Quorum: 65% of the Governing Body membership and no business to be transacted unless 5 members present including (a) at least one lay member (b) either Chief Officer/Chief Finance Officer (c) at least three clinicians (3.7 Southport & Formby CCG Constitution).

No	Item	Lead	Report/ Verbal	Receive/ Approve/ Ratify	Time
General					13:15hrs
GB18/41	Apologies for Absence	Chair	Verbal	Receive	2 mins
GB18/42	Declarations of Interest	Chair	Verbal	Receive	3 mins
GB18/43	Minutes of Previous Meeting held on 7 th February 2018	Chair	Report	Approve	5 mins

No	Item	Lead	Report/ Verbal	Receive/ Approve/ Ratify	Time
GB18/44	Action Points from Previous Meeting held on 7 th February 2018	Chair	Report	Approve	5 mins
GB18/45	Business Update	Chair	Verbal	Receive	5 mins
GB18/46	Chief Officer Report	FLT	Report	Receive	10 mins
Finance and Quality Performance					
GB18/47	Quality, Innovation, Productivity and Prevention (QIPP) Plan and Progress Report	MMcD	Report	Receive	10 mins
GB18/48	Integrated Performance Report	KMcC/ MMcD/DCF	Report	Receive	30 mins
GB18/49	NWAS Performance Briefing	FLT/KMcC	Report	Receive	5 mins
Governance					
GB18/50	Refreshed Communications and Engagement Strategy	LC	Report	Approve	5 mins
GB18/51	Annual Accounts Process 2017/18 - Governing Body Member's Declaration	MMcD	Report	Receive	5 mins
Service Improvement/Strategic Delivery					
GB18/52	Planning Guidance 2018/2019	KMcC	Presentation	Receive	15 mins
For Information					
GB18/53	Key Issues Reports: a) Quality Committee: October and November 2017 b) Joint Commissioning Committee: December 2017	Chair	Report	Receive	5 mins
GB18/54	Joint Quality Committee Approved Minutes: October and November 2017		Report	Receive	
GB18/55	Joint Commissioning Committee Approved Minutes: December 2017		Report	Receive	
GB18/56	CIC Realigning Hospital Based Care: November 2017		Report	Receive	
GB18/57	Any Other Business <i>Matters previously notified to the Chair no less than 48 hours prior to the meeting</i>				10 mins

No	Item	Lead	Report/ Verbal	Receive/ Approve/ Ratify	Time
GB18/58	<p>Date of Next Meeting</p> <p>Wednesday 2nd May 2018, 13:00hrs at the Family Life Centre, Southport, PR8 6JH</p> <p><u>Future Meetings:</u> The Governing Body meetings are held on the first Wednesday of the month. Dates for 2018/19 are as follows:</p> <p>4th July 2018 5th September 2018 7th November 2018 6th February 2019 3rd April 2019 5th June 2019 4th September 2019</p> <p>All PTI public meetings will commence at 13:00hrs and be held in the Family Life Centre, Southport PR8 6JH.</p>				-
Estimated meeting close					15:10 hrs

Motion to Exclude the Public:

Representatives of the Press and other members of the Public to be excluded from the remainder of this meeting, having regard to the confidential nature of the business to be transacted, publicity on which would be prejudicial to the public interest, (Section 1{2} Public Bodies (Admissions to Meetings), Act 1960)

Governing Body Meeting in Public DRAFT Minutes

Date: Wednesday 7th February 2018, 13:00hrs to 15:30hrs
Venue: Family Life Centre, Ash Street, Southport, PR8 6JH

The Governing Body Members

Dr Rob Caudwell	Chair & Clinical Director	RC
Dr Kati Scholtz	Clinical Vice Chair & Clinical Director	KS
Helen Nichols	Deputy Chair & Lay Member for Governance	HN
Matthew Ashton	Director of Public Health, Sefton MBC (<i>co-opted member</i>)	MA
Dr Doug Callow	GP Clinical Director	DC
Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse & Quality Officer	DCF
Maureen Kelly	Chair, Healthwatch (<i>co-opted Member</i>)	MK
Susan Lowe	Practice Manager	SL
Martin McDowell	Chief Finance Officer	MMcD
Dr Hilal Mulla	GP Clinical Director	HM
Dr Tim Quinlan	GP Clinical Director	TQ
Colette Riley	Practice Manager	CR
Fiona Taylor	Chief Officer	FLT

In Attendance

Lyn Cooke	Head of Comms and Engagement	LC
Billie Dodd	Head of Commissioning	BD
Debbie Fairclough	Chief Operating Officer	DFair
Jan Leonard	Director of Redesign and Commissioning Officer	JL
Karl McCluskey	Director of Strategy & Outcomes Officer	KMcC
Andy Woods	Senior Governance Manager	AW

Attendance Tracker

✓ = Present A = Apologies N = Non-attendance

Name	Governing Body Membership	Jan 17	Mar 17	May 17	July 17	Sept 17	Nov 17	Feb 18
Dr Rob Caudwell	Chair & Clinical Director	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	✓
Helen Nichols	Vice Chair & Lay Member for Governance	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Dr Kati Scholtz	Clinical Vice Chair (May 17) and GP Clinical Director	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	✓	✓
Dr Niall Leonard	Clinical Vice Chair & Clinical Director	✓	✓					
Matthew Ashton (<i>or Deputy</i>)	Director of Public Health, Sefton MBC (<i>co-opted member</i>)	✓	✓	A	✓	A	A	A
Dr Emily Ball	GP Clinical Director	✓	✓					
Gill Brown	Lay Member for Patient & Public Engagement	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	A
Dr Doug Callow	GP Clinical Director	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse & Quality Officer	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Dwayne Johnson	Director of Social Service & Health, Sefton MBC	✓	A	A	A	✓	✓	A
Maureen Kelly	Chair, Healthwatch (<i>co-opted Member</i>)	✓	A	✓	✓	✓	A	✓
Susan Lowe	Practice Manager			✓	✓	A	✓	✓
Martin McDowell	Chief Finance Officer	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Dr Hilal Mulla	GP Clinical Director	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Dr Tim Quinlan	GP Clinical Director				A	✓	✓	✓
Colette Riley	Practice Manager	A	✓	✓	✓	✓	A	✓
Dr Jeff Simmonds	Secondary Care Doctor	✓	✓	A	A	✓	✓	A
Fiona Taylor	Chief Officer	✓	A	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

No	Item	Action
Questions	<p>Questions from the Public</p> <p>1. I understand Liverpool City Council has been in preliminary discussions about setting up a body which may variously be called an accountable care system or integrated care system or some such name. Is this CCG and other interested bodies in any similar sort of discussions. If not are they envisaged. If so which other bodies are involved and how far along are the discussions.</p> <p>FLT provided an overview of accountable care systems. Accountable care systems are set out in the main NHS policy, the Five Year Forward View. As there will be no changes to the statutory functions of CCGs, local authorities and providers across all sectors, accountable care systems (now referred to as integrated care systems) are models of care created by providers working in a more integrated way. The fundamental principle is that care is provided in the “place”, and for our CCG, that “place” is Sefton. Providers such as GP practices, social services, community services and the voluntary sector will work very close together to make sure community based services are delivered in an integrated way for our populations, with seamless pathways of care. Our CCG does not have any plans to set up any new bodies, but as commissioners we support our providers in working much more closely together.</p>	
GB18/1	<p>Apologies for Absence</p> <p>Apologies were given on behalf of Gill Brown, Dwayne Johnson, Margaret Jones and Dr Jeff Simmonds.</p>	
GB18/2	<p>Declarations of Interest</p> <p>Those holding dual roles across both Southport & Formby CCG and South Sefton CCG declared their interest; Fiona Taylor, Debbie Fagan and Martin McDowell. It was noted that these interests did not constitute any material conflict of interest with items on the agenda.</p>	
GB18/3	<p>Minutes of Previous Meeting: 1st November 2017</p> <p>The draft minutes of the meeting held 1st November 2017 were approved as a true and accurate record.</p>	
GB18/4	<p>Action Points from Previous Meeting: 1st November 2017</p> <p>The action points from 1st November 2017 were confirmed as closed.</p>	
GB18/5	<p>Business Update</p> <p>RC was pleased to report that the CCG had performed well in terms of QIPP delivery confirming that the CCG had delivered the highest proportion of QIPP efficiencies within Cheshire and Merseyside. Whilst it was fully recognised that the CCG was still facing a significant financial challenge, this strong track record of delivery should place the CCG in a fair position for 2018/19.</p> <p>Members were also advised that the CCG had received a letter from the Secretary of State, Jeremy Hunt congratulating the CCG on the significant improvement in respect of the Cancer 62 day waiting time target. The CCG had faced challenges earlier in the year but had made substantial improvement in a short amount of time. Thanks were offered to those involved in the efforts to improve performance.</p>	

No	Item	Action
GB18/6	<p>Chief Officer Report</p> <p>The Governing Body received the Chief Officer report. QIPP and financial recovery remains a key priority for the CCG and all staff and the executive team continue to focus their efforts on delivery. The CCG has now introduced a “check and challenge” approach to provide further scrutiny of schemes and identify any risks to delivery at an early stage.</p> <p>FLT advised that CCG continues to work on key programmes of work with Sefton Local Authority and in particular members were asked to note that the SEND Action Plan had been submitted and approved, meaning the surveillance level had now reduced which offered assurances that the arrangements in place were robust. The Better Care Fund has been authorised and the jointly developed Intermediate Care Reablement Service (ICRAS) model was now being implemented which will provide excellent support for some of our most vulnerable patients.</p> <p>It was reported that the CCG and LA had worked closely with Southport and Ormskirk Trust during the winter period offering on site support during periods when the system was under increased pressure. FLT wished to formally acknowledge the excellent work of the dedicated front line staff that went above and beyond what was expected to ensure patients received high quality care.</p> <p>MMcD provided an update on the item relating to ETTF bids. Members were advised that this is a process that is overseen by NHSE, and they had received a number of submissions. The process is quite complex but very robust to ensure that funding can be maximised. There was not substantive update at this stage, but members will continue to be updated on progress.</p> <p>The Governing Body were also provided with updates on the Aintree University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust merger with the Royal Liverpool Hospitals, matters relating to NHS Southport and Ormskirk Hospitals and other key programmes of work.</p> <p>RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the report.</p>	
GB18/7	<p>Quality, Innovation, Productivity and Prevention (QIPP) Plan and Progress Report</p> <p>MMcD presented the QIPP dashboards that showed the CCGs performance to date in respect of the QIPP plan. The opening plan was to deliver efficiencies of £10.1m by year end with an expectation that £7m would have been achieved at month 9, but only £4.6m had been delivered at that point. MMcD reported that the medicines optimisation schemes are performing well and the Care at the Chemist Scheme still continued to receive a high number of contacts even though it is now delivered by few pharmacies. The repeat ordering prescribing scheme had been well implemented reducing the risk of patient harm as a result of unnecessary over supply and preventing expenditure on items that would go to waste. Across planned care the Musculoskeletal Clinical Assessment Service (MCAS) was demonstrably improving patient outcomes and the Cardiology Pilot had been successful in preventing unnecessary referrals into secondary care with patients now being seen and treated on the same day.</p> <p>Members were advised that it is likely that an additional £1.9m is likely to be delivered over the latter stages of the year meaning that the CCG will not be able to deliver its forecast position. The QIPP Programme Lead and key members of staff are in the process of finalising the 2018/19 QIPP plan which will be reflective of the NHSE/NHSI Planning Guidance issued on 2nd February.</p> <p>RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the report.</p>	

No	Item	Action
GB18/8	<p>Integrated Performance Report</p> <p>The Governing Body and the public were presented with a report which provided summary information on the activity and the quality performance of Southport and Formby CCG. KMcC presented the report highlighting key areas by exception and responded to queries arising from members. Members were advised that the format of the report is currently being reviewed so that a more streamlined, simple report would be available at future meetings. However, the full detailed report will also be available and will be published on the CCG's website.</p> <p>Planned Care</p> <p>The CCG is performing well in terms of RTT, cancer, out-patient attendances and referrals overall. Internal consultant to consultant referrals had increased compared to the same period last year and the Strategy and Outcomes team are reviewing the causes of this. Good progress is being made in terms of the mandated requirement to implement e-referrals with a current compliance rate of 48% with plans to be 100% compliant in S&O by April 2018.</p> <p>The diagnostic target remains a challenge at S&O particularly in respect of the levels of demand for gastro diagnostics.</p> <p>The diagnostic target remains a challenge at S&O particularly in respect of the levels of demand for gastro diagnostics. It was noted that additional support had been secured and therefore improvements are expected in this area. TQ queried if the issues related to capacity within providers or an unusual increase in demand. KMcC advised that there is a system wide piece of work underway, involving clinical leads to look at this in more detail.</p> <p>In terms of cancer targets, the CCG had achieved the target of 93% in November for patients urgently referred with breast symptoms, with a performance of 100% but continues to fail year to date with 92.58% due to previous months breaches. In November there were a total of 46 patients and no patient breaches.</p> <p>A Protected Learning Time event was held with General Practice staff in November 2017. This session included advice on how best to manage symptomatic breast patients and the importance of patients understanding the timescale for breast appointments and the need to be available. It is hoped this will reduce demand for these services and ensure patients who are referred are less likely to reject appointment offers or cancel appointments.</p> <p>FLT reminded members that there is a requirement for referrals to all specialities to be 100% paperless and there are still some issues to resolve. DC sought clarity on the engagement of providers in respect of this requirement and RC offered assurances, that having been engaged in discussions with the Trust on this issue, he was confident they are committed to implementing e-referral.</p> <p>ACTION: KMcC to provide a progress update on e-referral compliance to Leadership Team meeting in February setting out the timeline for implementation.</p> <p>FLT referred to page 53 of report that set out RTT performance noting that it did deteriorate during the period. Members were reminded that when profiling activity seasonal pressures and variations are factored in in terms of elective and non-elective activity.</p> <p>HN raised a query in respect of target compliance in general and the differences in CCG and provider requirements. KMcC explained that the CCG has responsibility for population level targets (across all providers) whereas providers are responsible for delivery of the own performance targets.</p>	KMcC

No	Item	Action
	<p>Unplanned Care</p> <p>KMcC iterated comments made previously in acknowledging the excellent work of front line staff at the hospital and CCG staff, in dealing with exceptional winter pressures. This acknowledgement was extended to the discharge planning team at Lancashire Care that went to extraordinary lengths to offer support.</p> <p>Members received an overview of unplanned care performance noting that overall performance was 80.71% in terms of 111, NWAS and out of hours, the number of calls from Southport and Formby patients to the GP OOH service had fallen in for the third consecutive month in November with 801 calls. When compared to the first 8 months of the previous financial year, there have been 505, 6.5%, fewer contacts so far in 2017/18.</p> <p>GP OOH calls from nursing homes within Southport and Formby have reduced slightly in November to 88, however this is still a higher number than the average. There have been 142 more calls in the first 8 month of 2017/18 than in the same period in 2016/17, an increase of 28.7%. Overall, the calls to the GTD Out of Hour GP service are increasing for nursing homes, but reducing in other calls.</p> <p>Changes have been made to the way in which delayed transfers of care (DTCOs) are being measured and the leadership team continue to review data on a weekly basis with a view to identifying any high risk areas requiring support or intervention.</p> <p>FLT was pleased to report that over the past two months, providers had collaborated well and effectively to support patients and ensure they were being seen, treated and cared for in the most appropriate place. Thanks were offered to the CCGs Chief Nurse and TQ, Clinical Director for Urgent Care that had provided intensive support throughout the winter period.</p> <p>Mental Health</p> <p>The Trust failed to meet the 7 day target for 1 of 10 patients in terms of follow up, however a review of the case demonstrated that this was due to the fact the patient had moved out the area. The CCG continues to perform well in terms of compliance with the dementia diagnosis indicator. MC asked if data was available in respect of diagnosis in under 65s. FLT advised that the data is not currently collected in that way but would liaise with the business intelligence team at a future date.</p> <p>The governing body were advised that as part of the strategy to deal with winter pressures there was flexibility of the mixed sex accommodation target as it was agreed quality and safety of patients during times of extraordinary pressure should take precedent.</p> <p>FLT referred members to page 67 of the report that detailed the CQC inspection table and commended the Christina Harley and the Lincoln House practice on improving their ratings from previous periods.</p> <p>RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the reports</p> <p>Finance Report</p> <p>MMCD presented the report. The agreed financial plan for 2017/18 requires the CCG to break even in year, whilst the cumulative CCG position is a deficit of £6.695m which incorporates the historic deficit brought forward from the previous financial year. Members noted that the cumulative deficit will be addressed as part of the CCG longer term recovery plan and will need to be repaid with planned</p>	

No	Item	Action
	<p>surpluses in future financial years.</p> <p>MMcD advised that the risk adjusted position had been revised to reflect known changes since 31 December 2017 following intensive review and scrutiny of the Month 9 position with particular focus on realisation of risks and assurance for delivery of QIPP savings for the remainder of the financial year.</p> <p>It was noted that the QIPP savings requirement, assessed at the start of the year was to deliver the agreed financial plan is £10.137m. Work remains ongoing to develop a fully identified plan to achieve the required efficiencies to deliver the financial target. As at month 9, £4.676m of QIPP savings have been achieved with further savings planned in future months. The likely case is that a further £1.964m will be achieved in the remainder of the financial year, bringing the total achievement to £6.640m.</p> <p>The year to date position with the main providers shows an underperformance against plan and will result in an underspend for the financial year if the trend continues. The year to date underperformance has been actioned as a QIPP saving in Month 9 and the position is being monitored closely to inform the CCG's forecast for the year end.</p> <p>The year to date financial position is a deficit of £2.200m, which represents deterioration against the planned deficit of £0.200m. The full year forecast financial position for the CCG's best case is breakeven. This position assumes that the QIPP plans will be delivered in full, but it must be noted that significant risk exists in terms of delivering these plans. The CCG's most likely case scenario forecasts a deficit of £. £3.809m and as we enter the final quarter of the year, it is unlikely that the CCG will deliver its plan.</p> <p>RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the report</p>	
GB18/9	<p>Improvement Assessment Framework (IAF)</p> <p>KMcK presented the Governing Body with an overview of the 2017/18 IAF and a summary of performance during Q1. The report set out the reasons for any underperformance, the actions being taken to improve performance and the expected date of improvement.</p> <p>RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the report.</p>	
GB18/10	<p>Governing Body Assurance Framework, Corporate Risk Register and Heat Map</p> <p>DFair presented the GBAF and CRR that has been reviewed and scrutinised by the Audit Committees in Common in January. The Governing Body were advised that Risk SF043 had been mitigated and reduced since submission to the Audit Committees in Common as new posts had been created in the quality team and recruitment was underway. The risks associated with delivery of the CCG's statutory financial duties remain at 20 as the CCG is unlikely to achieve its statutory break even position. There are number of quality issues that remain at high risk and the Director of Commissioning and Re-design and the Chief Nurse continue to liaise with local providers to address and mitigate those risks.</p> <p>RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the GBAF and CRR and noted the changes to the scoring of SF043.</p>	
GB18/11	<p>Register of Interests: December 2017</p> <p>DFair presented the CCGs Register of Interests that had been updated following</p>	

No	Item	Action
	<p>the implementation of the revised Conflict of Interest Policy in July 2017. Members were advised that the register is updated on a 3 monthly basis, as required in the guidance. HN, Chair of the Audit Committee did advise that there appeared to be some interests missing from the register and requested that DFair liaise with Governing Body members to make sure their most recent declarations had been included.</p> <p>ACTION: DFair to review existing entries and update as required.</p> <p>RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the Register of Interest</p>	DFair
GB18/12	<p>Joint Committee Terms of Reference</p> <p>FLT presented the draft proposed Joint Committee Terms of Reference for review and comment by members. The proposed committee would comprise representatives from NHS South Sefton CCG, NHS Southport and Formby CCG, NHS Knowsley CCG and Liverpool CCG and would preside over key programmes of work as determined by the respective CCGs membership and Governing Bodies. It is likely that the first area of focus would be on hospital reconfiguration, should all parties agree that that is appropriate. Members considered the terms of reference and concurred that it would be helpful to have further discussions with the membership before making a final decision.</p> <p>RESOLUTION: Joint Committee Terms of Reference to be discussed further with the membership.</p>	
GB18/13	<p>Disinvestment Policy & Procedure (Cessation and Significant Reduction of Services)</p> <p>AW presented the updated policy. The policy had previously been approved in November 2016 and as part of the annual review of the policy some changes had been made. The original section six of the policy entitled 'Disinvestment: Stages and Flow Charts' has been removed and relevant sections have been incorporated into section five of the policy. Many of the points contained within the original section were no longer relevant as the CCG has reviewed all areas of spend across the CCG. The policy will continue to ensure the CCG's decision making process operates within its legal requirements and support the CCG to demonstrate that it is making the most effective use of public money to meet the needs of its population.</p> <p>RESOLUTION: The Governing Body approved the policy</p>	
GB18/14	<p>Equality and Diversity Annual Report 2017</p> <p>AW presented the governing body with the annual Equality and Diversity Report 2017 which demonstrates how the CCG has paid 'due regard' to the Public Sector Equality Duty and aims to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity and foster good community relations. Its publication will meet the specific equality duty requiring all public sector organisations to publish their equality information annually and set Equality Objectives.</p> <p>RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the report.</p>	
GB18/15	<p>Commissioning Policies (PLCV; Cataract)</p> <p>JL presented the revised policies explaining that the CCG has been working collaboratively with other local CCG's to review the current Commissioning Policies. Members received the final polcies, along with the relevant equality</p>	

No	Item	Action
	impact assessments. RESOLUTION: The Governing Body approved the policies.	
GB18/16	Key Issues Reports: a) Finance & Resource (F&R) Committee: October & November 2017 b) Quality Committee: September 2017 c) Audit Committee: October 2017 d) Joint Commissioning Committee: December 2017 e) Locality Meetings: Q3 2017/18 RESOLUTION: The governing body received the key issues reports	
GB18/17	Finance and Resources Committee Approved Minutes: October & November 2017 RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the approved minutes.	
GB18/18	Joint Quality Committee Approved Minutes: September 2017 RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the approved minutes.	
GB18/19	Audit Committee Approved Minutes: October 2017 RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the approved minutes.	
GB18/20	Joint Commissioning Committee Approved Minutes: October 2017 RESOLUTION: The Governing Body received the approved minutes.	
GB18/21	CIC Realigning Hospital Based Care Key Issues – None	
GB18/22	Any Other Business There were no items of any other business.	
GB18/23	Date and Time of Next Meeting Wednesday 7 th March 2018, 13:00hrs at the Family Life Centre, Southport, PR8 6JH	
Meeting concluded		15:30hrs
Meeting concluded with a motion to exclude the public: Motion to Exclude the Public: Representatives of the Press and other members of the Public to be excluded from the remainder of this meeting, having regard to the confidential nature of the business to be transacted, publicity on which would be prejudicial to the public interest, (Section 1{2} Public Bodies (Admissions to Meetings), Act 1960)		

Governing Body Meeting in Public Action Points

Date: Wednesday 7th February 2018

No	Item	Action
GB18/1	<p>Integrated Performance Report</p> <p>Planned Care</p> <p>FLT reminded members that there is a requirement for referrals to all specialities to be 100% paperless and there are still some issues to resolve. DC sought clarity on the engagement of providers in respect of this requirement and RC offered assurances, that having been engaged in discussions with the Trust on this issue, he was confident they are committed to implementing e-referral.</p> <p>ACTION: KMcC to provide a progress update on e-referral compliance to Leadership Team meeting in February setting out the timeline for implementation.</p>	KMcC
GB18/2	<p>Register of Interests: December 2017</p> <p>HN, Chair of the Audit Committee did advise that there appeared to be some interests missing from the register and requested that DFair liaise with Governing Body members to make sure their most recent declarations had been included.</p> <p>ACTION: DFair to review existing entries and update as required.</p>	DFair

MEETING OF THE GOVERNING BODY MARCH 2018

Agenda Item: 18/46	Author of the Paper: Fiona Taylor Chief Officer						
Report date: March 2018	Email: fiona.taylor@southseftonccg.nhs.uk Tel: 01704 38 7012						
Title: Chief Officer Report							
Summary/Key Issues: This paper presents the Governing Body with the Chief Officer's monthly update.							
Recommendation The Governing Body is asked to receive this report.	<table style="float: right;"> <tr><td>Receive</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td></tr> <tr><td>Approve</td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td></tr> <tr><td>Ratify</td><td><input type="checkbox"/></td></tr> </table>	Receive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Approve	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ratify	<input type="checkbox"/>
Receive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
Approve	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Ratify	<input type="checkbox"/>						

Links to Corporate Objectives <i>(x those that apply)</i>	
X	To focus on the identification of QIPP (Quality, Improvement, Productivity & Prevention) schemes and the implementation and delivery of these to achieve the CCG QIPP target.
X	To progress Shaping Sefton as the strategic plan for the CCG, in line with the NHSE planning requirements set out in the "Forward View", underpinned by transformation through the agreed strategic blueprints and programmes and as part of the North Mersey LDS.
X	To ensure that the CCG maintains and manages performance & quality across the mandated constitutional measures.
X	To support Primary Care Development through the development of an enhanced model of care and supporting estates strategy, underpinned by a complementary primary care quality contract.
X	To advance integration of in-hospital and community services in support of the CCG locality model of care.
X	To advance the integration of Health and Social Care through collaborative working with Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council, supported by the Health and Wellbeing Board.

Process	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Detail (<i>x those that apply</i>)
Patient and Public Engagement			x	
Clinical Engagement			x	
Equality Impact Assessment			x	
Legal Advice Sought			x	
Resource Implications Considered			x	
Locality Engagement			x	
Presented to other Committees			x	

Links to National Outcomes Framework (<i>x those that apply</i>)	
x	Preventing people from dying prematurely
x	Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions
x	Helping people to recover from episodes of ill health or following injury
x	Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care
x	Treating and caring for people in a safe environment and protecting them from avoidable harm

Report to Governing Body March 2018

To focus on the identification of QIPP (Quality, Improvement, Productivity & Prevention) schemes and the implementation and delivery of these to achieve the CCG QIPP target.

1. QIPP Update

Delivery of the CCG's QIPP challenge remains a key priority for the CCG and staff are continuing to focus their efforts on implementation of schemes and identifying new opportunities.

During February members of the Leadership Team presided led the second in a series of "check and challenge" sessions that enabled in depth scrutiny of QIPP schemes and anticipated spend in respect of medicines optimisation, planned care, urgent care. Whilst good progress has been made it is essential that every effort continues to be made to release efficiencies whilst maintaining the quality of the services we commission.

The QIPP savings requirement, assessed at the start of the year to deliver the agreed financial plan is £10.137m. Work remains ongoing to develop a fully identified plan to achieve the required efficiencies to deliver the financial target. As at month 9, £4676m QIPP savings have been achieved with further savings planned in future months

On 2nd February NHSE and NHSI published the planning guidance for 2018/19, and on 5th February the CCG received notification of its control total for the coming year. The leadership team and relevant leads are now in the process of assessing the impact of that on any QIPP schemes and developing an updated QIPP plan for 2018/19.

The Chief Finance Officer will provide a full overview of the financial position as part of the Integrated Performance Report discussions.

2. Planning Guidance 2018/19

On 2nd February 2018, NHS England and NHS Improvement published planning guidance¹ that requires commissioners and providers to refresh the existing two year operational plans. The CCG's Executive Team and relevant leads are now working through the guidance to apply the activity and financial assumptions to facilitate the refresh. The draft plan will be submitted to NHS England (Cheshire and Merseyside) by 2nd March, with a final submission being made by 30th April following approval by the Governing Body.

The key headlines for commissioners are as follows:

- Resources available to CCGs will be increased reflecting realistic levels of emergency activity, additional elective activity to tackle waiting lists, universal adherence to the Mental Health Investment Standard and a commitment to reaching standards set for cancer services and primary care.
- Creation of a new Commissioner Sustainability Fund (CSF) to enable CCGs to return to in year financial balance

¹ Available at <https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/refreshing-nhs-plans-for-2018-19/>

- The two year tariff remains in place
- Improvements are expected in A&E performance, Delayed Transfers of Care (DTOC), Referral to Treatment (RTT) targets as well as ensuring compliance with the Mental Health Investment Standard (MHIS) and Cancer waiting time standards.
- Further guidance in respect of Commissioning for Quality and Innovation (CQUIN) and the Quality Premium is due to be published soon.

To progress Shaping Sefton as the strategic plan for the CCG, in line with the NHSE planning requirements set out in the 'Forward View', underpinned by transformation through the agreed strategic blueprints and programmes as part of the North Mersey LDS.

3. Sefton Place Based-Care closer to home

The first workshop took place on 31st January and was well attended by stakeholders. The session was focussed on developing a vision for local out of hospital services. The outputs of the workshop are being collated and will be discussed in more detail with the strategic lead for this programme area.

Any integrated model will be based around the CCG's Shaping Sefton Strategy and focussed on improving health and well-being outcomes for the populations we serve.

To ensure that the CCG maintains and manages performance and quality across the mandated constitutional measures.

4. Kirkup Review of Liverpool Community Health

The Report of the Liverpool Community Health Independent Review undertaken by Dr. Bill Kirkup was published on 8th February 2018. Although NHS Southport and Formby CCG is not referred to in the report as they were not a main commissioner of services, the role of other local CCGs and regulators are reflected in the report. The CCG's Quality Team are in the process of reviewing the report and reflecting on the recommendations so that the Governing Body can consider relevant lessons and continue to ensure our community services offer exemplar standards of care for your patients. The link to the report is as follows: <https://improvement.nhs.uk/news-alerts/independent-review-liverpool-community-health-nhs-trust-published/>

5. Provider Cost Improvement Plans

The CCGs are working collaboratively with Liverpool CCG (LCCG) to introduce a new Cost Improvement Plan (CIP) process which is based on the "Star Chamber" model. This is to ensure that we standardise our process for reviewing CIPs to better enable a consistent and systematic approach to evaluation of impact and also fairness within the process. At the day scheduled for 12th March 2018, commissioners will review progress against 2017/18 CIP plans and review planned CIPs for 2018/19.

There have been discussions with WLCCG regarding presentation by Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust and it has been agreed that the Trust be invited to attend the event with other providers on 12th March 2018

To support Primary Care development through the development of an enhanced model of care and supporting estates strategy, underpinned by a complementary primary care quality contract.

6. Primary Care Co-Commissioning

Primary care co-commissioning is part of the [NHS Five Year Forward View](#). It gives Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) an opportunity to take on greater responsibility for general practice commissioning. It was introduced in 2014/15 to support the development of integrated out-of-hospital services, based around the needs of local people.

Three models of commissioning were introduced

1. Greater involvement – an invitation to CCGs to work more closely with their local NHS England teams in decisions about primary care services
2. Joint commissioning – enables one or more CCGs to jointly commission general practice services with NHS England through a joint committee
3. Delegated commissioning – an opportunity for CCGs to take on full responsibility for the commissioning of general practice services

The CCG is currently operating at level 2, as joint commissioners of general practice with NHSE, however we feel it is time to review this arrangement with a view to move to level 3 and become a fully delegated commissioners.

The CCG's Constitution does include a provision to enable this to happen, but it will require a further application to NHS England to approve the delegation of this responsibility to the CCG. The early benefits of delegated commissioning have been reported as:-

- The development of clearer, more joined up vision for primary care, aligned to wider CCG and health economy plans for improving health services
- Improved access to primary care
- Improved quality of care being delivered to patients
- Improved CCG relationships with member practices, including greater local ownership of the development of primary care services
- Increased clinical leadership in primary care commissioning, enabling more local decision making
- Greater involvement of patients in shaping services
- A more sustainable primary care system for the future

We will be writing out to the CCG member practices asking them to vote in favour of taking the application forward, with a view to becoming delegated during 2018/19

7. Items which should not be routinely prescribed in primary care

NHS England has partnered with NHS Clinical Commissioners (NHSCC) to support CCGs in ensuring they can use their prescribing resources effectively and deliver best patient outcomes from the medicines that their local population uses. National guidance on medicines which should

no longer be routinely prescribed in primary care has been published to ensure people receive the safest and most effective treatment available, aiming to save the NHS up to £141m a year².

The CCG's Medicines Management Team is now considering how to implement the national guidance, with due regard to our local circumstances.

To advance integration of in-hospital and community services in support of the CCG locality model of care.

Work to update specialist children's audiology facilities and equipment at Southport Centre for Health and Wellbeing is taking much longer than anticipated. This means the paediatric audiology service is not expected to restart at the centre until June 2018, rather than March as originally expected. Alder Hey Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust will be taking on the full running of the service for young Southport and Formby residents when it moves back to Southport Centre for Health and Wellbeing.

In the meantime, Alder Hey is working together with Southport & Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust to continue to triage and treat children according to priority medical need. We expect Alder Hey's future full management of this service to lead to improvements for our patients. The trust will be able to integrate community audiology with the other specialist paediatric services it operates, such as speech and language, physiotherapy and occupational therapy, with the aim of improving the care and experience of our young patients. This approach is in line with Shaping Sefton programme, to create more joined up and responsive care.

To advance the integration of Health & Social Care through collaborative working with Sefton Metropolitan Council, supported by the Health & Wellbeing Board.

8. Recommendation

The Governing Body is asked to formally receive this report.

Fiona Taylor
Chief Officer
March 2018

² Available at: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/medicines/items-which-should-not-be-routinely-prescribed/>

MEETING OF THE GOVERNING BODY MARCH 2018

Agenda Item: 18/47	Author of the Paper: Martin McDowell Chief Finance Officer Email: martin.mcdowell@southseftonccg.nhs.uk Tel: 0151 247 7071						
Report date: February 2018							
Title: Quality, Innovation, Productivity and Prevention (QIPP) Plan and Progress Report							
Summary/Key Issues: The QIPP performance dashboard provides the Governing Body with an update on the progress being made in implementing the QIPP plan schemes and activities. The Joint QIPP Committee continues to monitor performance against the QIPP plan and receives updates across the following domains: planned care, medicines optimisation, CHC/FNC, discretionary spend, urgent care, Shaping Sefton and other schemes.							
Recommendation The Governing Body is asked to receive this report.	<table style="float: right;"> <tr><td>Receive</td><td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td></tr> <tr><td>Approve</td><td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td></tr> <tr><td>Ratify</td><td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td></tr> </table>	Receive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Approve	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ratify	<input type="checkbox"/>
Receive	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>						
Approve	<input type="checkbox"/>						
Ratify	<input type="checkbox"/>						

Links to Corporate Objectives <i>(x those that apply)</i>	
x	To focus on the identification of QIPP (Quality, Improvement, Productivity & Prevention) schemes and the implementation and delivery of these to achieve the CCG QIPP target.
	To progress Shaping Sefton as the strategic plan for the CCG, in line with the NHSE planning requirements set out in the "Forward View", underpinned by transformation through the agreed strategic blueprints and programmes and as part of the North Mersey LDS.
x	To ensure that the CCG maintains and manages performance & quality across the mandated constitutional measures.
	To support Primary Care Development through the development of an enhanced model of care and supporting estates strategy, underpinned by a complementary primary care quality contract.
	To advance integration of in-hospital and community services in support of the CCG locality model of care.


	To advance the integration of Health and Social Care through collaborative working with Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council, supported by the Health and Wellbeing Board.
--	---

Process	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Detail (<i>x those that apply</i>)
Patient and Public Engagement	Y			Relevant QIPP schemes have been developed following engagement with the public.
Clinical Engagement	Y			The Clinical QIPP Advisory Group and the Joint QIPP Committee provide forums for clinical engagement and scrutiny. Key schemes have identified clinical leads.
Equality Impact Assessment	Y			All relevant schemes in the QIPP plans have been subject to EIA.
Legal Advice Sought	Y			
Resource Implications Considered	Y			The Joint QIPP Committee considers the resource implications of all schemes.
Locality Engagement	Y			The Chief Integration Officer is working with localities to ensure that key existing and new QIPP schemes are aligned to locality work programmes.
Presented to other Committees	Y			The monthly position was presented in an alternative format to Joint QIPP Committee representatives on 16 th January 2018.


Links to National Outcomes Framework (<i>x those that apply</i>)	
X	Preventing people from dying prematurely
X	Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions
X	Helping people to recover from episodes of ill health or following injury
X	Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care
X	Treating and caring for people in a safe environment and protecting them from avoidable harm

QIPP DASHBOARD – SUMMARY SOUTHPORT & FORMBY CCG AT MONTH 10


Southport and Formby CCG				
£'000s				
Scheme	Annual Plan	YTD Plan	YTD Actual	Variance
Planned care plan	4,492	3,675	3,250	(425)
Medicines optimisation plan	2,118	1,765	1,246	(520)
CHC/FNC Plan	231	180	0	(180)
Discretionary spend plan	309	270	181	(89)
Urgent Care system redesign	1,620	1,191	500	(691)
Shaping Sefton	907	648	0	(648)
Other Schemes	460	307	0	(307)
Total	10,137	8,035	5,176	(2,859)




SFCCG : Summary QIPP plan 2017/18




SFCCG : QIPP target - Planned Care




SFCCG : QIPP target - Medicines Optimisation




SFCCG : QIPP target - CHC/FNC




SFCCG : QIPP target - Discretionary spend



SFCCG : QIPP target - Urgent Care



SFCCG : QIPP target - Other Schemes



MEETING OF THE GOVERNING BODY MARCH 2018

Agenda Item: 18/48	Author of the Paper: Name Karl McCluskey Position Chief Strategy and Outcomes Officer Email: Karl.Mccluskey@southportandformbyccg.nhs.uk Tel: 0151 247 7000						
Report date: February 2018							
Title: Southport and Formby Clinical Commissioning Group Integrated Performance Report							
Summary/Key Issues: This report provides summary information on the activity and quality performance of Southport and Formby Clinical Commissioning Group (note time periods of data are different for each source)							
Recommendation The Governing Body is asked to receive this report.	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Receive</td> <td style="text-align: center; border: 1px solid black; width: 30px;">x</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Approve</td> <td style="text-align: center; border: 1px solid black;"> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Ratify</td> <td style="text-align: center; border: 1px solid black;"> </td> </tr> </table>	Receive	x	Approve		Ratify	
Receive	x						
Approve							
Ratify							

Links to Corporate Objectives <i>(x those that apply)</i>	
	To focus on the identification of QIPP (Quality, Improvement, Productivity & Prevention) schemes and the implementation and delivery of these to achieve the CCG QIPP target.
	To progress Shaping Sefton as the strategic plan for the CCG, in line with the NHSE planning requirements set out in the "Forward View", underpinned by transformation through the agreed strategic blueprints and programmes and as part of the North Mersey LDS.
x	To ensure that the CCG maintains and manages performance & quality across the mandated constitutional measures.
	To support Primary Care Development through the development of an enhanced model of care and supporting estates strategy, underpinned by a complementary primary care quality contract.
	To advance integration of in-hospital and community services in support of the CCG locality model of care.
	To advance the integration of Health and Social Care through collaborative working with Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council, supported by the Health and Wellbeing Board.

Process	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Detail (<i>x those that apply</i>)
Patient and Public Engagement			X	
Clinical Engagement			X	
Equality Impact Assessment			X	
Legal Advice Sought			X	
Resource Implications Considered			X	
Locality Engagement			X	
Presented to other Committees			X	

Links to National Outcomes Framework (<i>x those that apply</i>)	
X	Preventing people from dying prematurely
X	Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions
X	Helping people to recover from episodes of ill health or following injury
X	Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care
X	Treating and caring for people in a safe environment and protecting them from avoidable harm

Southport & Formby Clinical Commissioning Group Integrated Performance Report

Contents

1. Executive Summary	16
2. Financial Position.....	20
2.1 Summary	20
2.2 CCG Financial Forecast.....	21
2.3 Provider Expenditure Analysis – Acting as One	22
2.4 QIPP	23
2.5 Risk	24
2.6 Contract Alignment / Dispute Resolution.....	25
2.7 Statement of Financial Position.....	26
2.8 Recommendations	27
3. Planned Care.....	27
3.1 Referrals by Source	27
3.1.1 E-Referral Utilisation Rates.....	29
3.2 Diagnostic Test Waiting Times.....	29
3.3 Referral to Treatment Performance.....	30
3.3.1 Incomplete Pathway Waiting Times	30
3.3.2 Long Waiters analysis: Top 5 Providers	30
3.3.3 Long waiters analysis: Top 2 Providers split by Specialty.....	31
3.3.4 Provider assurance for long waiters	32
3.4 Cancelled Operations	33
3.4.1 All patients who have cancelled operations on or day after the day of admission for non-clinical reasons to be offered another binding date within 28 days.....	33
3.4.2 No urgent operation to be cancelled for a 2nd time	33
3.5 Cancer Indicators Performance.....	33
3.5.1 - Two Week Waiting Time Performance	33
3.5.2 - 31 Day Cancer Waiting Time Performance	34
3.5.3 - 62 Day Cancer Waiting Time Performance	35
3.6 Patient Experience of Planned Care	36
3.7 Planned Care Activity & Finance, All Providers	36
3.7.1 Planned Care Southport and Ormskirk NHS Trust	37
3.7.2 Southport & Ormskirk Hospital Key Issues.....	38
3.7.3 Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust.....	38
3.7.4 Renacres Trust	39
3.7.5 Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust	40
3.7.6 iSIGHT Southport.....	40
3.8 Personal Health Budgets	41
3.9 Continuing Health Care (CHC).....	42
3.10 Smoking at Time of Delivery (SATOD).....	44

4.	Unplanned Care.....	44
4.1	Accident & Emergency Performance	44
4.2	Ambulance Service Performance.....	46
4.3	NWAS, 111 and Out of Hours	47
4.3.1	111 Calls.....	47
4.3.2	GP Out of Hours Calls	48
4.4	Unplanned Care Quality Indicators	48
4.4.1	Stroke and TIA Performance.....	48
4.4.2	Mixed Sex Accommodation.....	49
4.4.3	Healthcare associated infections (HCAI).....	50
4.4.4	Mortality	50
4.5	CCG Serious Incident Management.....	51
4.6	Delayed Transfers of Care	51
4.7	ICRAS Metrics	54
4.8	Patient Experience of Unplanned Care	55
4.9	Unplanned Care Activity & Finance, All Providers	55
4.9.1	All Providers.....	55
4.9.2	Southport and Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust.....	56
4.9.3	Southport & Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust Key Issues	57
4.10	Aintree and University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	57
5.	Mental Health.....	58
5.1	Mersey Care NHS Trust Contract	58
5.1.1	Key Mental Health Performance Indicators	58
5.2	Out of Area Placements (OAP's).....	59
5.2.1	Mental Health Contract Quality Overview.....	60
5.3	Patient Experience of Mental Health Services.....	61
5.4	Improving Access to Psychological Therapies	62
5.5	Dementia	63
5.6	Improve Access to Children & Young People's Mental Health Services (CYPMH).....	63
5.7	Waiting times for Urgent and Routine Referrals to Children and Young People's Eating Disorder Services	64
6.	Community Health	65
6.1	Lancashire Care Trust Community Services	65
6.1.2	Quality	65
6.2	Patient Experience of Community Services.....	65
6.3	Any Qualified Provider – Southport & Ormskirk Hospital	66
6.4	Any Qualified Provider – Specsavers.....	66
6.5	Percentage of children waiting less than 18 weeks for a wheelchair	66
7.	Third Sector Contracts	66
8.	Primary Care.....	67

8.1	Extended Access (evening and weekends) at GP services.....	67
8.2	CQC Inspections.....	68
9.	Better Care Fund	68
10.	CCG Improvement & Assessment Framework (IAF).....	71
10.1	Background.....	71
11.	NHS England Monthly Activity Monitoring.....	71

List of Tables and Graphs

Figure 1 – Financial Dashboard	20
Figure 2 – Forecast Outturn	21
Figure 3 – Acting as One Contract Performance	22
Figure 4 – QIPP Plan and Forecast	23
Figure 5 – CCG Financial Position	24
Figure 6 – Risk Adjusted Financial Position	24
Figure 7 – Contract Alignment table	25
Figure 8 – Summary of working capital	26
Figure 9 - Referrals by Source across all providers for 2015/16, 2016/17 & 2017/18	27
Figure 10 – Breakdown of referrals for the CCG across all providers for 2016/17, 2017/18	28
Figure 11 – Southport & Formby CCG E Referral Performance	29
Figure 12 - Diagnostic Test Waiting Time Performance	29
Figure 13 - Referral to Treatment Time (RTT) Performance	30
Figure 14 - Southport & Formby CCG Patients waiting on an incomplete pathway by weeks waiting	30
Figure 15 - Patients waiting (in bands) on incomplete pathway for the top 5 Providers	30
Figure 16 - Patients waiting (in bands) on incomplete pathway for Southport & Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust	31
Figure 17 - Patients waiting (in bands) on incomplete pathway for Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust	31
Figure 18 – Southport & Formby CCG Provider Assurance for Long Waiters	32
Figure 19 – Southport & Ormskirk Cancelled Operations	33
Figure 20 – Southport & Ormskirk Cancelled Operations for a second time	33
Figure 21 – Two Week Cancer Performance measures	33
Figure 22 – 31 Day Cancer Performance measures	34
Figure 23 – 62 Day Cancer Performance measures	35
Figure 24 – Southport & Ormskirk Inpatient Friends and Family Test Results	36
Figure 25 - Planned Care - All Providers	37
Figure 26 - Planned Care – Southport and Ormskirk NHS Trust by POD	37
Figure 27 - Planned Care – Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust by POD	39
Figure 28 – Planned Care – Renacres Hospital by POD	39
Figure 29 – Planned Care - Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust by POD	40
Figure 30 – Planned Care - iSIGHT Southport by POD	40
Figure 31 - Southport & Formby CCG – 2017/18 PHB Plans	41
Figure 32 - People eligible (both newly eligible and existing patients) at the end of the quarter (snapshot) divided by the population aged 18+, and expressed as a rate per 50,000 population	42
Figure 33 - People eligible (both newly eligible and existing patients) at the end of the quarter (cumulative) divided by the population aged 18+, and expressed as a rate per 50,000 population	42
Figure 34 - Proportion of Decision Support Tool (DST) CHC assessments occurring in an acute hospital bed	43
Figure 35 - Smoking at Time of Delivery (SATOD)	44
Figure 36 - A&E Performance	44
Figure 37 - A&E Performance – 12 hour breaches	45
Figure 38 - Ambulance handover time performance	46
Figure 39 - Stroke and TIA performance	48
Figure 40 - Mixed Sex Accommodation breaches	49
Figure 41 - Healthcare associated infections (HCAI)	50
Figure 42 - Hospital Mortality	50
Figure 43 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Southport and Ormskirk Hospital - April 2016 – December 2017	51
Figure 44 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Southport and Ormskirk Hospital - April 2016 – December 2017	52
Figure 45 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Merseycare - April 2016 – December 2017	52
Figure 46 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Merseycare - April 2016 – December 2017	53
Figure 47 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Lancashire Care - April 2016 – December 2017	53

Figure 48 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Lancashire Care - April 2016 – December 2017	53
Figure 49 - Southport A&E Friends and Family Test performance	55
Figure 50 - Month 9 Unplanned Care – All Providers	56
Figure 51 - Month 9 Unplanned Care – Southport and Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust by POD	56
Figure 52 - Month 9 Unplanned Care – Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust by POD	57
Figure 53 - NHS Southport & Formby CCG – Shadow PbR Cluster Activity	58
Figure 54 - CPA – Percentage of People under CPA followed up within 7 days of discharge	58
Figure 55 - CPA Follow up 2 days (48 hours) for higher risk groups	59
Figure 56 - Figure 16 EIP 2 week waits	59
Figure 57 - OAP Days	59
Figure 58 - MerseyCare Friends and Family Test performance	61
Figure 59 - Monthly Provider Summary including National KPIs (Recovery and Prevalence)	62
Figure 60 - Dementia casefinding	63
Figure 61 - NHS Southport & Formby CCG – Improve Access Rate to CYPMH 17/18 (30% Target)	63
Figure 62 - Southport & Formby CCG – Waiting Times for Routine Referrals to CYP Eating Disorder Services (Within 4 Weeks) – 2017/18 Plans (95% Target)	64
Figure 63 - Southport & Formby CCG – Waiting Times for Urgent Referrals to CYP Eating Disorder Services (Within 1 Week) – 2017/18 Plans (95% Target)	64
Figure 64 - Lancashire Care Friends and Family Test performance	65
Figure 65 - Southport & Formby CCG – Percentage of children waiting less than 18 weeks for a wheelchair - 2017/18 (92% Target)	66
Figure 66 - Southport & Formby CCG - Extended Access at GP services 2017/18 Plans	67
Figure 67 – CQC Inspection Table	68
Figure 68 – BCF Metric performance	69
Figure 69 – BCF High Impact Change Model assessment	70
Figure 70 - Southport & Formby CCG's Month 9 Submission	72

Summary Performance Dashboard

Metric	Reporting Level	2017-18									
		Q1			Q2			Q3			YTD
		Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	

Preventing People from Dying Prematurely

Cancer Waiting Times

191: % Patients seen within two weeks for an urgent GP referral for suspected cancer (MONTHLY) The percentage of patients first seen by a specialist within two weeks when urgently referred by their GP or dentist with suspected cancer	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	R	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	
		Actual	94.305%	92.00%	94.423%	95.132%	94.635%	93.973%	95.248%	96.364%	95.519%	94.672%	
		Target	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%
17: % of patients seen within 2 weeks for an urgent referral for breast symptoms (MONTHLY) Two week wait standard for patients referred with 'breast symptoms' not currently covered by two week waits for suspected breast cancer	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R	R	R	G	G	G	R	G	G	R	
		Actual	91.304%	90.411%	85.106%	95.385%	93.443%	96.00%	89.286%	100.00%	94.286%	92.693%	
		Target	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%	93.00%
535: % of patients receiving definitive treatment within 1 month of a cancer diagnosis (MONTHLY) The percentage of patients receiving their first definitive treatment within one month (31 days) of a decision to treat (as a proxy for diagnosis) for cancer	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	
		Actual	100.00%	97.368%	97.059%	100.00%	98.333%	98.462%	100.00%	97.468%	98.077%	98.522%	
		Target	96.00%	96.00%	96.00%	96.00%	96.00%	96.00%	96.00%	96.00%	96.00%	96.00%	96.00%
26: % of patients receiving subsequent treatment for cancer within 31 days (Surgery) (MONTHLY) 31-Day Standard for Subsequent Cancer Treatments where the treatment function is (Surgery)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	R	R	G	
		Actual	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	88.889%	83.333%	97.222%
		Target	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%
1170: % of patients receiving subsequent treatment for cancer within 31 days (Drug Treatments) (MONTHLY) 31-Day Standard for Subsequent Cancer Treatments (Drug Treatments)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G	R	R	G	G	G	G	
		Actual	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	92.308%	91.667%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	98.611%	
		Target	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%

1881: % of patients receiving definitive treatment within 1 month of a cancer diagnosis (QUARTERLY) The percentage of patients receiving their first definitive treatment within one month (31 days) of a decision to treat (as a proxy for diagnosis) for cancer	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G				G		G		G		
		Actual	98.174%				99.005%		98.454%		98.534%		
		Target	96.00%				96.00%		96.00%		96.00%		
26: % of patients receiving subsequent treatment for cancer within 31 days (Surgery) (MONTHLY) 31-Day Standard for Subsequent Cancer Treatments where the treatment function is (Surgery)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	R	R	G	
		Actual	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	88.889%	83.333%	97.222%
		Target	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%
1882: % of patients receiving subsequent treatment for cancer within 31 days (Surgery) (QUARTERLY) 31-Day Standard for Subsequent Cancer Treatments where the treatment function is (Surgery)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G				G		R		G		
		Actual	100.00%				100.00%		92.857%		97.26%		
		Target	94.00%				94.00%		94.00%		94.00%		
1170: % of patients receiving subsequent treatment for cancer within 31 days (Drug Treatments) (MONTHLY) 31-Day Standard for Subsequent Cancer Treatments (Drug Treatments)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G	R	R	G	G	G	G	
		Actual	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	92.308%	91.667%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	98.611%	
		Target	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	98.00%	
1883: % of patients receiving subsequent treatment for cancer within 31 days (Drug Treatments) (QUARTERLY) 31-Day Standard for Subsequent Cancer Treatments (Drug Treatments)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G				R		G		G		
		Actual	100.00%				95.349%		100.00%		98.63%		
		Target	98.00%				98.00%		98.00%		98.00%		
25: % of patients receiving subsequent treatment for cancer within 31 days (Radiotherapy Treatments) (MONTHLY) 31-Day Standard for Subsequent Cancer Treatments where the treatment function is (Radiotherapy)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	R	G	G	G	G	G	G	
		Actual	95.238%	95.833%	94.737%	93.333%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	97.633%	
		Target	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	94.00%	
1884: % of patients receiving subsequent treatment for cancer within 31 days (Radiotherapy Treatments) (QUARTERLY) 31-Day Standard for Subsequent Cancer Treatments where the treatment function is (Radiotherapy)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G				G		G		G		
		Actual	95.313%				98.077%		100.00%		97.619%		
		Target	94.00%				94.00%		94.00%		94.00%		

539: % of patients receiving 1st definitive treatment for cancer within 2 months (62 days) (MONTHLY) The % of patients receiving their first definitive treatment for cancer within two months (62 days) of GP or dentist urgent referral for suspected cancer	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	R	R	R	R	G	G	G	G	R	
		Actual	86.667%	84.848%	76.471%	82.051%	72.973%	85.294%	96.296%	89.13%	87.879%	84.345%	
		Target	85.00%	85.00%	85.00%	85.00%	85.00%	85.00%	85.00%	85.00%	85.00%	85.00%	
1885: % of patients receiving 1st definitive treatment for cancer within 2 months (62 days) (QUARTERLY) The % of patients receiving their first definitive treatment for cancer within two months (62 days) of GP or dentist urgent referral for suspected cancer	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R			R			G			R	
		Actual	82.474%			80.00%			90.566%			84.345%	
		Target	85.00%			85.00%			85.00%			85.00%	
540: % of patients receiving treatment for cancer within 62 days from an NHS Cancer Screening Service (MONTHLY) Percentage of patients receiving first definitive treatment following referral from an NHS Cancer Screening Service within 62 days.	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	R	G	R		G	G	G	G	G	
		Actual	100.00%	71.429%	100.00%	75.00%	-	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	91.892%
		Target	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%	90.00%

Mental Health

138: Proportion of patients on (CPA) discharged from inpatient care who are followed up within 7 days The proportion of those patients on Care Programme Approach discharged from inpatient care who are followed up within 7 days	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G			G			R		G
		Actual	100.00%			97.436%			92.857%		96.809%
		Target	95.00%			95.00%			95.00%		95.00%

Episode of Psychosis

2099: First episode of psychosis within two weeks of referral The percentage of people experiencing a first episode of psychosis with a NICE approved care package within two weeks of referral. The access and waiting time standard requires that more than 50% of people do so within two weeks of referral.	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G	G	G	R	G	G	G
		Actual	100.00%	100.00%	50.00%	100.00%	50.00%	60.00%	40.00%	50.00%	100.00%	70.37%
		Target	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%

Dementia

2166: Estimated diagnosis rate for people with dementia Estimated diagnosis rate for people with dementia	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G
		Actual	70.6%	70.9%	70.5%	70.3%	71.2%	71.9%	72.6%	72.2%	71.9%	71.3
		Target	66.70%	66.70%	66.70%	66.70%	66.70%	66.70%	66.70%	66.70%	66.70%	66.70%

IAPT (Improving Access to Psychological Therapies)						
2183: IAPT Recovery Rate (Improving Access to Psychological Therapies) The percentage of people who finished treatment within the reporting period who were initially assessed as 'at caseness', have attended at least two treatment contacts and are coded as discharged, who are assessed as moving to recovery.	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R	G	G	G
		Actual	47.30%	52.30%	53.0%	51.20%
		Target	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%
2131: IAPT Roll Out The proportion of people that enter treatment against the level of need in the general population i.e. the proportion of people who have depression and/or anxiety disorders who receive psychological therapies	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R	R	R	R
		Actual	3.02%	3.34%	3.52	9.88%
		Target	3.75%	3.75%	3.75%	15.00%
2253: IAPT Waiting Times - 6 Week Waiters The proportion of people that wait 6 weeks or less from referral to entering a course of IAPT treatment against the number who finish a course of treatment.	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G
		Actual	98.60%	98.90%	99.10%	98.90%
		Target	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%	75.00%
2254: IAPT Waiting Times - 18 Week Waiters The proportion of people that wait 18 weeks or less from referral to entering a course of IAPT treatment, against the number of people who finish a course of treatment in the reporting period.	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G
		Actual	99.70%	99.60%	99.60%	99.60%
		Target	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%

Children and Young People with Eating Disorders						
2095: The number of completed CYP ED routine referrals within four weeks The number of routine referrals for CYP ED care pathways (routine cases) within four weeks (QUARTERLY)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R	G	G	R
		Actual	0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	77.778%
		Target	100%	100%	100%	100%
2097: The number of incomplete pathways (routine) for CYP ED Highlights the number of people waiting for assessment/treatment and their length of wait (incomplete pathways) - routine CYP ED	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R	R	R	R
		Actual	1	1	1	3
		Target	1	1	1	1
2098: The number of incomplete pathways (urgent) for CYP ED Highlights the number of people waiting for assessment/treatment and their length of wait (incomplete pathways) - urgent CYP ED	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G
		Actual	0	0	0	-
		Target	1	1	1	1

Ensuring that People Have a Positive Experience of Care												
EMSA												
1067: Mixed sex accommodation breaches - All Providers No. of MSA breaches for the reporting month in question for all providers	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
		Actual	3	3	3	5	8	14	10	10	8	64
		Target	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1812: Mixed Sex Accommodation - MSA Breach Rate MSA Breach Rate (MSA Breaches per 1,000 FCE's)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R	R	R	R	R	R	G	R	R	
		Actual	0.87	0.83	0.80	1.42	2.30	4.11	2.72	0.00	2.10	
		Target	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Referral to Treatment (RTT) & Diagnostics												
1291: % of all Incomplete RTT pathways within 18 weeks Percentage of Incomplete RTT pathways within 18 weeks of referral	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	
		Actual	94.327%	93.628%	93.878%	93.575%	93.377%	93.411%	93.071%	93.492%	93.216%	93.569%
		Target	92.00%	92.00%	92.00%	92.00%	92.00%	92.00%	92.00%	92.00%	92.00%	92.00%
1828: % of patients waiting 6 weeks or more for a diagnostic test The % of patients waiting 6 weeks or more for a diagnostic test	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
		Actual	3.805%	5.409%	2.877%	2.335%	2.652%	2.823%	2.452%	3.468%	3.42%	3.253%
		Target	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%

Cancelled Operations												
1983: Urgent Operations cancelled for a 2nd time Number of urgent operations that are cancelled by the trust for non-clinical reasons, which have already been previously cancelled once for non-clinical reasons.	SOUTHPORT AND ORMSKIRK HOSPITAL NHS TRUST	RAG	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	
		Actual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Target	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

E-Referrals												
2142: NHS e-Referral Service (e-RS) Utilisation Coverage Utilisation of the NHS e-referral service to enable choice at first routine elective referral. Highlights the percentage via the e-Referral Service.	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
		Actual	48.449%	43.429%	47.021%	51.178%	50.448%	49.796%	50.245%	48.306%	57%	49.541%
		Target	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%	80.00%

Personal Health Budgets					
2143: Personal health budgets Number of personal health budgets that have been in place, at any point during the quarter, per 100,000 CCG population (based on the population the CCG is responsible for).	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R	R	R
		Actual	11.28	14.48	18.88
		Target	0.10	0.10	0.10

Wheelchairs						
2197: Percentage of children waiting less than 18 weeks for a wheelchair The number of children whose episode of care was closed within the reporting period, where equipment was delivered in 18 weeks or less of being referred to the service.	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G
		Actual	100.00%	0.00%	92%	94.44%
		Target	92.00%	92.00%	92.00%	92.00%

Treating and Caring for People in a Safe Environment and Protect them from Avoidable Harm													
HCAI													
497: Number of MRSA Bacteraemias Incidence of MRSA bacteraemia (Commissioner)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	
		YTD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
		Target	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24: Number of C.Difficile infections Incidence of Clostridium Difficile (Commissioner)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	
		YTD	6	9	10	10	15	18	19	23	25	25	
		Target	6	9	13	18	20	24	27	29	29	29	

Accident & Emergency												
2123: <u>4-Hour A&E Waiting Time Target (Monthly Aggregate based on HES 15/16 ratio)</u> % of patients who spent less than four hours in A&E (HES 15/16 ratio Acute position from Unify Weekly/Monthly SitReps)	Southport And Formby CCG	RAG	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
		Actual	90.852%	88.768%	89.682%	87.86%	88.045%	85.62%	85.511%	81.011%	80.564%	86.446%
		Target	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%
431: <u>4-Hour A&E Waiting Time Target (Monthly Aggregate for Total Provider)</u> % of patients who spent less than four hours in A&E (Total Acute position from Unify Weekly/Monthly SitReps)	SOUTHPORT AND ORMSKIRK HOSPITAL NHS TRUST	RAG	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
		Actual	91.097%	89.396%	90.319%	88.266%	88.423%	85.69%	85.546%	80.713%	80.309%	86.645%
		Target	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%	95.00%
1928: <u>12 Hour Trolley waits in A&E</u> Total number of patients who have waited over 12 hours in A&E from decision to admit to admission	SOUTHPORT AND ORMSKIRK HOSPITAL NHS TRUST	RAG	R	R	G	R	G	G	G	R	R	R
		Actual	3	9	0	2	0	0	0	16	65	95
		Target	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

1. Executive Summary

This report provides summary information on the activity and quality performance of Southport & Formby Clinical Commissioning Group at Month 9 (note: time periods of data are different for each source).

Financial position

The agreed financial plan for 2017/18 requires the CCG to break even in year, whilst the cumulative CCG position is a deficit of £6.695m which incorporates the historic deficit brought forward from the previous financial year. The cumulative deficit will be addressed as part of the CCG longer term recovery plan and will need to be repaid with planned surpluses in future financial years.

The QIPP savings requirement, assessed at the start of the year to deliver the agreed financial plan is £10.137m. Work remains ongoing to develop a fully identified plan to achieve the required efficiencies to deliver the financial target. As at month 10, £5.176m QIPP savings have been achieved, with further savings planned in future months.

The year to date position with the main providers shows an underperformance against plan and will result in an underspend for the financial year if the trend continues. The year to date underperformance has been actioned as a QIPP saving in Month 10 and the position continues to be monitored closely to inform the CCG's forecast for the year end.

The year to date financial position is a deficit of £3.000m, which represents deterioration against the planned deficit of £0.200m. The CCG forecasts an end of year deficit of £3.450m and as we enter the final quarter of the year, it is unlikely that the CCG will deliver its plan.

Planned Care

At the beginning of 2017/18, the average for monthly referrals decreased by 4% and total referrals are 7.6% down comparing to 2016/17. GP referrals in 2017/18 to date are 15% down on the equivalent period in the previous year. In contrast, consultant-to-consultant referrals are currently 6% higher when compared to 2016/17. This can be attributed to significant increases within the Clinical Physiology and Physiotherapy specialties. A consultant-to-consultant referral policy for Southport & Ormskirk Hospital has been approved. Referrals in December 2017 have seen a significant decrease in all areas; mainly GP referrals which fell by 15% compared to November 2017.

E-referral Utilisation rates in December for the CCG as a whole reached 57% an improvement on 48% the previous month but under the 80% ambition for Q2.

The CCG failed the less than 1% target for Diagnostics in December recording 3.42%. Southport & Ormskirk Trust also failed the less than 1% target for Diagnostics in December recording 2.83%, which was in improvement on the previous month when 3.2% was recorded.

Southport & Ormskirk reported 10 cancelled operations for non-clinical reasons not being offered another date within 28 days in December, bringing the total YTD figure to 102.

The CCG are failing 1 of the 9 cancer measures year to date. They achieved the 62 day standard in December but are failing year to date due to previous month breaches, recording 83.64% (target 85%). Southport & Ormskirk also achieved the 85% target for the 62-day standard recording 87.84% in December but are failing year to date at 83.26% partly due to previous breaches.

Friends and Family inpatient response rates at Southport & Ormskirk are under target for December at 18.6%. The percentage of patients that would recommend the inpatient service in the Trust has remained at 88% in December, although is still below the England average of 96%. The percentage of people who would not recommend the inpatient service has reduced to 3% in December from 4% in November although is still above the England average of 2%.

Performance at Month 9 of financial year 2017/18, against planned care elements of the contracts held by NHS Southport & Formby CCG shows an under performance of circa -£1.5m/-5.4%. Applying a neutral cost variance for those Trusts within the Acting as One block contract arrangement results in the remaining a total under spend of approximately £1.6mk/5.7%.

Unplanned Care

Southport & Ormskirk's performance against the 4-hour target for December reached 80.3%, which is below the Cheshire & Merseyside 5 Year Forward View (STP) plan of 93% for December, and year to date 86.65%. December saw the lowest performance against the 4-hour target.

Southport & Ormskirk had 65 12-hour breaches in December, a total of 95 year to date.

There was a 3 month moratorium in data reporting to allow NWAS to understand and learn from the Ambulance Response Programme (ARP) and to redraft and reformulate reports. The first set of reporting will be at NWAS and County level, it is unlikely that there will be CCG level data for this financial year. Early indications are showing a positive impact with more time to assess calls resulting in the right vehicle response being dispatched first time and reduced number of vehicles being stood down; there have been improvements in ambulance utilisation and reductions in the long waits for lower acuity calls.

Ambulance handover performance remains a concern. The current Emergency Department is insufficient to meet demands of the current case mix, given the month on month increase in majors category patients. Winter monies for a modular build to enable some much needed estates work later this year is critical. Attendances remained relatively static compared to last year.

The number of 111 calls from Southport and Formby CCG patients in December has increased sharply since the previous month (45%). December 2017 has been the month with the most contacts since January 2016 and is the month with the second most number of calls so far. There have been 5.3% fewer calls for the first 9 months of 2017/18 than in the same period of 2016/17.

The number of calls from Southport and Formby patients to the GP OOH service has risen sharply in December. This is the most calls in any month since January 2017. When compared to the first 9 months of the previous financial year, there have been 394, 4.4%, more contacts so far in 2017/18.

Southport & Ormskirk failed the stroke target in December recording 36.7%. This shows a decline in performance compared to the 55.0% in November. For TIA during December there were 7 TIA cases with a higher risk of stroke that were not seen and treated within 24 hours, resulting in 0% performance.

The CCG has reported an MSA rate of 2.1, which equates to a total of 8 breaches in December. In December the Trust had 15 mixed sex accommodation breaches (a rate of 2.8) and have therefore breached the zero tolerance threshold.

The CCG and Trust achieved their C.difficile plans for December.

The CCG have had no cases of MRSA year to date and are compliant. Southport & Ormskirk have had 1 case year to date therefore failing the zero tolerance plan.

The average number of delayed transfer of care per day in Southport and Ormskirk hospital increased to 14 in December. Analysis of average delays in December 2017 compared to December 2016 shows them to be higher by 6 (75%).

The percentage of people that would recommend Southport & Ormskirk A&E is below the England average (85%) reporting 57%. The not recommended percentage is 22% well above the England average of 8%.

Performance at Month 9 of financial year 2017/18, against unplanned care elements of the contracts held by NHS Southport & Formby CCG shows an over performance of circa £699k/2.8%. However, applying a neutral cost variance for those Trusts within the Acting as One block contract arrangement results in there being a total over spend of approximately £357k/1.4%.

Mental Health

The CCG has a target to reduce out of area placements by 33% based on quarter 4 2016/17. The total number of OAP's in quarter 4 2016/17 was 3 therefore the target for 2017/18 is 2. The latest reporting period is October to December 2017 when 50 OAP days were reported. The CCG is therefore failing to meet the target of just 2 days.

In terms of Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT), Cheshire & Wirral Partnership Trust reported a decrease of 38.4% from previous month of Southport & Formby patients entering treatment in month 9. The access rate for Month 9 was 10.86% and therefore achieved the standard.

The CCG has new plans for Improving Access to Children & Young People's Mental Health Services (CYPMH) and a target of 30% by the end of the financial year. Quarter 1 performance shows 4.3% of children and young people receiving treatment (80 out of an estimated 1,877 with a diagnosable mental health condition), against a target of 5.3%.

In quarter 3, out of 5 routine referrals to children and young people's eating disorder service only 3 were seen within 4 weeks recording 60% and failing 100% target.

Community Health Services

Lancashire care trust is currently undertaking a validation exercise across all services which they have taken over from Southport Trust. The validation exercise includes review of current reporting practices, validation of caseloads and RTT recording as well as deep dive with the service leads and teams.

Better Care Fund

A quarter 3 performance monitoring return was submitted on 19th January on behalf of the Sefton Health and Wellbeing Board. This reported that all national BCF conditions were met; progress

against national metric targets for non-elective hospital admissions, admissions to residential care, reablement and Delayed Transfers of Care; assessment against the High Impact Change Model; and narrative of progress to date.

CCG Improvement & Assessment Framework

A full exception report for each of the indicators citing performance in the worst quartile of CCG performance nationally or a trend of three deteriorating time periods is presented to Governing Body as a standalone report. This outlines reasons for underperformance, actions being taken to address the underperformance, more recent data where held locally, the clinical, managerial and SLT leads responsible and expected date of improvement for the indicators.

2. Financial Position

2.1 Summary

This report focuses on the financial performance for Southport and Formby CCG as at 31 January 2018.

The year to date financial position is a deficit of £3.000m, which represents deterioration against the planned deficit of £0.200m. The CCG forecasts a deficit of £3.450m and as we enter the final quarter of the year, it is unlikely that the CCG will deliver its original plan.

The cumulative CCG position at the start of the financial year was a deficit of £6.695m which incorporates the historic deficit brought forward from previous financial years. The cumulative deficit will be addressed as part of the CCG longer term improvement plan and will need to be repaid with planned surpluses in future financial years.

Cost pressures have emerged in the ten months of the financial year which are balanced out to a certain extent by underspends in other areas. The main areas of forecast overspend are within Continuing Healthcare relating to Continuing Healthcare packages, Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust, mainly due to Orthopaedic Activity, Intermediate Care, due to an increase in the number of beds commissioned to support winter pressures and overperformance on the Aintree contract due to high cost drugs and devices outside the Acting as One contract agreement.

The cost pressures are offset by forecast underspends on the Acute Commissioning and Independent Sector budgets relating to underperformance on the contract with Southport & Ormskirk NHS Trust and Independent Sector providers.

The QIPP plan forms part of the CCG recovery plan reported to NHS England. A robust QIPP plan and profile of achievement is required to provide assurance that the CCG can deliver its financial targets.

The high-level CCG financial indicators are listed below:

Figure 1 – Financial Dashboard

Key Performance Indicator		This Month
Business Rules	1% Surplus	✗
	0.5% Contingency Reserve	✓
	0.5% Non-Recurrent Reserve	✓
Breakeven	Financial Balance	✓
QIPP	QIPP delivered to date <i>(Red reflects that the QIPP delivery is behind plan)</i>	£5.176m
Running Costs	CCG running costs < 2017/18 allocation	✓
BPPC	NHS - Value YTD > 95%	99.47%
	NHS - Volume YTD > 95%	94.55%
	Non NHS - Value YTD > 95%	96.86%


Key Performance Indicator		This Month
	Non NHS - Volume YTD > 95%	94.59%

- The CCG will not achieve the NHS England business rule to deliver a 1% Surplus. This was agreed in the CCG financial plan approved by NHS England.
- 0.5% Contingency Reserve is held as mitigation against potential cost pressures.
- 0.5% Non-Recurrent Reserve is held uncommitted as directed by NHSE.
- The current financial plan was to achieve a break even position in year. The likely case scenario is a deficit of £3.450m.
- QIPP Delivery is £5.176m to date which is £2.859m below planned QIPP delivery at month 10.
- The forecast expenditure on the Running Cost budget is below the allocation by £0.155m for 2017/18.
- BPPC targets have been achieved to year to date by value for NHS and Non NHS, however by volume they are slightly below the 95% target.

2.2 CCG Financial Forecast

The main financial pressures included within the financial position are shown below in figure 2, which presents the CCGs outturn position for the year.

Figure 2 – Forecast Outturn



- The CCG forecast position for the financial year is a deficit of £3.450m.
- The main financial pressures relate to
 - Cost pressures relating to Continuing Healthcare packages.
 - Overperformance on WWL contract – mainly due to Orthopaedic Activity.
 - Increased costs of intermediate care due to an increase in the number of beds commissioned to support winter pressures.
 - Over performance on the Aintree contract due to high cost drugs and devices outside Acting as One agreement.
- The forecast cost pressures are partially offset by underspends in the Acute Commissioning budget due to underperformance on the contract with Southport and Ormskirk Hospital and within the reserve budget due to the 0.5% contingency held.

2.3 Provider Expenditure Analysis – Acting as One


Figure 3 – Acting as One Contract Performance

Provider	Pressure/(Benefit) £m
Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	£0.689
Alder Hey Children’s Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	-£0.045
Liverpool Women’s NHS Foundation Trust	-£0.003
Liverpool Heart & Chest NHS Foundation Trust	-£0.051
Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen NHS Trust	-£0.261
Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust	£0.000
The Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust	-£0.046
Grand Total	£0.284

- The CCG is included in the Acting as One contracting arrangements for the North Mersey LDS. Contracts have been agreed on a block contract basis for the financial years 2017/18 and 2018/19.
- The agreement protects against overperformance with these providers but does present a risk that activity could move to other providers causing a pressure for the CCG.
- Due to fixed financial contract values, the agreement also removes the ability to achieve QIPP savings in the two year contract period. However, identification of QIPP schemes should continue as this will create capacity to release other costs and long term efficiencies within the system.
- The year to date performance for the Acting as One providers shows an overperformance spend against plan, this would represent an overspend of £0.284m under usual contract arrangements.

2.4 QIPP

Figure 4 – QIPP Plan and Forecast



QIPP Plan	Rec	Non Rec	Total	Green	Amber	Red	Total
Planned care plan	3,842	650	4,492	3,267	1,326	(101)	4,492
Medicines optimisation plan	2,118	0	2,118	1,696	0	422	2,118
CHC/FNC plan	231	0	231	0	0	231	231
Discretionary spend plan	309	0	309	179	30	100	309
Urgent Care system redesign plan	120	1,500	1,620	500	0	1,120	1,620
Shaping Sefton plan	907	0	907	0	0	907	907
Other Schemes plan	80	380	460	63	80	317	460
Total QIPP Plan	7,607	2,530	10,137	5,705	1,436	2,996	10,137
QIPP Delivered 2017/18				(5,176)		0	(5,176)

- The 2017/18 QIPP target is **£10.137m** (opening position). This plan has been phased across the year by scheme and full detail of progress at scheme level is monitored at the QIPP committee.
- The CCG has undertaken a significant work programme to develop and assure the 2017/18 QIPP plan. The CCG will continue to hold regular challenge and confirm sessions with QIPP Leads to fully inform QIPP delivery to 31 March 2018.
- As at Month 10, the CCG has achieved **£5.176m** QIPP savings in respect of the following schemes:
 - Prescribing - £1.246m
 - Third Sector Contracts - £0.149m
 - Other Elective - £2.328m
 - RightCare MCAS - £0.768m
 - Other urgent care schemes - £0.5m
 - Referral Management Schemes £0.111m
 - Procedures of Limited Clinical Value £0.042m
 - Discretionary spend £0.032m
- **£0.500m** of QIPP savings has been delivered in Month 10, £0.300m of MCAS savings and £0.200m of prescribing savings.
- The forecast QIPP delivery for the year is **£6.423m** which represents 100% of schemes rated Green and 50% of schemes rated Amber. A proportion of the plan remains rated red, work is required to provide assurance that further savings can be delivered, although impact is likely to be limited in the remainder of the financial year.

2.5 Risk

Figure 5 – CCG Financial Position

	Recurrent £000	Non-Recurrent £000	Total £000
Agreed Financial Position	0.000	0.000	0.000
QIPP Target	(8.425)	(1.712)	(10.137)
Revised surplus / (deficit)	(8.425)	(1.712)	(10.137)
Forecast Outturn (Operational Budgets)	(0.734)	(1.136)	(1.870)
Risks / Mitigations	1.408	0.565	1.973
Management action plan			
QIPP Achieved	3.266	1.910	5.176
Remaining QIPP to be delivered	0.699	0.548	1.247
Total Management Action plan	3.965	2.458	6.423
Year End Surplus / (Deficit)	(3.786)	0.175	(3.611)

- The CCG forecast financial position is a deficit of £3.450m.
- The underlying position is a deficit of £3.611m; this position removes non-recurrent expenditure commitments and non-recurrent QIPP savings from the forecast position.
- The forecast position is dependent on achieving a QIPP saving of **£10.137m**.

Figure 6 – Risk Adjusted Financial Position

Southport & Formby CCG	Best Case £m	Most Likely £m	Worst Case £m
Remaining QIPP requirement	(4.961)	(4.961)	(4.961)
Predicted QIPP achievement (Months 10-12)	1.247	1.247	1.247
Reserves / I&E impact	(1.870)	(1.870)	(1.870)
Forecast Surplus / (Deficit)	(5.584)	(5.584)	(5.584)
Further Risk	(1.744)	(1.744)	(3.789)
Management Action Plan	3.878	3.878	3.189
Risk adjusted Surplus / (Deficit)	(3.450)	(3.450)	(6.184)

- The risk adjusted position provides an assessment of the best, likely and worst case scenarios in respect of the CCGs year end outturn.
- The best case and most likely case is a deficit of **£3.450m** which assumes that QIPP delivery will be £6.423m in total. Risks include the NCSO prescribing cost pressure, CHC price increases, winter pressures and community services transfer costs. Mitigations include a £0.450m penalty being applied to Southport and Ormskirk NHS Trust without re-investment.
- The worst case scenario is a deficit of **£6.184m** and assumes reduced QIPP delivery, that further pressures emerge in year and that the management action plan will not be delivered in full. Further pressures relate to the contract alignment exercise.

2.6 Contract Alignment / Dispute Resolution

Figure 7 – Contract Alignment table

	2017/18 YTD		2017/18 YTD	
	£000		£000	Formula
Provider	YTD	Commissioner	YTD	YTD Variance
Aintree University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust	3,314	NHS Southport and Formby	3,301	(13)
Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust	2,736	NHS Southport and Formby	2,666	(70)
Southport and Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust	30,412	NHS Southport and Formby	27,406	(3,006)
Lancashire Care NHS Foundation Trust	4,505	NHS Southport and Formby	4,505	-
Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust	6,187	NHS Southport and Formby	6,156	(31)
Total	47,154		44,034	(3,120)

- CCGs and Providers were required to report a contract alignment position at Month 6 to highlight any areas of dispute.
- The main issues highlighted relate to the contract with Southport & Ormskirk NHS Trust on a number of outstanding issues:
 - £1.669m - CQUIN
 - £0.522m – ACU Follow ups
 - £0.674m – Contract Sanctions
 - £0.600m - Outpatient Procedure Coding
 - £0.165m – PLCP
- The CCG has sent a formal response to issues raised by Southport & Ormskirk NHS Trust and will continue with the mediation process initiated in December 2017. Three issues were taken forward for expert determination – CQUIN, ACU Follow ups and Outpatient Procedure Coding. The outcome of the expert determination should be finalised in the next few weeks so the CCG will have an agreed position before Year End. Other issues are expected to be resolved locally and the CCG has sent a proposal to the provider.

2.7 Statement of Financial Position

Figure 8 – Summary of working capital

	2016/17	2017/18				
	M12	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Non-Current Assets	11	11	11	11	11	11
Receivables	2,041	3,311	2,562	2,470	2,383	2,742
Cash	160	2,914	3,721	995	1,995	3,152
Payables & Provisions	(9,202)	(11,707)	(13,950)	(11,582)	(12,634)	(12,654)
Value of debt > 180 days old (6months)	723	722	722	723	723	723
BPPC (value)	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%
BPPC (volume)	96%	95%	96%	95%	97%	95%
* In month 1 there were a number of credit notes received from providers relating to 16/17 performance which skewed BPPC data						

- The non-current asset (Non CA) balance relates to assets inherited from Sefton PCT at the inception of the CCG. Movements in this balance relate to depreciation charges.
- The receivables balance includes invoices raised for services provided along with accrued income and prepayments. Outstanding debt in excess of 6 months old currently remains at £0.723m. This balance is predominantly made up of two invoices currently outstanding with Southport & Ormskirk NHS Trust; CQUIN payment recovery (£670k) and Breast Referral Services (£50k). The CCG continues to pursue resolution to the outstanding balance in respect of the CQUIN recovery. Work is being progressed as part of actions in response to the NHS England Contract Alignment Exercise in December 2017. The CCG have accepted the Trust position regarding the Breast Referral Services invoice and this charge will be cancelled in February 2018.
- The Maximum Cash Drawdown (MCD) is the maximum amount of cash available to a CCG each financial year. Cash is allocated monthly following notification of cash requirements. The CCG MCD was set at £183.582m at Month 10. The actual cash utilised at Month 10 was £153.398m which represents 83.6% of the total allocation. The balance of MCD to be utilised over the rest of the year is £30.184m.
- The CCG aim to pay at least 95% of invoices within 30 days of the invoice date in line with the Better Payment Practice Code. 2017/18 performance exceeds 95% for invoices by number and value for NHS and Non NHS suppliers. Performance will continue to be reviewed on a monthly basis.

2.8 Recommendations

The Governing Body is asked to receive the finance update, noting that:

- The year to date financial position is a deficit of £3.000m, which represents deterioration against the planned deficit of £0.200m. Current trends suggest that the CCG will not deliver the required QIPP saving. The CCG's likely case scenario forecasts a deficit after risk and mitigation of £3.450m.
- The CCG's commissioning team must support member practices in reviewing their commissioning arrangements to identify areas where clinical variation exists, and address accordingly. High levels of engagement and support has been evident from member practices which has enabled the CCG to reduce levels of low value healthcare and improve value for money from the use of the CCG's resources.
- In order to deliver the long term financial recovery plan, the CCG requires ongoing and sustained support from member practices, supported by Governing Body GP leads to identify and implement QIPP plans which deliver the required level of savings to meet its statutory financial duties into 2018-19 and in future years.

3. Planned Care

3.1 Referrals by Source

Figure 9 - Referrals by Source across all providers for 2015/16, 2016/17 & 2017/18




Figure 10 – Breakdown of referrals for the CCG across all providers for 2016/17, 2017/18

Referral Type	Referral Source Code	Referral Source Name	2017/18										2016/17 YTD	2017/18 YTD	YTD Variance	YTD %
			Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec					
GP	3	referral from a GENERAL MEDICAL PRACTITIONER	2,188	2,578	2,472	2,515	2,444	2,373	2,565	2,464	2,090	25,605	21,689	-3,916	-15%	
GP Total			2,188	2,578	2,472	2,515	2,444	2,373	2,565	2,464	2,090	25,605	21,689	-3,916	-15%	
Other	1	following an emergency admission	270	226	256	231	270	258	294	248	155	4,488	2,208	-2,280	-51%	
	2	following a Domiciliary Consultation	1		1	2	1			1		5	6	1	20%	
	4	referral from an Accident and Emergency Department (including Minor Injuries Units and Walk In Centres)	277	290	273	295	259	314	352	302	296	2,358	2,658	300	13%	
	5	referral from a CONSULTANT, other than in an Accident and Emergency Department	1,200	1,332	1,563	1,447	1,461	1,312	1,537	1,464	1,150	9,430	12,466	3,036	32%	
	6	self-referral	189	178	167	145	152	152	184	195	161	1,263	1,523	260	21%	
	7	referral from a Prosthetist			1							3	1	-2	-67%	
	8	Royal Liverpool Code (TBC)	27	41	46	41	50	56	49	43	35	349	388	39	11%	
	10	following an Accident and Emergency Attendance (including Minor Injuries Units and Walk In Centres)	36	11	24	14	17	19	32	11	16	201	180	-21	-10%	
	11	other - initiated by the CONSULTANT responsible for the Consultant Out-Patient Episode	59	60	61	59	57	75	73	63	42	505	549	44	9%	
	12	referral from a General Practitioner with a Special Interest (GPwSI) or Dentist with a Special Interest (DwSI)		2	3		3	4	3		1	8	16	8	100%	
	13	referral from a Specialist NURSE (Secondary Care)	3	2	1	6	2	6		1	2	33	23	-10	-30%	
	14	referral from an Allied Health Professional	84	115	97	91	98	86	106	111	77	1,270	865	-405	-32%	
	15	referral from an OPTOMETRIST	78	92	85	65	119	93	110	106	77	785	825	40	5%	
	16	referral from an Orthoptist	1	6	2	2	4	4	1	1	1	30	22	-8	-27%	
	17	referral from a National Screening Programme	57	48	30	43	34	40	47	72	31	556	402	-154	-28%	
	92	referral from a GENERAL DENTAL PRACTITIONER	39	31	32	42	32	28	41	37	29	343	311	-32	-9%	
97	other - not initiated by the CONSULTANT responsible for the Consultant Out-Patient Episode	169	180	269	245	256	216	264	262	223	2,258	2,084	-174	-8%		
Unknown	Unknown		1			1					11	2	-9	-82%		
Other Total			2,490	2,615	2,911	2,728	2,816	2,663	3,093	2,917	2,296	23,896	24,529	633	3%	
Consultant to Consultant Referrals Total			1,843	1,919	2,178	2,048	2,065	1,978	2,288	2,089	1,659	16,987	18,067	1,080	6%	
Grand Total			4,678	5,193	5,383	5,243	5,260	5,036	5,658	5,381	4,386	49,501	46,218	-3,283	-7%	

With the exception of March 2017, there has been a downward trend to referrals from December 2016 onwards.

At the beginning of 2017/18, the average for monthly referrals decreased by 4% and total referrals are 7.6% down comparing to 2016/17. GP referrals in 2017/18 to date are 15% down on the equivalent period in the previous year. In contrast, consultant-to-consultant referrals are currently 6% higher when compared to 2016/17. This can be attributed to significant increases within the Clinical Physiology and Physiotherapy specialties. A consultant-to-consultant referral policy for Southport & Ormskirk Hospital has been approved. Referrals in December 2017 have seen a significant decrease in all areas; mainly GP referrals which fell by 15% compared to November 2017.

A referral management scheme started on 1st October 2017 in Southport & Formby CCG which is currently in Phase I (administrative phase). Phase II referral management includes clinical triage for Dermatology referrals, which is in place.

Data quality note: Walton Neuro Centre excluded from the above analysis due to data quality issues. For info, A coding change was implemented in March 2017 for Physio at Southport Hospital with these referrals coded as having a referral source of 01 (following an emergency admission) in place of the previous referral source of 03 (GP referral). For consistency, GP

referrals relating to physio at Southport Hospital for Months 1-11 of 2016/17 manually corrected to a referral source of 01.

3.1.1 E-Referral Utilisation Rates

Figure 11 – Southport & Formby CCG E Referral Performance

NHS E-Referral Service Utilisation				
NHS Southport & Formby CCG	17/18 - Dec	80% by Q2 17/18 & 100% by Q2 18/19	57.00%	↑

The national NHS ambition is that E-referral Utilisation Coverage should be 80% by end of Q2 2017/18 and 100% by end of Q2 2018/19. Southport and Ormskirk Trust is an early adopter of the scheme and as such is required to achieve 100% by April 2018.

E-referral Utilisation rates in December for the CCG as a whole reached 57%. This shows a marked improvement in performance compared to last month (48%). The CCG's Informatics provider is assisting practices to utilise the e-referral system. The CCG Business Intelligence team are developing monthly practice level E-referral utilisation reports, and NHS Digital have offered to visit practices with the lowest E-referral rates to support them further.

3.2 Diagnostic Test Waiting Times

Figure 12 - Diagnostic Test Waiting Time Performance

Diagnostic test waiting times				
% of patients waiting 6 weeks or more for a Diagnostic Test (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	<1%	3.42%	↔
% of patients waiting 6 weeks or more for a Diagnostic Test (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	<1%	2.83%	↑

The CCG failed the less than 1% target for Diagnostics in December recording 3.42%. Out of 1959 patients there were 67 patients waiting 6 weeks and 20 of them over 13 weeks for their diagnostic test. Majority of the breaches were for colonoscopy (17), gastroscopy (12) and 11 each for Non obstetric ultrasound and cystoscopy.

The Trust also failed the less than 1% target for Diagnostics in December recording 2.83%. Out of 2576 patients, 73 patients waited over 6 weeks with 7 of these patients over 13 weeks for their diagnostic test. The majority of long waiters were for gastroscopy (35) and non-obstetric ultrasound (22). There has been improvement on last month when 3.20% was recorded.

MRI - Breaches occurred because patients were offered appointments less than 3 weeks to fully utilise capacity but patients subsequently cancelled. DEXA - There were delays in booking appointments because the DEXA scan room was required to be refurbished & a new scanner was installed. Cardio-respiratory - Increased demand for investigations, service review taking place. Endoscopy - Improvements with productivity & utilisation of lists ongoing & a weekly scheduling meeting takes place. Due to sickness within the medical & nursing team has resulted in WLI sessions in core sessions to meet diagnostic demand. Your Medical has been insourced to clear all the backlog by June 2018.


3.3 Referral to Treatment Performance

Figure 13 - Referral to Treatment Time (RTT) Performance

Referral To Treatment waiting times for non-urgent consultant-led treatment				
The number of Referral to Treatment (RTT) pathways greater than 52 weeks for incomplete pathways. (CCG)	17/18 - Nov	0	0	↔
The number of Referral to Treatment (RTT) pathways greater than 52 weeks for incomplete pathways. (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Nov	0	0	↔
Patients on incomplete non-emergency pathways (yet to start treatment) should have been waiting no more than 18 weeks from referral – 92% (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	92%	93.22%	↔
Patients on incomplete non-emergency pathways (yet to start treatment) should have been waiting no more than 18 weeks from referral – 92% (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	92%	94.21%	↓


3.3.1 Incomplete Pathway Waiting Times

Figure 14 - Southport & Formby CCG Patients waiting on an incomplete pathway by weeks waiting



3.3.2 Long Waiters analysis: Top 5 Providers

Figure 15 - Patients waiting (in bands) on incomplete pathway for the top 5 Providers



3.3.3 Long waiters analysis: Top 2 Providers split by Specialty

Figure 16 - Patients waiting (in bands) on incomplete pathway for Southport & Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust





Figure 17 - Patients waiting (in bands) on incomplete pathway for Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospitals NHS Trust



3.3.4 Provider assurance for long waiters

Figure 18 – Southport & Formby CCG Provider Assurance for Long Waiters

CCG	Trust	Specialty	Wait band	Has the patient been seen/has a TCI date?	Detailed reason for the delay
Southport & Formby	Royal Liverpool	All Other	40	TCI 23/03/2018	Long Wait on Waiting List
Southport & Formby	Southport & Ormskirk	Rheumatology	40	Seen on appointment date the 16-1-18 the patient was then discharged	Hopital cancelled new patient appointments on 1-9-17 and 31-10-17 and the patient cancelled 24-10-17.
Southport & Formby	Southport & Ormskirk	All Other	41	TCI 18-2-18	New patient appointment 18-2-18 the patient cancelled a appointments for 5-10-17 and 30-1-18 as well as the Trust cancelling appointments 19-12-17 and 9-1-18 due to A&E
Southport & Formby	St Helens & Knowsley	Plastic Surgery	45		Patient listed for surgery at week 1 of 18 week pathway. Patient removed from waiting list (week 48), as patient no longer wanted surgery.
Southport & Formby	Royal Liverpool	All Other	40	TCI 23/03/2018	Long Wait on Waiting List
Southport & Formby	Royal Liverpool	Ophthalmology	41	Patient Treated in January	Capacity
Southport & Formby	Royal Liverpool	General Surgery	43	No Date Yet	Long Wait on Waiting List
Southport & Formby	Royal Liverpool	T&O	44	Patient Treated in January	Capacity
Southport & Formby	Royal Liverpool	T&O	45	Patient Treated in January	Capacity
Southport & Formby	Royal Liverpool	General Surgery	47	Patient Treated in January	Long Wait on Waiting List

3.4 Cancelled Operations

3.4.1 All patients who have cancelled operations on or day after the day of admission for non-clinical reasons to be offered another binding date within 28 days

Figure 19 – Southport & Ormskirk Cancelled Operations

Cancelled Operations				
All Service Users who have operations cancelled, on or after the day of admission (including the day of surgery), for non-clinical reasons to be offered another binding date within 28 days, or the Service User's treatment to be funded at the time and hospital of the Service User's choice - Southport & Ormskirk	17/18 - Dec	0	10	↑

Southport & Ormskirk reported 10 cancelled operations in December, bringing the total YTD figure to 102. The Trust has reported that of the 10 cancelled operations in December all were due to no bed availability.

3.4.2 No urgent operation to be cancelled for a 2nd time

Figure 20 – Southport & Ormskirk Cancelled Operations for a second time

Cancelled Operations				
No urgent operation should be cancelled for a second time - Southport & Ormskirk	17/18 - Dec	0	0	↔

3.5 Cancer Indicators Performance

3.5.1 - Two Week Waiting Time Performance

Figure 21 – Two Week Cancer Performance measures

Cancer waits – 2 week wait				
Maximum two-week wait for first outpatient appointment for patients referred urgently with suspected cancer by a GP – 93% (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	93%	94.67%	↔
Maximum two-week wait for first outpatient appointment for patients referred urgently with suspected cancer by a GP – 93% (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	93%	95.46%	↔
Maximum two-week wait for first outpatient appointment for patients referred urgently with breast symptoms (where cancer was not initially suspected) – 93% (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	93%	93.08%	↑

3.5.2 - 31 Day Cancer Waiting Time Performance

Figure 22 – 31 Day Cancer Performance measures

Cancer waits – 31 days				
Maximum one month (31-day) wait from diagnosis to first definitive treatment for all cancers – 96% (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	96%	98.85%	↔
Maximum one month (31-day) wait from diagnosis to first definitive treatment for all cancers – 96% (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	96%	99.01%	↔
Maximum 31-day wait for subsequent treatment where the treatment is a course of radiotherapy – 94% (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	94%	97.63%	↔
Maximum 31-day wait for subsequent treatment where the treatment is a course of radiotherapy – 94% (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	94%	0 Patients	↔
Maximum 31-day wait for subsequent treatment where that treatment is surgery – 94% (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	94%	97.22%	↓
Maximum 31-day wait for subsequent treatment where that treatment is surgery – 94% (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	94%	96.67%	↔
Maximum 31-day wait for subsequent treatment where that treatment is an anti-cancer drug regimen – 98% (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	98%	98.61%	↔
Maximum 31-day wait for subsequent treatment where that treatment is an anti-cancer drug regimen – 98% (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	98%	100.00%	↔

3.5.3 - 62 Day Cancer Waiting Time Performance

Figure 23 – 62 Day Cancer Performance measures

Cancer waits – 62 days				
Maximum 62-day wait for first definitive treatment following a consultant's decision to upgrade the priority of the patient (all cancers) – no operational standard set (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	85% (local target)	85.42%	↑
Maximum 62-day wait for first definitive treatment following a consultant's decision to upgrade the priority of the patient (all cancers) – no operational standard set (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	85% (local target)	92.11%	↑
Maximum 62-day wait from referral from an NHS screening service to first definitive treatment for all cancers – 90% (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	90%	91.89%	↔
Maximum 62-day wait from referral from an NHS screening service to first definitive treatment for all cancers – 90% (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	90%	100.00%	↔
Maximum two month (62-day) wait from urgent GP referral to first definitive treatment for cancer – 85% (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	85%	84.09%	↑
Maximum two month (62-day) wait from urgent GP referral to first definitive treatment for cancer – 85% (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	85%	83.26%	↑

The CCG achieved the 85% target for urgent GP referral to first treatment in December, recording 87.88% with 4 breaches out of 33 patients, but failed year to date with 84.09%.

The Trust also failed the 85% target for urgent GP referral to first treatment in December, recording 87.84% but year to date 83.26%. In December out of 37 patients there were the equivalent of 4.5 breaches.

3.6 Patient Experience of Planned Care

Figure 24 – Southport & Ormskirk Inpatient Friends and Family Test Results

Friends and Family Response Rates and Scores
 Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust
 Latest Month: Dec-17

Clinical Area	Response Rate (RR) Target	RR Actual	RR Trend Line	% Recommended (Eng. Average)	% Recommended	PR Trend Line	% Not Recommended (Eng. Average)	% Not Recommended	PNR Trend Line
Inpatient	25.0%	18.6%		96%	88%		2%	3%	
Q1 - Antenatal Care	N/A	-		96%	*		2%	*	
Q2 - Birth	N/A	7.0%		97%	93%		1%	0%	
Q3 - Postnatal Ward	N/A	-		95%	88%		2%	0%	
Q4 - Postnatal Community	N/A	-		98%	*		1%	*	

The Friends and Family Test (FFT) Indicator comprises of three parts:

- % Response rate
- % Recommended
- % Not Recommended

Southport & Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust continues to experience difficulties in relation to the above. The Trust has again seen a decrease in response rates for inpatients, from 20.1% in November to 18.6% in December. The percentage of patients that would recommend the inpatient service in the Trust has remained at 88% in December, although is still below the England average of 96%. The percentage of people who would not recommend the inpatient service has reduced to 3% in December from 4% in November although is still above the England average of 2%.

For maternity services, the percentage of people who would not recommend the service, for those areas where data has been captured, are in line with the England average. The percentage of people who would recommend the service in relation to 'Birth' and the 'Postnatal Ward' are both below the England average, with 93% and 88% respectively. (If an organisation has less than five respondents the data will be suppressed with an * to protect against the possible risk of disclosure).

Friends and Family is a standard agenda item at the Clinical Quality Performance Group (CQPG) meetings. 'Developing the Experience of Care Strategy' is for approval by the Board of Directors. The CCG Engagement and Patient Experience Group (EPEG) have sight of the Trusts friends and family data on a quarterly basis and seek assurance from the trust that areas of poor patient experience is being addressed.

3.7 Planned Care Activity & Finance, All Providers

Performance at Month 9 of financial year 2017/18, against planned care elements of the contracts held by NHS Southport & Formby CCG shows an under performance of circa -£1.5m/-5.4%. Applying a neutral cost variance for those Trusts within the Acting as One block contract arrangement results in the remaining a total under spend of approximately £1.6mk/5.7%.

At individual providers, Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh (£368k/47%) and Aintree (£236k/8%) are showing the largest over performance at month 9. In contrast, there has been a notable under spend at other providers such as Southport & Ormskirk (-£1.8m/-12%) and Renacres (-£331k/-11%).

Figure 25 - Planned Care - All Providers

PROVIDER NAME	Plan to Date Activity	Actual to date Activity	Variance to date Activity	Activity YTD % Var	Price Plan to Date (£000s)	Price Actual to Date (£000s)	Price variance to date (£000s)	Price YTD % Var	Acting as One Adjustment	Total Price Var (following AAO Adjust)	Total Price Var %
AINTREE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	13,523	15,168	1,645	12%	£2,913	£3,149	£236	8%	£-236	£0	0.0%
ALDER HEY CHILDREN'S NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	5,602	5,579	-23	0%	£405	£393	£-12	-3%	£12	£0	0.0%
LIVERPOOL HEART AND CHEST HOSPITAL NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	1,822	1,613	-209	-11%	£754	£716	£-38	-5%	£38	£0	0.0%
LIVERPOOL WOMEN'S NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	1,979	1,508	-471	-24%	£455	£401	£-54	-12%	£54	£0	0.0%
ROYAL LIVERPOOL AND BROADGREEN UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS NHS TRUST	11,792	11,636	-156	-1%	£2,183	£2,166	£-17	-1%	£17	£0	0.0%
WALTON CENTRE NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	1,889	1,720	-169	-9%	£567	£526	£-40	-7%	£40	£0	0.0%
ACTING AS ONE PROVIDERS TOTAL	36,608	37,224	616	2%	£7,276	£7,352	£75	1%	£-75	£0	0%
CENTRAL MANCHESTER UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	177	295	118	67%	£33	£76	£43	129%	£0	£43	129%
COUNTESSE OF CHESTER HOSPITAL NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	0	71	71	0%	£0	£9	£9	0%	£0	£9	#DIV/0!
FAIRFIELD HOSPITAL	85	66	-19	-22%	£14	£13	£-1	-9%	£0	£-1	-9%
ISIGHT (SOUTHPORT)	3,115	4,207	1,092	35%	£644	£656	£12	2%	£0	£12	2%
RENACRES HOSPITAL	11,069	9,264	-1,805	-16%	£2,960	£2,629	£-331	-11%	£0	£-331	-11%
SOUTHPORT AND ORMSKIRK HOSPITAL NHS TRUST*	83,707	76,563	-7,144	-9%	£15,909	£14,077	£-1,832	-12%	£0	£-1,832	-12%
SPIRE LIVERPOOL HOSPITAL	283	288	5	2%	£67	£82	£15	23%	£0	£15	23%
ST HELENS AND KNOWSLEY HOSPITALS NHS TRUST	3,354	4,029	675	20%	£838	£866	£28	3%	£0	£28	3%
THE CLATTERBRIDGE CANCER CENTRE NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	452	549	97	21%	£117	£129	£12	10%	£0	£12	10%
UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL OF SOUTH MANCHESTER NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	149	229	80	53%	£27	£48	£21	76%	£0	£21	76%
WARRINGTON AND HALTON HOSPITALS NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	0	106	106	0%	£0	£23	£23	0%	£0	£23	#DIV/0!
WIRRAL UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	236	222	-14	-6%	£77	£55	£-23	-29%	£0	£-23	-29%
WRIGHTINGTON, WIGAN AND LEIGH NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	2,287	3,282	995	43%	£818	£1,204	£386	47%	£0	£386	47%
ALL REMAINING PROVIDERS TOTAL	104,915	99,171	-5,744	-5%	£21,504	£19,866	£-1,638	-8%	£0	£-1,638	-8%
GRAND TOTAL	141,523	136,395	-5,128	-4%	£28,781	£27,218	£-1,562	-5.4%	£-75	£-1,638	-5.7%

*PbR only

3.7.1 Planned Care Southport and Ormskirk NHS Trust

Figure 26 - Planned Care – Southport and Ormskirk NHS Trust by POD

	Plan to Date Activity	Actual to date Activity	Variance to date Activity	Activity YTD % Var	Price Plan to Date (£000s)	Price Actual to Date (£000s)	Price variance to date (£000s)	Price YTD % Var
S&O Hospital Planned Care*								
Daycase	8,411	8,137	-274	-3%	£4,580	£4,095	£-485	-11%
Elective	1,200	1,071	-129	-11%	£3,066	£2,559	£-508	-17%
Elective Excess BedDays	282	152	-130	-46%	£68	£36	£-32	-47%
OPFAMPCL - OP 1st Attendance Multi-Professional Outpatient First. Attendance (Consultant Led)	1,153	495	-658	-57%	£194	£86	£-108	-55%
OPFASPCL - Outpatient first attendance single professional consultant led	10,319	8,762	-1,557	-15%	£1,787	£1,506	£-281	-16%
OPFUPMPCL - Outpatient Follow Up Multi-Professional Outpatient Follow. Up (Consultant Led).	2,910	1,115	-1,795	-62%	£221	£98	£-123	-56%
OPFUPSCL - Outpatient follow up single professional consultant led	30,047	27,303	-2,744	-9%	£2,476	£2,225	£-251	-10%
Outpatient Procedure	20,796	21,908	1,112	5%	£2,777	£2,785	£8	0%
Unbundled Diagnostics	8,589	7,620	-969	-11%	£739	£686	£-53	-7%
Grand Total	83,707	76,563	-7,144	-9%	£15,909	£14,077	£-1,832	-12%

*PbR only

3.7.2 Southport & Ormskirk Hospital Key Issues

Month 9 shows a continued Trend for the previous months in 2017/18 with nearly all aspects of planned care under-performing against planned level. In month the financial levels show an under-performance of approx. £300k with both Elective and Day Case contributing two thirds to the under spend.

Day Case performance has been well below planned levels throughout the year with only June and December showing financial performance in line with plans. Elective procedures show a similar trend with only September above planned activity and all months below financial plans. Trauma & Orthopaedics is the main cause of under performance for Elective activity, whereas Day Case Performance is spread across a number of specialties such as General Surgery, T&O, ENT, Ophthalmology, and Clinical Haematology.

Outpatient activity and finances have similarly performed below plan throughout the year. Some shifts between attendances and procedures noted due to national guidance changes but overall has not changed under performance. Outpatient procedures have seen large reductions in Urology and T&O, while first and follow-up has reduced across the majority of specialties.

A number of factors have affected planned care performance throughout the year such as the impact of Joint Health on T&O, staffing issues in a number of specialties, and most significantly the reduction in GP referrals. This reduction is noted across the majority of specialties and will affect all planned care elements of the contract.

No significant increases to other providers have been noted and as such wouldn't indicate a shift in referral patterns or loss of market share by Southport Trust.

The Trust is actively seeking to bring activity and financial levels back in line with contracted performance, the Trust have indicated this should start mid-February. The Trusts Referral to Treatment performance remains above the target levels but due to staffing issues across a number of specialties is not excessively high.

3.7.3 Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Figure 27 - Planned Care – Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust by POD

Aintree University Hospital Planned Care PODS	Plan to Date Activity	Actual to date Activity	Variance to date Activity	Activity YTD % Var	Price Plan to Date (£000s)	Price Actual to Date (£000s)	Price variance to date (£000s)	Price YTD % Var
Daycase	612	650	38	6%	£358	£485	£127	35%
Elective	316	248	-68	-21%	£720	£583	£-136	-19%
Elective Excess BedDays	79	66	-13	-17%	£20	£16	£-3	-17%
OPFAMPCL - OP 1st Attendance Multi-Professional Outpatient First. Attendance (Consultant Led)	108	42	-66	-61%	£22	£9	£-13	-59%
OPFANFTF - OP 1st Attendance Multi-Professional Outpatient First. Attendance Non face to Face	193	115	-78	-40%	£8	£5	£-3	-41%
OPFASPCL - Outpatient first attendance single professional consultant led	2,160	2,380	220	10%	£373	£404	£31	8%
OPFUPMPCL - Outpatient Follow Up Multi-Professional Outpatient Follow. Up (Consultant Led).	122	89	-33	-27%	£11	£9	£-2	-18%
OPFUPNFTF - Outpatient Follow-Up Non Face to Face	300	661	361	120%	£7	£16	£9	120%
OPFUPSCL - Outpatient follow up single professional consultant led	5,586	5,931	345	6%	£460	£480	£19	4%
Outpatient Procedure	1,985	2,700	715	36%	£291	£384	£92	32%
Unbundled Diagnostics	1,338	1,448	110	8%	£94	£124	£30	33%
Wet AMD	725	838	113	16%	£548	£633	£85	16%
Grand Total	13,523	15,168	1,645	12%	£2,913	£3,149	£236	8%

Aintree performance is showing a £236k/8% variance against plan at month 9. Day cases, outpatient procedures and Wet AMD are the highest over performing areas with variances against plan of £127k/35%, £92k/32% and £85k/16% respectively. The over performance within day cases is principally within Breast Surgery and Cardiology.

The over performance within outpatient procedures is primarily within Ophthalmology and Respiratory medicine. The latter has seen a significant increase in activity related to the HRG - DZ37A (Non-Invasive Ventilation Support Assessment, 19 years and over).

Despite the indicative overspend at Aintree; there is no financial impact of this to the CCG due to the Acting As One block contract arrangement.

3.7.4 Renacres Trust

Figure 28 – Planned Care – Renacres Hospital by POD

Renacres Hospital Planned Care PODS	Plan to Date Activity	Actual to date Activity	Variance to date Activity	Activity YTD % Var	Price Plan to Date (£000s)	Price Actual to Date (£000s)	Price variance to date (£000s)	Price YTD % Var
Daycase	1,240	1,008	-232	-19%	£1,235	£965	£-270	-22%
Elective	193	196	3	1%	£824	£868	£45	5%
OPFASPCL - Outpatient first attendance single professional consultant led	2,510	1,856	-654	-26%	£404	£315	£-89	-22%
OPFUPSCL - Outpatient follow up single professional consultant led	2,750	2,294	-456	-17%	£177	£152	£-25	-14%
Outpatient Procedure	1,809	1,226	-583	-32%	£187	£188	£1	0%
Unbundled Diagnostics	929	671	-258	-28%	£85	£60	£-25	-30%
Physio	1,638	1,268	-370	-23%	£48	£37	£-11	-23%
Outpatient Pre-op	0	745	745	#DIV/0!	£0	£44	£44	#DIV/0!
Grand Total	11,069	9,264	-1,805	-16%	£2,960	£2,629	£-331	-11%

Renacres performance is showing a -£331k/-11% variance against plan with the majority of PODS under performing at month 9. Day case activity is the highest underperforming area with a variance of -£270k/-22% against plan. This is largely a result of reduced activity within Trauma & Orthopaedics and General Surgery. HRG analysis illustrates that HN23C - Major Knee Procedures for Non-Trauma, 19 years and over, with CC Score 0-1 accounts for a large proportion of the reduced Trauma & Orthopaedic costs.

3.7.5 Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust

Figure 29 – Planned Care - Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust by POD

Wrightington, Wigan And Leigh Nhs Foundation Trust Planned Care PODS	Plan to Date Activity	Actual to date Activity	Variance to date Activity	Activity YTD % Var	Price Plan to Date (£000s)	Price Actual to Date (£000s)	Price variance to date (£000s)	Price YTD % Var
All other outpatients	16	25	9	58%	£2	£3	£1	58%
Daycase	130	168	38	29%	£173	£220	£47	27%
Elective	82	128	46	56%	£468	£719	£250	53%
Elective Excess BedDays	23	39	16	71%	£6	£9	£4	62%
OPFAMPCL - OP 1st Attendance Multi-Professional Outpatient First. Attendance (Consultant Led)	56	77	21	37%	£4	£7	£3	61%
OPFASPCL - Outpatient first attendance single professional consultant led	292	493	201	69%	£39	£71	£31	79%
OPFUPMPCL - Outpatient Follow Up Multi-Professional Outpatient Follow. Up (Consultant Led).	82	147	65	79%	£5	£8	£3	67%
OPFUPNFTF - Outpatient Follow-Up Non Face to Face	111	197	86	77%	£3	£5	£2	77%
OPFUPSPCL - Outpatient follow up single professional consultant led	1,090	1,375	285	26%	£66	£85	£19	29%
Outpatient Procedure	199	359	160	80%	£27	£48	£21	79%
Unbundled Diagnostics	206	274	68	33%	£25	£30	£5	20%
Grand Total	2,287	3,282	995	43%	£818	£1,204	£386	47%

Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh performance is showing a £386k/47% variance against plan with all PODS over performing at month 9. Elective activity is the highest over performing area with a variance of £250k/53% against plan. This over performance is largely within Trauma & Orthopaedics. Very major knee and hip procedures (with a CC score of 0-1) are the highest over performing HRGs for the CCG although there are also small amounts of activity against many HRGs with zero plan.

3.7.6 iSIGHT Southport

Figure 30 – Planned Care - iSIGHT Southport by POD

ISIGHT (SOUTHPORT) Planned Care PODS	Plan to Date Activity	Actual to date Activity	Variance to date Activity	Activity YTD % Var	Price Plan to Date (£000s)	Price Actual to Date (£000s)	Price variance to date (£000s)	Price YTD % Var
Daycase	714	802	88	12%	£448	£390	-£58	-13%
OPFAMPCL - OP 1st Attendance Multi-Professional Outpatient First. Attendance (Consultant Led)	8	2	-6	-76%	£1	£0	-£1	-76%
OPFASPCL - Outpatient first attendance single professional consultant led	584	604	20	3%	£84	£87	£3	3%
OPFUPMPCL - Outpatient Follow Up Multi-Professional Outpatient Follow. Up (Consultant Led).	219	70	-149	-68%	£15	£5	-£10	-68%
OPFUPSPCL - Outpatient follow up single professional consultant led	1,412	1,918	506	36%	£78	£105	£28	36%
Outpatient Procedure	178	811	633	356%	£17	£69	£51	297%
Grand Total	3,115	4,207	1,092	35%	£644	£656	£12	2%

Isight performance is showing a £12k/2% variance against plan, which is clearly driven by an over performance within outpatient procedures and outpatient follow up attendances. Outpatient procedures are currently £51k/297% above plan at month 9 due to activity related to the HRG - RD30Z (Contrast Fluoroscopy Procedures with duration of less than 20 minutes).

CCG BI and Contracts teams continue to investigate the coding and grouping processes of iSight activity and finance. Investigations are to obtain assurances that the most appropriate tariff is being charged for each episode of care.

In the meantime, iSight have undertaken procurement of a new Clinical PAS system in order to comply with National Data Submission requirements i.e. SUS. Implementation timescales and future processes are to be agreed via the Contract Review meetings. Possible contract variations and SLA's are also to be agreed in terms of data processing and recording.

Until the new system is procured, the CCG have proposed that all cataract surgery is coded as HRG BZ34C Phacoemulsification Cataract Extraction and Lens Implant, with CC Score 0-1 as a default position unless exceptionality can be demonstrated for a more complex HRG to be assigned. When this approach is agreed, a new finance and activity plan will be varied into the contract.

3.8 Personal Health Budgets

Figure 31 - Southport & Formby CCG – 2017/18 PHB Plans

	Q1 Plan	Q1 Actual	Q2 Plan	Q2 Actual	Q3 Plan	Q3 Actual	Q4 Plan	Q4 Actual
1) Personal health budgets in place at the beginning of quarter (total number per CCG)	56	14	60	17	64	17	68	
2) New personal health budgets that began during the quarter (total number per CCG)	4	0	4	1	4	0	4	
3) Total number of PHB in the quarter = sum of 1) and 2) (total number per CCG)	60	14	64	18	68	17	72	0
4) GP registered population (total number per CCG)	124289	124289	124289	124289	124289	124289	124289	124289
Rate of PHBs per 100,000 GP registered population	48.27	11.26	51.49	14.48	54.71	13.68	57.93	0.00

The CCG reported 17 personal health budgets (PHBs) at the end of Q3, which is the same as Q2. This remains below the NHS England target for PHBs for CCGs. The CCG continues to look for potential ways to increase the numbers of PHB and collaborative work continues with other CCGs. The management of PHBs is being supported though CSU colleagues.

3.9 Continuing Health Care (CHC)

A number of measures are reported nationally on the NHS England website relating to Continuing Health Care (CHC). Three are reported in this report, and further indicators will be added to the report in the coming months.

Figure 32 - People eligible (both newly eligible and existing patients) at the end of the quarter (snapshot) divided by the population aged 18+, and expressed as a rate per 50,000 population




Figure 33 - People eligible (both newly eligible and existing patients) at the end of the quarter (cumulative) divided by the population aged 18+, and expressed as a rate per 50,000 population





Figure 34 - Proportion of Decision Support Tool (DST) CHC assessments occurring in an acute hospital bed



The proportion of DST assessments occurring in an acute hospital bed in Southport and Formby was higher than the national average of 27% in Q1, and increased to 57.1% in Q2, again decreased to 15.6% in Q3 slightly above the 15% target. Data submissions were validated to ensure accuracy.

A CHC Programme Board has been established to replace the CHC Steering Group. The new board met in January, bringing together commissioners, providers and Local Authority colleagues.

3.10 Smoking at Time of Delivery (SATOD)

Figure 35 - Smoking at Time of Delivery (SATOD)

	Southport & Formby				
	Actual Q1	Actual Q2	Actual Q3	YTD	FOT
Number of maternities	239	276	261	776	1035
Number of women known to be smokers at the time of delivery	22	33	28	83	111
Number of women known not to be smokers at the time of delivery	212	241	233	686	915
Number of women whose smoking status was not known at the time of delivery	5	2	0	7	9
Data coverage %	97.9%	99.3%	100.0%	99.1%	99.1%
Percentage of maternities where mother smoked	9.2%	12.0%	10.7%	10.7%	10.7%

The CCG is above the data coverage plan of 95% at Q3 and is now under the national ambition of 11% for the percentage of maternities where mother smoked, with 10.7%. There is no national target for this measure.


4. Unplanned Care

4.1 Accident & Emergency Performance

Figure 36 - A&E Performance

A&E waits				
Percentage of patients who spent 4 hours or less in A&E (Cumulative) (CCG) All Types	17/18 - Dec	95.00%	86.45%	↓
Percentage of patients who spent 4 hours or less in A&E (Cumulative) (CCG) Type 1	17/18 - Dec	95.00%	78.97%	↓
Percentage of patients who spent 4 hours or less in A&E (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk) All Types	17/18 - Dec	STF Trajectory Target for Dec 93%	86.65%	↓
Percentage of patients who spent 4 hours or less in A&E (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk) Type 1	17/18 - Dec	95.00%	80.74%	↓

A&E All Types	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	Nov-17	Dec-17	YTD
STP Trajectory S&O	89.00%	89.50%	90%	90.7%	91.4%	92%	92.50%	93.00%	93%	%
S&O All Types	91.10%	89.40%	90.32%	88.27%	88.42%	85.69%	85.55%	80.71%	80.31%	86.65%



Southport & Ormskirk’s performance against the 4-hour target for December reached 80.3%, which is below the Cheshire & Merseyside 5 Year Forward View (STP) plan of 93% for December, and year to date 86.65%. Disappointingly, December saw the lowest performance against the 4-hour target.

Performance against the 4-hour target remains a challenge, particularly given the inpatient pressures and high occupancy of beds at Southport. Attendances remained relatively static compared to last year. Enhanced support from ECIP and EY are currently on site undertaking diagnostic reviews of urgent care flow, whilst the A&E Sub Delivery Group has been redesigned to focus on 5 key areas to reduce bottlenecks in inpatient flow.

Intensive support from NHSI commenced at the end of November to support a work programme around Patient Flow and the rollout of SAFER across the wards. A MADE event was held on 12/12/17 with engagement from community partners and CCGs to understand some of the current delays across the urgent care system and agree collaborative actions to address these. A number of winter schemes have been identified to deliver improvements in flow, including proposals for some estates work within the ED, and increasing capacity in the community to support our patient demographics. ED medical staffing remains a concern with a number of junior doctor vacancies and high reliance on locum agency staff. 2 new ANPs have been appointed to continue efforts to develop a sustainable medical workforce, and a number of appointments have been made to Physicians Assistant posts to start in March 2018.

Figure 37 - A&E Performance – 12 hour breaches

12 Hour A&E Breaches				
Total number of patients who have waited over 12 hours in A&E from decision to admit to admission - Southport & Ormskirk (cumulative)	17/18 - Dec	0	95	↑

Southport & Ormskirk had 65 12-hour breaches in the month of December. A year to date total of 95.

Significant challenges in managing inpatient flow across the month of December, resulting in overcrowding in ED and 12-hour breaches. On a number of occasions across the month, internal incidents were declared, the site instigated full to capacity, and had additional onsite senior and clinical support. System calls were held with support from NHSE and NHSI seeking mutual aid from partners. Enforced NAWAS deflections were also implemented by NHSE on 2 separate

occasions to try and alleviate pressures. MADE reviews have taken place since 2 Jan 18 in order to address barriers to discharges on the wards to support improved flow out of ED.

4.2 Ambulance Service Performance

In August NWAS went live with the implementation of the Ambulance Response Programme (ARP). NWAS performance is measured on the ability to reach patients as quickly as possible. Performance will be based upon the average (mean) time for all Category 1 and 2 incidents. Performance will also be measured on a 90th percentile (9 out of 10 times) for Category 1, 2, 3 and 4 incidents.

There was a 3 month moratorium in data reporting agreed with the commissioners, this was to allow some time to allow the Trust to understand and learn from ARP and time to start to redraft and reformulate reports. The first lot of reporting will be at NWAS and County level, it is unlikely that there will be any CCG level data for this financial year.

A separate report around the new ambulance performance targets will be presented to the Governing Body at the March meetings.


Figure 38 - Ambulance handover time performance

Handover Times				
All handovers between ambulance and A & E must take place within 15 minutes (between 30 - 60 minute breaches) - Southport & Ormskirk	17/18 - Dec	0	230	↑
All handovers between ambulance and A & E must take place within 15 minutes (>60 minute breaches) - Southport & Ormskirk	17/18 - Dec	0	271	↑

In December the Trust failed the target having 230 handovers taking longer than 30 minutes. This is 43 less than last month when 273 were recorded. The Trust breached the zero tolerance target every month in 2016-17 and the trend continues.

Ambulance handover performance remains a concern. The current Emergency Department is insufficient to meet demands of the current case mix, given the month on month increase in majors category patients. Winter monies for a modular build to enable some much needed estates work later this year is critical. Attendances remained relatively static compared to last year. In addition, enhanced support from ECIP and EY, and dedicated work-streams reporting through the A&E Sub Delivery Group will drive improvements.

4.3.2 GP Out of Hours Calls



The number of calls from Southport and Formby patients to the GP OOH service has risen sharply in December to 1,338. This is the most calls in any month since January 2017. When compared to the first 9 months of the previous financial year, there have been 394, 4.4%, more contacts so far in 2017/18.

GP OOH calls from nursing homes within Southport and Formby have increased in December to 150, making December 2017 the month with the most calls so far, since October 2014. There have been 193 more calls in the first 9 months of 2017/18 than in the same period in 2016/17, an increase of 32.5%.

4.4 Unplanned Care Quality Indicators

4.4.1 Stroke and TIA Performance

Figure 39 - Stroke and TIA performance

Stroke/TIA				
% who had a stroke & spend at least 90% of their time on a stroke unit (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	80%	36.70%	↓
% high risk of Stroke who experience a TIA are assessed and treated within 24 hours (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	60%	0.00%	↔



Southport & Ormskirk failed the stroke target in December recording 36.7% with only 11 out of 30 patients spending 90% of their time on a stroke unit. This shows a decline in performance compared to the 55.0% in November.

This indicator remains a challenge as reported monthly – 36.7% in December. Until commissioning is agreed to support patient flow this will remain below the target. In terms of reconfiguration of stroke beds and Rehab Ward move to SDGH it is anticipated that there will be an improvement in next 2-3 months this has not been realised to date. An options appraisal is being considered and shared with North Mersey Board to support patient flow and dedicated placement on stroke ward.

For TIA during December there were 7 TIA cases with a higher risk of stroke who were not seen and treated within 24 hours, resulting in 0% performance. There were 22 referrals, 9 of which were TIA's, 7 of which were reportable. To address the issue of clinic capacity an additional TIA clinic has now set-up every Monday, Tuesday & Thursday within AEC.

4.4.2 Mixed Sex Accommodation

Figure 40 - Mixed Sex Accommodation breaches

Mixed Sex Accommodation Breaches				
Mixed Sex Accommodation (MSA) Breaches per 1000 FCE (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	0.00	2.10	↓
Mixed Sex Accommodation (MSA) Breaches per 1000 FCE (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	0.00	2.80	↑

The CCG has reported an MSA rate of 2.1, which equates to a total of 8 breaches in December. Of the 8 breaches there were 7 at Southport & Ormskirk NHS Trust and 1 at Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh.

In December the Trust had 15 mixed sex accommodation breaches (a rate of 2.8) and have therefore breached the zero tolerance threshold. Of the 15 breaches, 7 were for Southport & Formby CCG, 7 for West Lancashire CCG and 1 for Wirral CCG.

4.4.3 Healthcare associated infections (HCAI)

Figure 41 - Healthcare associated infections (HCAI)

HCAI				
Incidence of healthcare associated infection (HCAI) C.difficile (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	29	25	↓
Incidence of healthcare associated infection (HCAI) C.difficile (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	27	12	↑
Incidence of healthcare associated infection (HCAI) MRSA (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	0	0	↔
Incidence of healthcare associated infection (HCAI) MRSA (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	0	1	↔
Incidence of healthcare associated infection (HCAI) E.Coli (Cumulative) (CCG)	17/18 - Dec	93	94	↑
Incidence of healthcare associated infection (HCAI) E.Coli (Cumulative) (Southport & Ormskirk)	17/18 - Dec	No Plan	160	↓

There were 4 new case of Clostridium Difficile attributed to the CCG in December. 25 have been reported year to date against a plan of 29 (11 apportioned to acute trust and 14 apportioned to community). For Southport & Ormskirk year to date the Trust has had 12 cases against a plan of 27 (2 new cases in December), so is under plan.

There were no new cases of MRSA reported in December for the CCG and therefore the CCG is compliant. Southport & Ormskirk reported no new cases of MRSA in December but remain above the zero tolerance threshold year to date with the 1 case of MRSA reported in September.

There has been a target set for CCGs for E.coli for 2017/18. For Southport & Formby CCG the annual target is 121 which is being monitored. There has been a total of 94 cases April to December against a plan of 93 (1 case in December). Southport & Ormskirk has reported 160 cases year to date, with 21 new cases in December (8 more than November). There are no targets for Trusts at present.

4.4.4 Mortality

Figure 42 - Hospital Mortality

Mortality				
Hospital Standardised Mortality Ratio (HSMR)	17/18 - Dec	100	120.30	↑
Summary Hospital Level Mortality Indicator (SHMI)	Dec 16 rolling	100	115.88	↓

The 12-month rolling HSMR, at 120.3, remains high and outside expected limits, and the reasons for this are being investigated. The latest monthly HSMR (for Dec) is 115.88. It is being addressed by a comprehensive action plan, managed and monitored by the Mortality Operational Committee which reports to the Trust Board through Quality & Safety Committee.

4.5 CCG Serious Incident Management

Serious incidents reporting within the integrated performance report is in line with the CCG reporting schedule for Month 9

There are 90 serious incidents on StEIS where Southport and Formby CCG is either responsible or lead commissioner. 48 apply to Southport & Formby CCG patients with zero reported in Month 9. 42 are attributed to Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust (S&O) with 24 of these being Southport & Formby CCG patients. 1 serious incident remains open for SFCCG. There was zero Never Event reported in month with 2 YTD (Liverpool Women's, S&O). 6 incidents were closed in month (45 YTD). 25 remain open of StEIS for 100 days.

There were 5 reported incidents in month with zero Never Events (44 YTD). 5 were closed in month (45 YTD). 42 open serious incidents for Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust (S&O), 15 remain open for >100 days.

There were zero incidents reported in Month. There are three incidents open on StEIS for Lancashire Care NHS Foundation Trust (LCFT), with zero incidents reported in month and zero YTD. One legacy community pressure ulcer remains open on StEIS. Two incidents remain open greater than 100 days.

Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust reported zero incidents for Southport and Formby CCG patients in month (6 YTD), with zero Never Events and zero YTD). There was one incident closed in month (29 YTD). 40 remain open on StEIS, 8 for Southport and Formby patients. 19 remain open for > 100 days, 3 for SFCCG patients.

4.6 Delayed Transfers of Care

Delayed transfers of care data is sourced from the NHS England website. The data is submitted by NHS providers (acute, community and mental health) monthly to the Unify2 system.

NHS England are replacing the previous patient snapshot measure with a DTOC Beds figure, which is the delayed days figure divided by the number of days in the month. This should be a similar figure to the snapshot figure, but more representative.

Figure 43 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Southport and Ormskirk Hospital - April 2016 – December 2017

Reason For Delay	2016-17												2017-18											
	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec			
A) COMPLETION ASSESSMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2			
B) PUBLIC FUNDING	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
C) WAITING FURTHER NHS NON-ACUTE CARE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	3	3	3			
D) AWAITING RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME PLACEMENT	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1			
DII) AWAITING NURSING HOME PLACEMENT	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	0	2	1			
E) AWAITING CARE PACKAGE IN OWN HOME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1			
F) COMMUNITY EQUIPMENT/ADAPTIONS	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0			
G) PATIENT OR FAMILY CHOICE	2	2	4	5	2	3	2	6	6	5	1	3	3	4	3	3	2	7	4	5	5			
H) DISPUTES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
I) HOUSING	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Grand Total	5	2	5	7	4	5	6	8	8	6	3	6	7	4	5	3	7	7	13	9	14			

The average number of delays per day in Southport and Ormskirk hospital increased to 14 in December. Of the 14 delays, 5 were due to patient or family choice, 3 were waiting for further NHS non-acute care, 2 were waiting for completion of assessment, 2 for nursing home placement, 1 for residential care home package and 1 was waiting for care home package in their own home.

Analysis of average delays in December 2017 compared to December 2016 shows them to be higher by 6 (75%).

Figure 44 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Southport and Ormskirk Hospital - April 2016 – December 2017

Agency Responsible	2016-17												2017-18											
	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec			
NHS - Days Delayed	142	70	141	210	115	134	184	235	233	171	93	200	198	137	158	107	211	220	384	271	425			
Social Care - Days Delayed	0	0	0	0	6	19	6	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4	4			
Both - Days Delayed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

The total number of days delayed caused by NHS was 425 in December, compared to 271 last month. Analysis of these in December 2017 compared to December 2016 shows an increase from 233 to 425 (82.4% increase).

The average number of days delayed caused by social care has increased to 4 in December compared to 1 in November. The average number of days delayed caused by both remains at zero.

Figure 45 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Merseycare - April 2016 – December 2017

Average Delays per Day

Reason for Delay	2016/17												2017/18											
	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec			
A) COMPLETION ASSESSMENT	3	5	7	9	7	8	8	8	9	7	6	6	8	4	6	6	6	5	6	5	4			
B) PUBLIC FUNDING	5	2	3	6	5	3	2	3	4	4	7	12	8	6	5	3	2	1	2	2	2			
C) WAITING FURTHER NHS NON-ACUTE CARE	3	6	3	9	6	5	12	12	15	18	12	14	9	6	7	6	6	6	6	5	5			
D) AWAITING RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME PLACEMENT	2	3	2	5	4	2	1	2	3	2	1	2	3	1	0	3	4	3	2	3	3			
DII) AWAITING NURSING HOME PLACEMENT	3	5	5	9	9	10	9	7	5	3	3	2	4	4	4	7	8	8	7	8	5			
E) AWAITING CARE PACKAGE IN OWN HOME	2	3	1	3	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	2	2	1	5	5	3	3	4	3	0			
F) COMMUNITY EQUIPMENT/ADAPTIONS	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0			
G) PATIENT OR FAMILY CHOICE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	3	2			
H) DISPUTES	4	5	6	7	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1			
I) HOUSING	4	3	4	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	0	2	1	4	5	3	8	10	10	8	8			
O) OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	1	1	0	2	2			
Grand Total	28	34	33	51	42	37	42	41	43	40	34	40	35	29	34	37	41	40	41	40	32			

The average number of delays per day at Merseycare reduced slightly to 32 in December. Of the 32 delays, 8 were due to housing, 5 were awaiting nursing home placements, 5 waiting further NHS non-acute care, 4 were awaiting completion of assessment, 3 awaiting residential care home placement, 2 public funding, 2 due to patient or family choice, 2 due to 'Other' reasons and 1 delayed due to disputes.

Analysis of average delays in December 2017 compared to December 2016 shows them to be lower by 11 (25.6%).

Figure 46 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Merseycare - April 2016 – December 2017

Agency Responsible	2016/17												2017/18											
	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec			
NHS - Days Delayed	430	550	409	566	477	343	507	604	616	678	436	591	409	488	447	403	613	680	704	705	587			
Social Care - Days Delayed	264	337	359	670	545	505	572	530	537	428	356	343	351	243	367	574	526	406	396	327	218			
Both - Days Delayed	153	144	227	350	391	379	230	180	186	160	179	303	285	197	217	149	132	151	178	166	179			

The total number of days delayed caused by NHS was 587 in December, compared to 705 last month. Analysis of these in December 2017 compared to December 2016 shows a decrease from 616 to 587 (4.7%). The total number of days delayed caused by Social Care was 218 in December, compared to 327 in November, showing a decrease of 59.4%. Merseycare also have delays caused by both which were 179 in December, a 7.8% increase from the previous month when 166 were reported.

Figure 47 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Lancashire Care - April 2016 – December 2017

Reason for Delay	2016-17												2017/18											
	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec			
A) COMPLETION ASSESSMENT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
B) PUBLIC FUNDING	2	2	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	4	4	4	4	4	4			
C) WAITING FURTHER NHS NON-ACUTE CARE	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1			
D) AWAITING RESIDENTIAL CARE HOME PLACEMENT	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3	3			
DII) AWAITING NURSING HOME PLACEMENT	3	4	3	3	3	9	13	10	8	6	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	6	5	2	1			
E) AWAITING CARE PACKAGE IN OWN HOME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
F) COMMUNITY EQUIPMENT/ADAPPTIONS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
G) PATIENT OR FAMILY CHOICE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0			
H) DISPUTES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	2	2	2	1	1			
I) HOUSING	10	7	5	4	4	5	2	3	8	7	5	4	5	6	5	3	1	0	0	0	0			
O) OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Grand Total	17	14	9	8	9	16	17	18	21	18	14	13	16	15	17	13	13	14	13	12	9			

The average number of delays per day at Lancashire Care decreased slightly to 9 in December, from 12 reported in November. Of the 9 delays, 3 were awaiting public funding, 3 awaiting residential care home placement, 1 awaiting nursing home placement, 1 dispute and 1 awaiting further NHS non-acute care.

Analysis of average delays in December 2017 compared to December 2016 shows them to be lower by 12 (57.1%).


Figure 48 - Average Delayed Transfers of Care per Day at Lancashire Care - April 2016 – December 2017


Agency Responsible	2016-17												2017/18											
	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec			
NHS - Days Delayed	374	316	225	144	185	198	91	182	345	318	240	260	212	214	199	133	37	36	43	76	93			
Social Care - Days Delayed	117	126	55	82	31	70	93	62	25	62	42	43	133	146	159	170	157	177	127	120	68			
Both - Days Delayed	21	0	7	20	76	210	357	286	248	184	111	108	120	111	143	113	214	217	260	146	124			

The total number of days delayed caused by NHS was 93 in December, compared to 76 last month. Analysis of these in December 2017 compared to December 2016 shows a decrease from 345 to 93 (73.0% decrease). The total number of days delayed caused by Social Care was 68 in December, compared to 120 in November, showing a decrease of 52. Lancashire Care also have delays caused by both, which was 124 in December, a decrease from the previous month when 146 was reported.

4.7 ICRAS Metrics

The Integrated Community Reablement and Assessment Service (ICRAS) commenced in October 2017 with phase 1, introducing a series of discharge 'lanes' for patients to speed up transition from hospital. The teams are working together to not only support discharge from hospital, but significant progress is being made in supporting people to avoid unnecessary hospital admission as well. Reports from colleagues within the system, particularly in South Sefton, are reporting the positive impact of the scheme, both personally and professionally and how this has improved the patients' journeys. Phase 2 (incorporating patients with more complex discharge needs) will be phase 2, planned for 1 April 2018. Specific metrics for the service are still being developed, but the metrics below are some of the outcomes being reported to Sefton Health and Wellbeing Board as part of an integration dashboard.





4.8 Patient Experience of Unplanned Care

Figure 49 - Southport A&E Friends and Family Test performance

Friends and Family Response Rates and Scores
Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust
Latest Month: Dec-17

Clinical Area	Response Rate (RR) Target	RR Actual	RR Trend Line	% Recommended (Eng. Average)	% Recommended	PR Trend Line	% Not Recommended (Eng. Average)	% Not Recommended	PNR Trend Line
A&E	15.0%	0.7%		85%	57%		8%	22%	

The Friends and Family Test (FFT) Indicator now comprises of three parts:

- % Response Rate
- % Recommended
- % Not Recommended

Southport & Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust continues to experience difficulties in relation to response rates and have decreased from 2.2% in November to 0.7% in December.

The Trust A&E department has seen a decrease in the percentage of people who would recommend the service from 68% in November to 57% in December, failing to achieve the England average of 85%. The percentage not recommended has increased from 6% in November to 22% in December, which was higher than the England average of 8%.

As previously mentioned the Trust have launched a new Patient and Carer strategy which was developed with patients and carers. The Trust will provide an update on improvements seen from this at the December EPEG meeting.

FFT is a standard agenda item at the monthly CQPG meetings.

4.9 Unplanned Care Activity & Finance, All Providers

4.9.1 All Providers

Performance at Month 9 of financial year 2017/18, against unplanned care elements of the contracts held by NHS Southport & Formby CCG shows an under performance of circa £446k/1.8%. However, applying a neutral cost variance for those Trusts within the Acting as One block contract arrangement results in there being a total under spend of approximately £789k/3.2%.

This under performance is clearly driven by Southport & Ormskirk and Royal Liverpool & Broadgreen Hospitals who have variances of -£853k/-4% and -£149k/-24%% against plan respectively.

Figure 50 - Month 9 Unplanned Care – All Providers

PROVIDER NAME	Plan to Date Activity	Actual to date Activity	Variance to date Activity	Activity YTD % Var	Price Plan to Date (£000s)	Price Actual to Date (£000s)	Price variance to date (£000s)	Price YTD % Var	Acting as One Adjustment	Total Price Var (following AAO Adjust)	Total Price Var %
AINTREE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	1,076	1,772	696	65%	£648	£1,065	£416	64%	£-416	£0	0.0%
ALDER HEY CHILDREN'S NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	670	740	70	10%	£272	£314	£42	15%	£-42	£0	0.0%
LIVERPOOL HEART AND CHEST HOSPITAL NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	111	108	-3	-3%	£381	£383	£2	1%	£-2	£0	0.0%
LIVERPOOL WOMEN'S NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	215	223	8	3%	£291	£333	£42	14%	£-42	£0	0.0%
ROYAL LIVERPOOL AND BROADGREEN UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS NHS TRUST	1,234	883	-351	-28%	£619	£470	£-149	-24%	£149	£0	0.0%
WALTON CENTRE NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	3	4	1	32%	£30	£20	£-10	-35%	£10	£0	0.0%
ACTING AS ONE PROVIDERS TOTAL	3,310	3,730	420	13%	£2,242	£2,584	£343	15%	£-343	£0	0%
CENTRAL MANCHESTER UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	66	76	10	15%	£22	£29	£7	31%	£0	£7	31%
COUNTRESS OF CHESTER HOSPITAL NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	0	31	31	0%	£0	£9	£9	0%	£0	£9	#DIV/0!
*SOUTHPORT AND ORMSKIRK HOSPITAL NHS TRUST	43,181	42,663	-518	-1%	£22,063	£21,210	£-853	-4%	£0	£-853	-4%
ST HELENS AND KNOWSLEY HOSPITALS NHS TRUST	398	379	-19	-5%	£212	£180	£-32	-15%	£0	£-32	-15%
UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL OF SOUTH MANCHESTER NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	35	28	-7	-21%	£6	£10	£4	76%	£0	£4	76%
WARRINGTON AND HALTON HOSPITALS NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	0	42	42	0%	£0	£18	£18	0%	£0	£18	#DIV/0!
WIRRAL UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	83	97	14	16%	£33	£44	£10	30%	£0	£10	30%
WRIGHTINGTON, WIGAN AND LEIGH NHS FOUNDATION TRUST	61	82	21	33%	£35	£83	£48	138%	£0	£48	138%
ALL REMAINING PROVIDERS TOTAL	43,826	43,398	£-428	-1%	£22,372	£21,583	£-789	-4%	£0	£-789	-4%
GRAND TOTAL	47,136	47,128	-8	0%	£24,613	£24,167	£-446	-1.8%	£-343	£-789	-3.2%

*PbR only

4.9.2 Southport and Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust

Figure 51 - Month 9 Unplanned Care – Southport and Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust by POD

S&O Hospital Unplanned Care	Plan to Date Activity	Actual to date Activity	Variance to date Activity	Activity YTD % Var	Price Plan to Date (£000s)	Price Actual to Date (£000s)	Price variance to date (£000s)	Price YTD % Var
A and E	28,170	28,617	447	2%	£3,863	£4,042	£179	5%
NEL/NELSD - Non Elective/Non Elective IP Same Day	8,715	7,596	-1,119	-13%	£14,657	£13,609	£-1,048	-7%
NELNE - Non Elective Non-Emergency	779	947	168	22%	£1,819	£1,894	£75	4%
NELNEXBD - Non Elective Non-Emergency Excess Bed Day	79	36	-43	-55%	£26	£13	£-14	-52%
NELST - Non Elective Short Stay	911	804	-107	-12%	£628	£563	£-65	-10%
NELXBD - Non Elective Excess Bed Day	4,527	4,663	136	3%	£1,069	£1,090	£20	2%
Grand Total	43,181	42,663	-518	-1%	£22,063	£21,210	£-853	-4%

4.9.3 Southport & Ormskirk Hospital NHS Trust Key Issues

Overall, unplanned care continues to under-perform against contractual plans by approx. -£853k/-4%. The main driver behind the low levels relates to Non-Elective admissions with a -13% reduction in activity and -£1m/-7% reduction in spend.

Activity for non-elective admissions has remained below plan throughout the year with finance showing a similar trend. December figures are showing a slight increase in finance against planned levels, with December only the second month in the year to perform above plan.

Geriatric Medicine is the main area under plan with nearly half of the under-spend located within this speciality. Other significant areas are T&O and Accident and Emergency specialties. For all the underperformance noted in Emergency Admissions, A&E activity remains above plan. The reduction in Admissions is due to changes in pathway for Ambulatory Care at the Trust with the GPAU service over-performing. This is counteracting the under-performance in non-elective admissions.

4.10 Aintree and University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Figure 52 - Month 9 Unplanned Care – Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust by POD

Aintree University Hospital Urgent Care PODS	Plan to Date Activity	Actual to date Activity	Variance to date Activity	Activity YTD % Var	Price Plan to Date (£000s)	Price Actual to Date (£000s)	Price variance to date (£000s)	Price YTD % Var
AandE	630	937	307	49%	£84	£127	£43	51%
NEL - Non Elective	264	428	164	62%	£464	£749	£285	61%
NELNE - Non Elective Non-Emergency	15	16	1	5%	£45	£68	£24	52%
NELNEXBD - Non Elective Non-Emergency Excess Bed Day	0	75	75	#DIV/0!	£0	£19	£19	#DIV/0!
NELST - Non Elective Short Stay	33	60	27	79%	£23	£41	£18	79%
NELXBD - Non Elective Excess Bed Day	134	256	122	91%	£32	£59	£27	86%
Grand Total	1,076	1,772	696	65%	£648	£1,065	£416	64%

4.10.1 Aintree University Hospital NHS Trust Key Issues

Although over performance is evident across all unplanned care PODs at Aintree, the total over spend of £416k is mainly driven by a £285k/61% over performance in Non Elective costs. The three key specialties over performing within Non Electives include Acute Internal Medicine, Nephrology and Respiratory Medicine.

Despite this indicative overspend; there is no financial impact of this to the CCG due to the Acting As One block contract arrangement.

5. Mental Health

5.1 Mersey Care NHS Trust Contract

Figure 53 - NHS Southport & Formby CCG – Shadow PbR Cluster Activity

PBR Cluster	NHS Southport and Formby CCG			
	Caseload as at 31/12/2017	2017/18 Plan	Variance from Plan	Variance on 31/10/2016
1 Common Mental Health Problems (Low Severity)	4	-	4	3
2 Common Mental Health Problems (Low Severity with greater need)	11	5	6	6
3 Non-Psychotic (Moderate Severity)	64	88	24	22
4 Non-Psychotic (Severe)	208	209	1	7
5 Non-psychotic Disorders (Very Severe)	43	40	3	4
6 Non-Psychotic Disorder of Over-Valued Ideas	23	28	5	3
7 Enduring Non-Psychotic Disorders (High Disability)	130	128	2	-
8 Non-Psychotic Chaotic and Challenging Disorders	67	77	10	12
10 First Episode Psychosis	74	73	1	5
11 On-going Recurrent Psychosis (Low Symptoms)	206	260	54	54
12 On-going or Recurrent Psychosis (High Disability)	248	182	66	63
13 On-going or Recurrent Psychosis (High Symptom & Disability)	105	97	8	4
14 Psychotic Crisis	15	18	3	3
15 Severe Psychotic Depression	4	4	-	-
16 Psychosis & Affective Disorder (High Substance Misuse & Engagement)	16	13	3	3
17 Psychosis and Affective Disorder – Difficult to Engage	23	28	5	5
18 Cognitive Impairment (Low Need)	156	216	60	55
19 Cognitive Impairment or Dementia Complicated (Moderate Need)	481	692	211	213
20 Cognitive Impairment or Dementia Complicated (High Need)	376	266	110	100
21 Cognitive Impairment or Dementia (High Physical or Engagement)	165	67	98	101
Cluser 99	271	167	104	97
Total	2,690	2,658	32	11

5.1.1 Key Mental Health Performance Indicators

Figure 54 - CPA – Percentage of People under CPA followed up within 7 days of discharge

Target	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	Nov-17	Dec-17	
The % of people under mental illness specialities who were followed up within 7 days of discharge from psychiatric inpatient care	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93.8%	100%	90.0%	90.9%	100%
Rolling Quarter			100%	100%	96.9%	97%	90.0%	90.5%	92.3%	

The Trust met the 7 day target with all of the 5 patients achieving their follow up within the target time.

Figure 55 - CPA Follow up 2 days (48 hours) for higher risk groups

	Target	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	Nov-17	Dec-17
CPA follow up 2 days (48 hours) for higher risk groups are defined as individuals requiring follow up within 2 days (48 hours) by appropriate Teams	95%	100%	100%	No Patients	100%	100%	66.7%	100%	100%	N/A
Rolling Quarter				100%	100%	100%	92.9%	100%	100%	100%

There were no higher risk group patients in December therefore no performance reported.

Figure 56 - Figure 16 EIP 2 week waits

	Target	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	Nov-17	Dec-17
Early Intervention in Psychosis programmes: the percentage of Service Users experiencing a first episode of psychosis who commenced a NICE-concordant package of care within two weeks of referral (in month)	50%	100%	100%	50%	100%	50%	60.0%	40.0%	50%	100%
Rolling Quarter				88%	100%	80.0%	70.0%	40.0%	42.9%	55.6%

5.2 Out of Area Placements (OAP's)

Figure 57 - OAP Days

Period	Period Covered	Total number of OAP days over the period
Q1 2017/18	Apr 17 to June 17	0
	May 17 to Jul 17	0
	June 17 to Aug 17	0
Q2 2017/18	Jul 17 to Sep 17	0
	Aug 17 to Oct 17	20
	Sep 17 to Nov 17	45
Q3 2017/18	Oct 17 to Dec 17	50

The CCG has a target to reduce out of area placements by 33% based on quarter 4 2016/17. The total number of OAP's in quarter 4 2016/17 was 3 therefore the target for 2017/18 is 2. The latest reporting period is October to December 2017 when 50 OAP days were reported. The CCG is therefore failing to meet the target of just 2 days.

5.2.1 Mental Health Contract Quality Overview

From April 2017 Liverpool CCG became the lead commissioner for the Mersey Care NHS Trust Foundation contract and as such joint contract and quality monitoring arrangements have been put in place to provide oversight and scrutiny to the contract.

The Trust, in response to the recent Crisis Resolution Home Treatment Team (CRHTT) core fidelity review findings has established an urgent pathway work-stream to establish a Single Point of Access to enable a more responsive access point for urgent referrals. The first phase of this work will involve assessment based staff being within a single team with the Trust's footprint with agreed triage and assessment process. This work also includes the identification of staff who undertake CRHT team functions with the aim of establishing a one stop integrated referral and response across the Trust's footprint. The Trust has appointed a manager who will manage the integrated team and the bed management function so as to optimise appropriate admissions and discharges. It is expected that the new CRHTT staffing structure and arrangements will be in place by March 2018.

In conjunction with the urgent pathway redesign and recognising the need to improve collaborative working, the Trust has developed plans to enhance GP liaison building upon the primary care mental health practitioners which have been in place since 2013/14. As from 1st December 2017 consultant psychiatrists will be aligned to primary care localities and respective Primary Care Mental Health Liaison Practitioners so as to increase the mental health support available for GPs. Contact will soon be established to arrange consultant visits to practices and within these meetings it will be possible to discuss GP patients open to mental health services, and those patients not open but for whom the GP may wish to take advice on to either avoid the need for a referral or for support with signposting to an appropriate alternative service e.g. The Life Rooms. A tripartite meeting involving the Sefton LMC has been arranged for 21st March 2018 to discuss the Trust's proposals to change the outpatient model of care.

Eighteen week referral to treatment wait times (95% threshold) for psychotherapy and eating disorders have been sub-optimal throughout 2017/18 and following concerns raised by commissioners the Trust is working to improve performance. Patients numbers within Psychotherapy and Eating Disorders within both CCGs are small and therefore the KPIs are sensitive to small fluctuation. The Trust has reported that vacancies are being filled and group work has been implemented in both services and the expectation is that performance will improve in the last quarter of the year.

Communication related KPIs within the contract continue to be a focus of concern. Commissioners are not satisfied that sufficient progress is being made and this issue will be raised at the next CQPG in February 2018.

The Trust is in the process of implementing a new clinical information system (RiO), expected to go live across all services in June 2018. The Trust has advised that there is likely to be a period of at least 6 months where activity and performance monitoring information will be reduced or unavailable. Risk is that KPI may be not able to be captured and this could impede the quality assurance controls currently in place through the contract. This will impact the CCGs' ability to effectively manage the contract and is also likely to add further delays to the development and implementation of mental health currencies.

At a meeting held with the Trust on 7th December 2017, it was agreed to work with the prioritise quality KPIs for reporting (e.g. national ones). At the subsequent commissioner meeting held on 6th February 2018 it was agreed to discontinue two KPIs and move monthly reporting for some

KPIs to quarterly so as to reduce administrative burden whilst RiO is being embedded. Trust has yet to respond to the commissioner proposals.

There are already data quality issues for the small services that have already gone "live" with RiO and it is likely that more issues will be identified with the transition of the major services, making planning and monitoring of contract activity and demand difficult

Activity and data quality discussions currently take place at the Currency Development Group and the Trust has action plans in place for the Data Quality issues identified within the existing system. RiO is also a standing agenda item for the contract review meeting.

The Trust was issued with a Performance Notice on 11th May 2017 following deterioration in Safeguarding related performance between Quarter 2 and Quarter 3 in 2016/17. This had previously been raised via CRM and CQPG meetings. The Trust has provided a remedial action plan against which progress will be monitored via CQPG. Good progress continues to be reported against the remedial action plan however the performance notice remains open until the CCG Safeguarding Team is assured that all concerns have been addressed.

The Adult ADHD service provided by the Trust continues to operate at over capacity. Six of the seven sessions per week became vacant on 1st October 2017 and these are being recruited to and the trust has reported that the vacant sessions will be filled in January 2018. The recently Sefton LMC approved shared care protocol for adult ADHD drugs has been approved by the Trust and transfers of patients back to primary care are expected to commence in January 2018.

In response to commissioner and provider concerns about the memory pathway and throughput of patients there have been initial discussions about undertaking a pilot involving two South Sefton general practices and Churchtown practices in Southport to forming part of a multi-disciplinary/multi –agency approach to the management of people living well with Alzheimer's disease. Initial work will focus on gathering baseline evidence from general practices involved and community nursing teams involved. The target cohort are patients who are prescribed Acetyl-Cholinesterase or Memantine. Cross referencing GP and community data will help understand demand /capacity issues.

5.3 Patient Experience of Mental Health Services

Figure 58 - Merseycare Friends and Family Test performance

Friends and Family Response Rates and Scores
 Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust
 Latest Month: Dec-17

Clinical Area	Response Rate (Eng. Average)	RR Actual	RR Trend Line	% Recommended (Eng. Average)	% Recommended	PR Trend Line	% Not Recommended (Eng. Average)	% Not Recommended	PNR Trend Line
Mental Health	2.5%	3.0%		88%	86%		4%	4%	

Merseycare performed slightly under the England average (88%) for percentage recommended for Friends and Family recording 86%, this has decreased from the previous month (90%). For percentage not recommended, the Trust has reported 4% in December. This is in line with the England average of 4% and has remained unchanged from November.

5.4 Improving Access to Psychological Therapies

Figure 59 - Monthly Provider Summary including National KPIs (Recovery and Prevalence)

Performance Indicator	Year	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March
National definition of those who have entered into treatment	2016/17	201	196	179	168	162	151	201	188	140	217	182	243
	2017/18	167	188	222	229	203	207	239	268	165			
Access % ACTUAL - Monthly target 1.25% for Q1 to Q3 - Quarter 4 only 1.4% is required	2016/17	1.05%	1.03%	0.94%	0.88%	0.85%	0.79%	1.05%	0.99%	0.73%	1.14%	0.95%	1.27%
	2017/18	0.87%	0.98%	1.16%	1.20%	1.06%	1.08%	1.25%	1.40%	0.86%			
Recovery % ACTUAL - 50% target	2016/17	50.9%	50.5%	50.9%	46.9%	46.2%	42.9%	51.4%	47.6%	43.5%	49.0%	50.5%	53.3%
	2017/18	48.5%	44.5%	48.8%	55.1%	51.9%	49.2%	46.9%	54.3%	59.5%			
ACTUAL % 6 weeks waits - 75% target	2016/17	98.1%	99.0%	96.1%	94.8%	97.6%	98.4%	100.0%	100.0%	97.5%	100.0%	100.0%	98.9%
	2017/18	97.2%	98.3%	100.0%	99.4%	98.5%	98.6%	99.4%	99.4%	98.4%			
ACTUAL % 18 weeks waits - 95% target	2016/17	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	99.0%	100.0%
	2017/18	99.1%	100.0%	100.0%	99.4%	99.3%	100.0%	99.4%	100.0%	99.2%			
National definition of those who have completed treatment (KPI5)	2016/17	95	85	78	99	83	93	79	115	86	101	98	95
	2017/18	108	118	126	165	138	141	162	171	125	163		
National definition of those who have entered Below Caseness (KPI6b)	2016/17	7	8	6	9	8	6	3	8	12	8	8	7
	2017/18	7	8	1	9	5	9	2	9	4	8		
National definition of those who have moved to recovery (KPI6)	2016/17	39	47	35	40	44	39	29	41	41	44	46	42
	2017/18	49	49	61	86	69	65	75	88	72	96		
Referral opt in rate (%)	2016/17	93.7%	88.9%	87.3%	87.9%	88.0%	83.9%	86.1%	88.8%	80.1%	85.4%	83.4%	80.4%
	2017/18	87.2%	92.0%	87.8%	90.9%	89.5%	92.2%	90.0%	92.3%	88.7%	87.0%		

Cheshire & Wirral Partnership reported 165 Southport & Formby patients entering treatment in Month 9. This is a 38.4% decrease from the previous month when 268 patients entered treatment. Confirmation from NHS England has outlined that Commissioners are advised that for 2017/18 the access standard of 4.2% per quarter (16.8% annually) should apply to quarter 4 only.

The access standard (access being the number of patients entering first treatment as a proportion of the number of people per CCG estimated to have common mental health issues) is therefore set for Quarter 3 at 3.75% which equates to 1.25% per month. The access rate for Month 9 was 10.86% and therefore achieved the standard.

Referrals decreased in Month 9 by 35.0% with 195 compared to 350 in Month 8. 63.1% of these were self-referrals, which is a decrease from the 66.7% in Month 8. Marketing work has been carried out specifically in this area, targeting specific groups. The self-referral form has been adapted to make this far simpler to complete and is shared at appropriate meetings. GP referrals decreased in Month 9 with 39 compared to 50 in Month 8. Initial meetings have been agreed with Hesketh Centre, to attend weekly MDT meetings to agree appropriateness of clients for service.

The percentage of people moved to recovery improved with 59.5% compared to 54.3% in Month 8. This satisfies the monthly target of 50%, and takes the year-end projected figure to 51.2%.

Cancelled appointments by the provider saw a decrease in Month 9 with 28 compared to 79 in Month 8. The provider has previously stated that cancellations could be attributed to staff sickness. Staffing resources have been adjusted to provide an increased number of sessions at all steps in Southport & Formby.

The number of DNAs reduced slightly from 114 in Month 8 to 91 in Month 9. The provider has commented that the DNA policy has been reviewed with all clients made aware at the outset. Cancelled slots are being made available for any assessments/entering therapy appointments.

In Month 9 98.4% of patients that finished a course of treatment waited less than 6 weeks from referral to entering a course of treatment. This is against a standard of 75%. 99.2% of patients have also waited less than 18 weeks (against a standard of 95%).

The provider has confirmed that in response to primary care queries they are working to develop a prioritisation tool.

From the point of referral, the provider is able to routinely offer an appointment to clients within five days. Subsequent appointment times are dependent on the agreed appropriate clinical intervention and the client's own personal preference.

5.5 Dementia

Figure 60 - Dementia casefinding

	Apr-17	May-17	Jun-17	Jul-17	Aug-17	Sep-17	Oct-17	Nov-17	Dec-17
People Diagnosed with Dementia (Age 65+)	1515	1525	1519	1518	1543	1562	1576	1570	1565
Estimated Prevalence (Age 65+)	2145	2152.2	2156.1	2160.6	2167.2	2171.7	2171.7	2175.6	2177.3
NHS Southport & Formby CCG - Dementia Diagnosis Rate (Age 65+)	70.6%	70.9%	70.5%	70.3%	71.2%	71.9%	72.6%	72.2%	71.9%
Target	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%

The latest data on the HSCIC website shows that Southport & Formby CCG are recording a dementia diagnosis rate in December 2017 of 71.9%, which exceeds the national dementia diagnosis ambition of 66.7%.

5.6 Improve Access to Children & Young People's Mental Health Services (CYPMH)

Figure 61 - NHS Southport & Formby CCG – Improve Access Rate to CYPMH 17/18 (30% Target)

E.H.9	Q1 17/18		2017/18 Total	
	Plan	Actual	Plan	Actual
1a - The number of new children and young people aged 0-18 receiving treatment from NHS funded community services in the reporting period.	35	30	140	30
2a - Total number of individual children and young people aged 0-18 receiving treatment by NHS funded community services in the reporting period.	100	80	565	80
2b - Total number of individual children and young people aged 0-18 with a diagnosable mental health condition.	1,877	1,877	1,877	1,877
Percentage of children and young people aged 0-18 with a diagnosable mental health condition who are receiving treatment from NHS funded community services.	5.3%	4.3%	30.1%	4.3%

The data is published nationally by NHS Digital. Recent communications with the NHS Digital team have revealed that the data tables relating to this indicator have been removed from the publication. Discussions on the methods used to calculate these measures are ongoing between NHS England and NHS Digital. The CCG have been informed these tables have not been produced until those discussions have been completed therefore there is no Q2 update.

The CCG target is to achieve 30% by the end of the financial year. Quarter 1 performance shows 4.3% of children and young people receiving treatment (80* out of an estimated 1,877 with a diagnosable mental health condition), against a target of 5.3%. 20* more patients needed to have received treatment to achieve the quarter 1 target.

**For this data all values of less than 5 are suppressed by NHS Digital and replaced with a *, and all other values are rounded to the nearest 5.*

5.7 Waiting times for Urgent and Routine Referrals to Children and Young People's Eating Disorder Services

Figure 62 - Southport & Formby CCG – Waiting Times for Routine Referrals to CYP Eating Disorder Services (Within 4 Weeks) – 2017/18 Plans (95% Target)

	Q1 Plan	Q1 Actual	Q2 Plan	Q2 Actual	Q3 Plan	Q3 Actual	Q4 Plan	Q4 Actual
Number of CYP with ED (routine cases) referred with a suspected ED that start treatment within 4 weeks of referral	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	
Number of CYP with a suspected ED (routine cases) that start treatment	2	0	2	2	2	5	2	
%	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	60.00%	100.00%	

In quarter 3, out of 5 routine referrals to children and young people's eating disorder service only 3 were seen within 4 weeks recording 60% against the 100% target.

Figure 63 - Southport & Formby CCG – Waiting Times for Urgent Referrals to CYP Eating Disorder Services (Within 1 Week) – 2017/18 Plans (95% Target)

	Q1 Plan	Q1 Actual	Q2 Plan	Q2 Actual	Q3 Plan	Q3 Actual	Q4 Plan	Q4 Actual
Number of CYP with ED (urgent cases) referred with a suspected ED that start treatment within 1 week of referral	2	1	2	0	2	0	2	
Number of CYP with a suspected ED (urgent cases) that start treatment	2	1	2	0	2	2	2	
%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	0 Patients	100.00%	0.00%	100.00%	

In quarter 3, the CCG had 2 patients under the Urgent referral category. Neither were seen within 1 week recording 0% against the target, both patients were seen in week 1-2.

6. Community Health

6.1 Lancashire Care Trust Community Services

Lancashire care trust is currently undertaking a validation exercise across all services which they have taken over from Southport Trust. The validation exercise includes review of current reporting practices, validation of caseloads and RTT recording as well as deep dive with the service leads and teams.

This process is on-going with the first set of service validations due to finish January 2018, these include Chronic Care, Community Matrons, Continence, and Treatment Rooms.

6.1.2 Quality

The CCG Quality Team are holding meetings with Lancashire Care, outside of the CQPG, to discuss Quality Schedule KPIs, Compliance Measures and CQUIN development, this is to ensure that expectations of data flows and submissions are clear and reported in a timely manner. The work programme is also being reviewed to ensure it focusses on all relevant areas including those highlighted in the QRP (Quality Risk Profile), Southport & Ormskirk CQC Inspection Action Plan (Community Services) and the enhanced surveillance from the transition handover document.

A review has taken place of all KPIs, with those focusing on Quality, Patient Safety, Clinical Effectiveness and Patient Experience being prioritised.

6.2 Patient Experience of Community Services

Figure 64 - Lancashire Care Friends and Family Test performance

Friends and Family Response Rates and Scores
 Lancashire Care NHS Foundation Trust
 Latest Month: Dec-17

Clinical Area	Response Rate (Eng. Average)	RR Actual	RR Trend Line	% Recommended (Eng. Average)	% Recommended	PR Trend Line	% Not Recommended (Eng. Average)	% Not Recommended	PNR Trend Line
Community Health	4.0%	1.0%		96%	96%		2%	1%	

Lancashire Care meeting the England average for recommended for Friends and Family recording 96% which is unchanged from last month. The Trust is also exceeding the England average of 1% for not recommended in December, with just 1% which has remained unchanged from the 1% reported last month.

6.3 Any Qualified Provider – Southport & Ormskirk Hospital

Adult Hearing

At month 9 2017/18 YTD the costs for Southport & Formby CCG patients were £44,583, compared to £347,800 at the same time last year. Comparisons of activity between the two time periods show that activity has declined from 1065 in 16/17 to 379 in 17/18.

6.4 Any Qualified Provider – Specsavers

Adult Hearing

At month 9 2017/18 YTD, the costs for Southport & Formby CCG patients were £155,248, compared to £171,752 at the same time last year. Comparisons of activity between the two time periods show that activity has decreased slightly from 602 in 16/17 to 567 in 17/18.

6.5 Percentage of children waiting less than 18 weeks for a wheelchair

Figure 65 - Southport & Formby CCG – Percentage of children waiting less than 18 weeks for a wheelchair - 2017/18 (92% Target)

	Q1 Plan	Q1 Actual	Q2 Plan	Q2 Actual	Q3 Plan	Q3 Actual	Q4 Plan	Q4 Actual
Number of Children whose episode of care was closed within the reporting period where equipment was delivered in 18 weeks or less being referred to the service	15	6	15	Nil Return	15	11	15	
Total number of children whose episode of care was closed within the quarter where equipment was delivered or a modification was made	16	6	16	Nil Return	16	12	16	
%	93.75%	100.00%	93.75%	Nil Return	93.75%	91.67%	93.75%	

CCGs should set out improvement plans to halve the number of children waiting 18 weeks by Q4 2017/18 and eliminate 18 week waits for wheelchairs by the end of 2018/19. All children requiring a wheelchair will receive one within 18 weeks from referral in 92% of cases by Q4 2017/18 and in 100% of cases by Q4 2018/19. Southport and Formby plans are based on historic activity.

Quarter 3 shows the number of children receiving a wheelchair in less than 18 weeks as 11 and 1 over 18 weeks.

7. Third Sector Contracts

Funding for 2018-19 has now been confirmed by the CCGs senior leadership team. Letters confirming commissioning intentions and funding arrangements have now been sent to providers. Reports detailing activity and outcomes during Q2 have now been finalised, a copy of this report has now been circulated amongst commissioners. Referrals to most services have increased during Q2 compared to the same period last year; the complexity of service user issues is also increasing, cases are now taking longer to resolve. Q3 reports are currently underway

Information reporting flows are now being received for Netherton Feelgood Factory, CHART & Parenting 2000. Work is ongoing with hospices to establish information schedules and reporting shortly.

A number of services providing support for service users applying for benefits have also informed Sefton CCGs in regard to the number of people presenting with anxiety and stress as a result of the new Universal Credit application process. The application is difficult and appears to be having a profound effect on a high volume of service users, in particular those suffering mental health. A number of agencies have informed that the majority of payments appear to be delayed and residents of Sefton are suffering severe hardship as a result.

Work is in progress to engage further with Third Sector providers and GP Practices in particular services for the elderly, Women’s & Children’s Aid (Domestic Violence), Stroke Association and dementia services.

Alzheimer’s Society are currently piloting a project and have engaged with 9 GP practices across Sefton delivering 2 hourly dementia surgeries for patients and their carers. This model appears to have been very well received amongst GPs and practice staff, further plans have been put in place to role this out further across the borough.

A piece of work has been completed to capture the numbers of referrals during 2016-17 by electoral Ward for each of our providers. This is to be used going forward to identify hot-spots within the Sefton footprint.

8. Primary Care

8.1 Extended Access (evening and weekends) at GP services

Figure 66 - Southport & Formby CCG - Extended Access at GP services 2017/18 Plans

E.D.14	Months 1-6	Months 7-12
Number of practices within a CCG which meet the definition of offering full extended access; that is where patients have the option of accessing pre-bookable appointments outside of standard working hours either through their practice or through their group. The criteria of ‘Full extended access’ are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision of pre-bookable appointments on Saturdays through the group or practice AND • Provision of pre-bookable appointments on Sundays through the group or practice AND • Provision of pre-bookable appointments on weekday mornings or evenings through the group or practice 	-	-
Total number of practices within the CCG.	19	19
%	0.0%	0.0%
Number of practices within a CCG which meet the definition of offering full extended access; that is where patients have the option of accessing pre-bookable appointments outside of standard working hours either through their practice or through their group. The criteria of ‘Full extended access’ are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision of pre-bookable appointments on Saturdays through the group or practice AND • Provision of pre-bookable appointments on Sundays through the group or practice AND • Provision of pre-bookable appointments on weekday mornings or evenings through the group or practice 	-	-
Total number of practices within the CCG.	19	19
%	0.0%	0.0%

This indicator is based on the percentage of practices within a CCG, which meet the definition of offering extended access; that is where patients have the option of accessing routine (bookable) appointments outside of standard working hours Monday to Friday. The numerator in future will be calculated from the extended access to general practice survey, a new data collection from GP practices in the form of a bi-annual survey conducted through the Primary Care Web Tool (PCWT).

Currently in Southport and Formby 18 out of 19 practices are offering some extended hours, however the planning requirements include Saturday and Sunday and appointments outside core hours. No practices in the CCG are offering all three elements and there are no plans to do so at this stage.

The CCG are using 2017/18 to understand access and current workforce / skill mix including practice vacancies in order to produce a comprehensive workforce plan to develop a sustainable general practice model, which is attractive to work in. Current initiatives through GPFV are being explored. A Primary Care Workforce plan will be developed in conjunction with other organisations including Mersey Deanery and Health Education England.

8.2 CQC Inspections

All GP practices in Southport and Formby CCG are visited by the Care Quality Commission. The CQC publish all inspection reports on their website. There have been no new inspections in Southport & Formby recently. All the results are listed below:

Figure 67 – CQC Inspection Table

Southport & Formby CCG								
Practice Code	Practice Name	Date of Last Visit	Overall Rating	Safe	Effective	Caring	Responsive	Well-led
N84005	Cumberland House Surgery	27 August 2015	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84013	Christina Hartley Medical Practice	29 September 2017	Outstanding	Good	Good	Good	Outstanding	Outstanding
N84021	St Marks Medical Center	08 October 2015	Good	Requires Improvement	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84617	Kew Surgery	10 April 2017	Requires Improvement	Requires Improvement	Requires Improvement	Good	Good	Requires Improvement
Y02610	Trinity Practice	n/a	Not yet inspected the service was registered by CQC on 26 September 2016					
N84006	Chapel Lane Surgery	24 July 2017	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84018	The Village Surgery Formby	10 November 2016	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84036	Freshfield Surgery	22 October 2015	Good	Requires Improvement	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84618	The Hollies	07 March 2017	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84008	Norwood Surgery	02 May 2017	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84017	Churchtown Medical Center	26 October 2017	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84611	Roe Lane Surgery	27 August 2015	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84613	The Corner Surgery (Dr Mulla)	15 April 2016	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84614	The Marshside Surgery (Dr Wainwright)	03 November 2016	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84012	Ainsdale Medical Center	02 December 2016	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Outstanding
N84014	Ainsdale Village Surgery	28 February 2017	Good	Good	Outstanding	Good	Outstanding	Good
N84024	Grange Surgery	30 January 2017	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84037	Lincoln House Surgery	15 December 2017	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good
N84625	The Family Surgery	10 August 2017	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good

Key	
	= Outstanding
	= Good
	= Requires Improvement
	= Inadequate
	= Not Rated
	= Not Applicable

9. Better Care Fund

Sefton Health and Wellbeing Board submitted a BCF plan in September 2017, and earlier in July, local areas were required to confirm draft Delayed Transfers of Care (DTC) trajectories and Local Authorities completed a first quarterly monitoring return on the use of the improved BCF (iBCF) funding. The DTC trajectory submitted is in line with the NHS England expectations that both

South Sefton and Southport & Formby CCGs will maintain their current rates of delays per day, and this trajectory is adequately phased across the months from July 2017 – March 2018.

A quarter 3 performance monitoring return was submitted on behalf of the Sefton Health and Wellbeing Boards in January 2018. This reported that all national BCF conditions were met; progress against national metric targets for non-elective hospital admissions, admissions to residential care, reablement and Delayed Transfers of Care; assessment against the High Impact Change Model; and narrative of progress to date.

BCF planning guidance is awaited for 2018/19.

A summary of the Q3 BCF performance is as follows:

Figure 68 – BCF Metric performance

Metric	Definition	Assessment of progress against the planned target for the quarter
NEA	Reduction in non-elective admissions	Not on track to meet target
Res Admissions	Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+)	On track to meet target
Reablement	Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services	On track to meet target
Delayed Transfers of Care*	Delayed Transfers of Care (delayed days)	Not on track to meet target

Figure 69 – BCF High Impact Change Model assessment

		Maturity assessment			
		Q2 17/18	Q3 17/18 (Current)	Q4 17/18 (Planned)	Q1 18/19 (Planned)
Chg 1	Early discharge planning	Plans in place	Plans in place	Plans in place	Established
Chg 2	Systems to monitor patient flow	Established	Established	Established	Established
Chg 3	Multi-disciplinary/multi-agency discharge teams	Established	Established	Established	Mature
Chg 4	Home first/discharge to assess	Mature	Mature	Mature	Mature
Chg 5	Seven-day service	Plans in place	Plans in place	Plans in place	Plans in place
Chg 6	Trusted assessors	Established	Established	Established	Mature
Chg 7	Focus on choice	Plans in place	Plans in place	Plans in place	Plans in place
Chg 8	Enhancing health in care homes	Plans in place	Plans in place	Plans in place	Plans in place

10. CCG Improvement & Assessment Framework (IAF)

10.1 Background

The CCG Improvement and Assessment Framework (IAF) draws together in one place 51 indicators including NHS Constitution and other core performance and finance indicators, outcome goals and transformational challenges. These are located in the four domains of better health, better care, sustainability and leadership. The assessment also includes detailed assessments of six clinical priority areas of cancer, mental health, dementia, maternity, diabetes and learning disabilities (updated results for these will not be reported until later in the year). The framework is then used alongside other information to determine CCG ratings for the entire financial year.

A full exception report for each of the indicators citing performance in the worst quartile of CCG performance nationally or a trend of three deteriorating time periods is presented to Governing Body as a standalone report. This outlines reasons for underperformance, actions being taken to address the underperformance, more recent data where held locally, the clinical, managerial and SLT leads responsible, and expected date of improvement for the indicators.

11. NHS England Monthly Activity Monitoring

CCGs were required to submit two year (2017-19) activity plans to NHS England in December 2016. NHSE monitor actual activity against these planned activity levels, however NHSE use a different data source than CCGs to monitor the actual activity against plan. The variance between the plan and the NHS England generated actuals have highlighted significant variances for our CCGs. CCGs are required to submit the table below on a monthly basis providing exception commentary for any variances +/- 3%. The main variances are due to the data source used by NHSE; this assigns national activity data to CCGs by a different method. The end column of the table below describes the CCG calculated variances from plan and any actions being taken to address over/under performance, which is of concern. A national issue has been identified regarding the application of Identification Rules to identify activity relating to Specialised Commissioning. This has had the (unquantifiable at this stage) effect of overinflating the % variance for each CCG.

Figure 70 - Southport & Formby CCG's Month 9 Submission

December 2017 Month 09	Month 09 Plan	Month 09 Actual	Month 09 Variance	ACTIONS being Taken to Address Cumulative Variances GREATER than +/-3%
Referrals (MAR)				
GP	2938	2095	-28.7%	December shows a dramatic drop in GP referrals which has affected the overall referral figures against plan. Local referral information indicates reductions in December across most providers. Further affecting GP and Other referral figures are changes made at the CCGs main provider to coding and pathways of a number of specialities. These changes occurred after planning and as such could not be factored into the CCG plans. YTD figures in line with plan overall. Work is on going with our planned care and primary care leads to better understand the drop in GP referrals and the potential impact of winter pressures on primary care. RTT remains above target levels.
Other	1566	1768	12.9%	
Total (in month)	4504	3863	-14.2%	
Variance against Plan YTD	39585	40487	2.3%	
Year on Year YTD Growth			0.7%	
Outpatient attendances (Specific Acute) SUS (TNR)				
All 1st OP	3358	2910	-13.3%	Both first and follow-up attendances for the CCG have reduced in December and have shown a reduction for the majority of the year. This is mainly due to lower levels of GP referrals flowing. The majority of reduction is located at the CCGs main provider with T&O one of the main under plan specialities. The variance is expected to lessen with the receipt of freeze data. YTD the planned values are within the 3% threshold. Discussions with the CCGs main provider suggests activity levels in January are likely to remain low. RTT remains above target levels.
Follow Up	7480	6780	-9.4%	
Total Outpatient attendances (in month)	10838	9690	-10.6%	
Variance against Plan YTD	105028	102824	-2.1%	
Year on Year YTD Growth			-5.7%	
Admitted Patient Care (Specific Acute) SUS (TNR)				
Elective Day case spells				
Elective Ordinary spells				
Total Elective spells (in month)	-	1457	-	
Variance against Plan YTD	-	-	-	
Year on Year YTD Growth			-7.0%	Elective and Day Case activity has reduced against 2016/17 levels and against planned levels, this is mainly due to reductions in GP referrals. Additional factors include the MCAS service resulting in T&O reductions since December 2016, and staffing issues at the CCGs main provider. It is not envisaged activity levels to increase in the coming months.
Urgent & Emergency Care				
Type 1	-	3322	-	
Year on Year YTD			0.5%	
All types (in month)	3803	3888	2.2%	
Variance against Plan YTD	33980	35524	4.5%	Local monitoring of planned activity vs actual suggests levels are within the 3% threshold for the year at 0.3%. Activity has dropped against plan in December but is likely to increase on receipt of freeze data.
Year on Year YTD Growth			1.4%	
Total Non Elective spells (in month)	-	1169	-	Reduced levels of emergency admissions against plan and previous years attributable to the CCGs main provider, southport & Ormskirk. The Trusts Ambulatory Care Unit acts as a short stay admission avoidance unit with activity flowing locally and not via SUS. Including these figures into the overall emergency admission levels shows a slight increase against last year.
Variance against Plan YTD	-	-	-	
Year on Year YTD Growth			-8.2%	

MEETING OF THE GOVERNING BODY MARCH 2018

Agenda Item: 18/49

Author of the Paper:

Name Billie Dodd

Position Deputy Director of Commissioning and Redesign

Email: billie.dodd@southportandformbyccg .nhs.uk

Tel: 01704 387034

Report date: February 2018

Title: Mersey CCGs ambulance briefing paper

Summary/Key Issues:

NWAS implemented the national Ambulance Response Programme or ARP in August 2017, which marked a fundamental change in the way in which 999 calls are managed and responded to. At the time CCGs were advised that there would be at least a three month period before performance information under ARP became available, unfortunately it has taken longer than anticipated to make data available at a north west , county and CCG level. Since 'go live' LCCG have kept CCG officers up to date with progress and developments through the monthly commissioners meetings which Ian Davies at LCCG chairs.

Led by the Blackpool CCG central ambulance commissioning team, county leads have been working intensely with NWAS to support the implementation of ARP and understand the impact upon performance and service delivery. Earlier this month they were able for the first time to obtain national comparative data and can now set this alongside north west performance. NWAS have found the implementation of ARP significantly more challenging than expected and performance it is not where either the service or commissioners expected it to be, indeed performance against the most urgent standards is particularly disappointing, although some recent improvement has been noted.

Now that data is becoming available Ian has drafted the attached briefing paper which seeks to brief CCGs on the implementation of ARP, progress and performance to date and the remedial action underway to seek to improve performance and delivery of the ARP targets. It is intended that this briefing paper will be followed up by a resumption in flow of the monthly ambulance performance information going forward and Ian will advise of the contents and progress of the recovery plan and improvement trajectories expected from NWAS.

Recommendation

The Governing Body is asked to receive this report.

Receive
Approve
Ratify

x

Links to Corporate Objectives <i>(x those that apply)</i>	
	To focus on the identification of QIPP (Quality, Improvement, Productivity & Prevention) schemes and the implementation and delivery of these to achieve the CCG QIPP target.
	To progress Shaping Sefton as the strategic plan for the CCG, in line with the NHSE planning requirements set out in the “Forward View”, underpinned by transformation through the agreed strategic blueprints and programmes and as part of the North Mersey LDS.
x	To ensure that the CCG maintains and manages performance & quality across the mandated constitutional measures.
	To support Primary Care Development through the development of an enhanced model of care and supporting estates strategy, underpinned by a complementary primary care quality contract.
	To advance integration of in-hospital and community services in support of the CCG locality model of care.
	To advance the integration of Health and Social Care through collaborative working with Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council, supported by the Health and Wellbeing Board.

Process	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Detail <i>(x those that apply)</i>
Patient and Public Engagement		x		
Clinical Engagement		x		
Equality Impact Assessment		x		
Legal Advice Sought		x		
Resource Implications Considered		x		
Locality Engagement		x		
Presented to other Committees	x			Quality Committee and SSSCCG

Links to National Outcomes Framework <i>(x those that apply)</i>	
x	Preventing people from dying prematurely
	Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions
x	Helping people to recover from episodes of ill health or following injury
x	Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care
x	Treating and caring for people in a safe environment and protecting them from avoidable harm

Merseyside CCGs NWAS Ambulance Performance Update – January 2018

Introduction.

CCGs will recall that in August 2017 the NHSE directed that NWAS would be the next ambulance service in England to benefit from the roll out of the Ambulance Response Programme (ARP). NWAS went live across the north west with ARP on the 7th August 2017, which marked a fundamental change to the way in which 999 calls were responded to, vehicles dispatched and performance targets set.


The operational changes to service delivery brought about by ARP are fundamental affecting call taking, dispatch, clinical call centre support and the operational resources deployed to incidents; necessitating wide ranging system change. ARP posed particular challenges on the Emergency Operation Centres, fleet resource and deployment, and in staffing mix and resource.

In light of the complexity of the changes required commissioners, in line with national guidance originally advised CCGs that ARP performance data under the new system would not be available for three months. Unfortunately the scale of changes required ‘behind the scenes’ to manage the transition from the former operational performance monitoring systems has been more significant than expected and it is only very recently that county and CCG level performance data has become available.

NWAS Performance.


Performance under the new ARP regime has been significantly challenging for NWAS and overall their performance to date has been disappointing and below both their own and commissioners expectations.

The following graph illustrates the NWAS performance for life threatening Category 1 and emergency Category 2 calls to the week of the 8th January.




This performance is set against the target mean response for Category 1 incidents of 7 minutes and for Category 2 18 minutes. In both cases NWAS performance falls short of the required targets by some way, although most recently there have been some signs of improvement.

Turning to the requirement to attend 9 out of 10 calls against a variable set of Category specific standards, performance is more variable across the four targets, although performance against the two higher incident categories is of most concern.




It should be noted that like many parts of the NHS NWS experienced a significant rise in demand through December, with call volumes in NWS + 12.1% above plan (Merseyside + 2.9%); although year to date their overall call volume is only around 1.4% above plan (Merseyside - 3.1%) and overall incidents are actually below plan NWS -1.2% (Merseyside -2.3%).


To set the performance of NWS in context, recently released national comparative data shown in the following suite of graphs illustrates NWS performance against that of the other ambulance services in England (other than the Isle of Wight):




Category 2



Category 3



Category 4



These four category specific graphs illustrate the relative performance of NWS and their distance from meeting the ARP targets, like many other services.

Draft local CCG performance from go live on the 7th August to the end of December 2017 is represented in the following table:

	Cat 1 Inc	Cat 1 Mean	Cat 1 90 th %	Cat 2 Inc	Cat 2 Mean	Cat 2 90 th %	Cat 3 Inc	Cat 3 90 th %	Cat 4 Inc	Cat 4 90 th %
Halton	614	00:09:58	00:16:55	4329	00:28:31	01:02:00	1990	01:53:31	847	02:55:36
Knowsley	845	00:10:18	00:14:59	5302	00:28:53	01:06:26	2456	02:07:04	1390	03:17:39
Liverpool	3335	00:09:16	00:15:01	18583	00:27:09	01:03:00	9989	02:00:16	4717	03:02:22
South Sefton	925	00:10:07	00:15:40	5370	00:31:36	01:15:32	2618	02:07:14	1266	02:52:54
Southport & Formby	574	00:12:06	00:24:02	3576	00:33:58	01:17:45	1986	02:05:01	1185	03:40:09
St Helens	935	00:09:41	00:15:57	5774	00:31:43	01:08:59	2750	02:13:25	1478	03:12:00
Merseyside	7228	00:09:50	00:17:06	42934	00:29:15	01:08:57	21789	02:04:25	10883	03:10:07
NWS	39712	00:10:09	00:16:58	258420	00:30:53	01:09:59	132939	02:07:44	68471	02:50:06

Note:

RAG	Cat 1 Mean	Cat 1 90 th %	Cat 2 Mean	Cat 2 90 th %	Cat 3 90 th %	Cat 4 90 th %
Green (target)	< 7mins	< 15 mins	< 18 mins	< 40 mins	< 120 mins	< 180 mins
Amber	7-9 mins	15-20 mins	15-25 mins	n/a	n/a	n/a
Red	> 9 mins	> 20 mins	> 25 mins	> 40 mins	> 120 mins	> 180 mins

Performance Challenges.

As already outlined the performance of NWS to date has fallen significantly below where it ought to be. The reasons for this poor performance are multifactorial and include the following key areas:

- **Increase in activity:**

There has been overall an increase in the numbers of calls managed by the Emergency Operation Centres (EOCs), as already outlined with call volumes in NWS + 12.1% above plan (Merseyside + 2.9%) in December, although overall incidents are actually below plan NWS - 1.2% (Merseyside -2.3%) cumulative to the end of December.

Any increase in activity above plan causes a service like NWS difficulties, as whilst there is limited response flexibility through the likes of overtime and the use of third party providers e.g. St John / private providers, EOC capacity is more constrained. Increased activity also leads to increased hospital attendances and whilst NWS have

conveyed fewer patients proportionately, the volume of patients conveyed has increased. However it has been found from audits of patients conveyed to AED, that the increase in volume is at the higher acuity spectrum of patients and these were clinically appropriate to be taken to AED. As more patients are conveyed to hospital, this leads to a higher risk of ambulances being delayed at AEDs and reduces the resource available to respond to incidents in the community.

- **Duplicate 999 calls:**

The time available before the allocation of resources under the ARP standards is deliberately longer than in the previous system in order to facilitate the selection of the correct resource, i.e. right response vehicle first time. To patients this change inevitably can result in a longer wait than they may have previously experienced. This is however a part of the design of ARP and in the interval between the call and the response resource arriving on scene the patients may call back several times increasing the call volume and therefore resources required to respond to a single patient. This is acknowledged as being experienced by other ambulance trusts. Another source of duplicate calls can be where healthcare professionals experience a delay to the likes of an urgent or emergency admission transport request and make further HCP or 999 calls.

- **Delayed call pickup**

The ambulance service standards are that 95% of calls are answered within 5 seconds of the call being passed from the BT operator. This is acknowledged as an exacting industry standard for call handling. Since September 2016 NWAS performance has been intermittent with various challenges to achieving this standard. These include: the technical infrastructure; the significant operational changes brought about by ARP to call handling protocols; staff turnover in the EOC's has increased unexpectedly; the time taken to recruit and train additional control room staff; and the increase already mentioned in call volume nationally and duplicate calls.

- **Hospital turnaround delays**

Protocols require that AEDs manage the handover of patients within 15 minutes of an ambulance arrival. Since November 2017 we have seen significant increases in these times, in a number of Trusts with ambulance crews regularly waiting more than an hour in some departments to handover their patients to hospital staff. To put this into perspective in December 2017 NWS lost 10,026 hours of ambulance operational response time with this excessive waiting, significantly higher than the position of 8,588 hours lost in December 2016. Operationally this means less available frontline resources to respond to 999 calls and severely impacts on incident response times.

- **Acuity of calls**

NWS originally forecast an acuity mix based on the previous red / green incidents with expected levels mapped onto the new ARP categories. However, the actual levels for C1 and C2 incidents, that require a vehicle response have increased above the planned levels and therefore increased pressure on vehicle resources and impacted upon response times.

ARP Responses

Standard	% of Activity Pre ARP	% of Activity Post ARP
Category 1	8%	11%
Category 2	56%	61.5%
Category 3	19%	20.6%
Category 4	17%	3.9%
C4H	N/A	1.2%
C4HCP	N/A	5.1%

Delivering the right care, at the right time, in the right place

Actions being taken to improve performance.

NWS already had an implementation plan in place to manage the introduction of ARP and in light of the impact seen upon performance

post 'go live' it was clear that the scale, pace and delivery of this plan needed to be significantly enhanced. The release of national comparative data has further emphasised the need for rapid improvement in the service and the north west commissioning CCGs along with NHSE / NHSI have now required the Trust to draw up a comprehensive recovery plan and performance improvement trajectory. This plan is expected to be initially shared with commissioners and the regulators mid February.

Notwithstanding the requirement for an enhanced recovery plan and trajectory, NWAS have been implementing a series of actions to improve performance which include the following actions:

- **Call Taking:**

Changes have been made to the contents and sequencing of the initial questions the public will experience at the beginning of their call, with the aim of identifying and responding more quickly to the higher Category 1 & 2 calls. Alongside this additional staff have been recruited into the EOCs, to compensate for the increased call times and call volumes, with further changes being made to shift patterns and rotas. Measures have also been taken to understand and respond to the increased staff turnover. External consultancy has also been commissioned to examine call processes, efficiency and model future demand.

- **Dispatch:**

NWAS have begun a process to reconfigure internal processes to better understand demand (calls waiting for a vehicle to be dispatched) and supply (available staff and vehicles) to assist dispatchers in allocating the most appropriate resources to incident categories promptly and efficiently. A significant retraining of control staff is underway, supported by enhanced clinical supervision, shared learning from other ambulance services and the introduction of what is referred to as auto dispatch, all of which are designed to achieve the ARP aims of getting the most clinically appropriate resources to patients in a timely manner.

- **Operational response resources:**

The existing NWS emergency and paramedic fleet is a mix of 25% rapid response vehicles (RRV cars) and 75% frontline emergency ambulances. Historically responding RRVs would 'stop the clock' in terms of the previous 8 minute responding standards, but could not convey the patient, leading to in effect unrecorded patient delays as a conveying vehicle was sought, something that ARP is designed to eliminate.

ARP now requires the service to send the most appropriate resource to an individual based upon their clinical needs and this is often not an RRV but a conveying ambulance. The reconfiguration of the fleet is a significant undertaking which requires planning and resourcing, although NWS have taken steps in the meantime to optimise use of existing ambulances and are staffing them with two crew members.

The current initial planning assumptions show that the fleet mix ratio will need to change to a mix of approximately 15% RRVs and 85% ambulances over the next 2 years. NWS are working toward this; however the practical availability of ambulance vehicles is slowing this process down. In the new financial year the fleet is to be expanded with 54 replacement ambulances and it is now planned to retain some of the outgoing fleet to boost the availability of ambulances. These 'additional' vehicles will be staffed from the planned reduction in the RRV fleet.

- **Future demand and capacity planning.**

NWS and the commissioning north west CCGs jointly commissioned in the second half of last year a significant piece of external consultancy work to model the future shape of the emergency and paramedic ambulance service in light of the introduction of ARP and likely future demand. This work is examining all aspects of the services operations from initial call handling, through dispatch and response. Early results from the review and accompanying modelling work are already influencing processes, capacity and capability across the service and will play an important role in helping shape the future configuration and

organisation of the service, including call handling, staffing and vehicle mix .

Quality and Safety.

The current poor performance under ARP is a matter of significant concern to the commissioning CCGs and regulators, brought into sharp focus as comparative national data has latterly become available and as a number of serious incidents have come to light and are being investigated.

As part of implementation, NWAS with clinical commissioners are undertaking a full review of all aspects of quality and safety, including serious incidents and complaints, in order to understand any impact to individual patients and to embed the learning within the organisation. This will form part of the agreed recovery plan going forwards.

Conclusion.

It is disappointing to see that the benefits offered by ARP i.e. getting the right resources to patients in a timely and efficient manner first time have not yet been sustainably delivered or met across the north west. Commissioning CCGs, alongside the central Blackpool ambulance commissioning team will continue to work closely with and hold NWS colleagues to account for the sustainable implementation of the Ambulance Response Programme. Regular north west, county and CCG level data will now be provided to CCGs on a monthly basis, supported by the monthly meeting of Merseyside CCG leads. Updates on the progress of the NWS recovery plan and accompanying improvement trajectory will also be shared with commissioning CCGs in due course.

Ian Davies

Chief Operating Officer

Liverpool CCG

Merseyside Lead Commissioner for NWS.

28th January 2018.

MEETING OF THE GOVERNING BODY MARCH 2018

Agenda Item: 18/50	Author of the Paper: Lyn Cooke Head of Communications and engagement Lyn.cooke@southportandformbyccg.nhs.uk Tel: 0151 247 7051
Report date: February 2018	
Title: Revised communications and engagement strategy	
Summary/Key Issues: This is the third refresh of Communicating health in south Sefton - the CCG's integrated communications and engagement strategy. It has been updated to reflect changes including revised national guidance.	
Recommendation The Governing Body is asked to approve this strategy.	Receive <input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ratify <input type="checkbox"/>

Links to Corporate Objectives <i>(x those that apply)</i>	
	To focus on the identification of QIPP (Quality, Improvement, Productivity & Prevention) schemes and the implementation and delivery of these to achieve the CCG QIPP target.
	To progress Shaping Sefton as the strategic plan for the CCG, in line with the NHSE planning requirements set out in the "Forward View", underpinned by transformation through the agreed strategic blueprints and programmes and as part of the North Mersey LDS.
	To ensure that the CCG maintains and manages performance & quality across the mandated constitutional measures.
	To support Primary Care Development through the development of an enhanced model of care and supporting estates strategy, underpinned by a complementary primary care quality contract.
	To advance integration of in-hospital and community services in support of the CCG locality model of care.
	To advance the integration of Health and Social Care through collaborative working with Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council, supported by the Health and Wellbeing Board.

Process	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Detail (<i>x those that apply</i>)
Patient and Public Engagement				Updates informed by survey results from staff, members practices and public
Clinical Engagement				Updates informed by survey results from member practices
Equality Impact Assessment				
Legal Advice Sought				
Resource Implications Considered				
Locality Engagement				
Presented to other Committees				Views sought from Engagement and Patient Experience Group members to inform refresh

Links to National Outcomes Framework (<i>x those that apply</i>)	
	Preventing people from dying prematurely
	Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions
	Helping people to recover from episodes of ill health or following injury
	Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care
	Treating and caring for people in a safe environment and protecting them from avoidable harm

Communicating health in Southport and Formby...

**A communications and engagement strategy for
NHS Southport and Formby Clinical
Commissioning Group (2018 - 2020)**

together
with you



Contents

Forward	3
Introduction	4
Our duty to involve	6
Our vision and objectives	7
Our principles	8
Our approach	9
Our structures and systems	11
Involvement in service planning	16
Who we involve and how we do it	18
Delivering this strategy	28
Measuring and reviewing	30
Table of appendices	31
Appendix 1 – Our duties	32
Appendix 2 – Knowing who we need to involve	39
Appendix 3 – Our strengths and weaknesses	40
Appendix 4 – Messages and objectives	41
Appendix 5 – Summary of activity	42
Appendix 6 – Media protocol	45

On request this strategy can be provided in different formats including Braille, large print and different languages.

Forward

This is the third updated version of '*Communicating health in Southport and Formby*'. It sets out our approach to communicating, engaging and consulting – or 'involving' everyone we work with and for.

The strategy reflects our duties to involve our residents and partners in our work, as the body responsible for planning and buying, or 'commissioning' the majority of local health services.

A great deal has changed since we first developed '*Communicating health in Southport and Formby*' in 2012 prior to us becoming a statutory body. The NHS is now in the midst of one of the most testing periods in its history – facing unprecedented financial challenges and increasing demand for care, framed against a backdrop of ever tightening resources.

As a result, there have been a number of important developments in the NHS landscape that we must adapt and respond to. Most notably is NHS England's Five Year Forward View (5YFV)¹ that outlines a vision for more 'integrated' or joined up health and social care systems. Whilst our local Shaping Sefton programme continues to play an important role in achieving transformation described in the 5YFV, we will increasingly need to work with patients, public and partners beyond borough boundaries - across Cheshire and Merseyside – where system wide changes are proposed affecting our patients.

Additionally, our work is guided by the recommendations of important reviews into patient safety². These have brought into sharp focus the importance of robust and rigorous monitoring and managing of the performance and quality of our services and the experience of patients and their families accessing these services.

Communicating health Southport and Formby 2018-2020 describes some of the systems we are putting in place to monitor patient experience, which is important in helping us to spot early any issues that may arise in the services we commission. It also underlines our continued commitment to involving our residents and partners in the decisions we make about their local NHS.

Gill Brown

Lay representative for patient and public involvement
NHS Southport and Formby CCG

¹ <https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/futurenhs/nhs-five-year-forward-view-web-version/5yfv-exec-sum/>

²Independent Kirkup review into LCH <https://improvement.nhs.uk/news-alerts/independent-review-liverpool-community-health-nhs-trust-published/>

Morecambe Bay Investigation Report, 2015

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/408480/47487_MBI_Accessible_v0.1.pdf

Patients First and Foremost: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/government-initial-response-to-the-mid-staffs-report> and Transforming Care: A national response to Winterbourne View Hospital

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/213215/final-report.pdf

Introduction

Why we communicate and engage


Communications and engagement is central to delivering our vision, values and aims. An effective, well devised strategy will support the delivery of and contribute to the success of our strategic plans and priorities.

We also recognise that our communications and engagement activities are intrinsically linked, and therefore need to be fully integrated with each other to ensure they are as effective as possible in helping us to achieve our objectives.

We need to communicate and engage effectively with people so we can:

- Talk directly with people about their health, treatments and care
- Share information about our services and performance
- Work with our partners to transform health services and promote healthy living to better meet the health and care needs of our residents
- Ask people for their views and attitudes about current services and involve them in shaping them for the future
- Celebrate success
- Manage difficult situations

Below is an adaptation of the 'ladder of engagement and participation'³. This model is a helpful way of illustrating the continuum of involvement and the interdependencies between communications and engagement activities.



³ <https://www.england.nhs.uk/participation/resources/ladder-of-engagement-2/>

What we need to consider

For communications and engagement to be effective, they need to be relevant, appropriate, timely and well informed by local knowledge and evidence. So, it is important that any planned activity considers the following questions:

1. Who are we communicating and engaging with?
2. What do we want our communications and engagement to achieve?
3. What will successful communications and engagement deliver?

What effective involvement can do for us

If we get our communications and engagement right and in line with our legal duties, we know they will help us to:

- Produce better health and care outcomes for local people
- Increase people's satisfaction and experience of services
- Gain a better understanding of the needs and priorities of our communities
- Help us to make better commissioning decisions and meet our legal duties
- Help us to design services that better reflect the needs of local people
- Provide services that are efficient, effective and more accessible
- Give better understanding of why and how local services need to change or be improved
- Give greater choice for patients
- Reduce health inequalities
- Give greater local ownership of health services
- Increase trust and confidence in the NHS
- Manage risks that may impact on our reputation

Our duty to involve

Engaging our public and statutory partners in an open and honest manner and consulting them at the right time, in a meaningful way is important to us. Our approach reflects the many legal and policy duties that demand us to effectively involve people. You will find a list of these duties in appendix 1. Here are some examples of how our duties shape our day to day work with patients, carers and other communities.

Individual involvement

Friends and Family Test – we monitor the results of this national patient experience survey to ensure the services we commission meet expected quality standards

Information for patients – we look at ways to offer targeted support so that patients can be more in control of their health

Personalised care planning – we will support those eligible to have the option of a personal health budget

Shared decision making – we will empower patients to have greater involvement in decisions about their care

Self-care and self-management – we look at ways we can provide support to patients to better manage their health and prevent illness

Collective involvement

Involving people in the development of our plans – we will ask people for their views about our commissioning plans and how we propose to spend our money. When we are reviewing the health needs of the area we will ask people what they think should be our priorities. When we are developing new services we will invite views to help shape them – **co-producing**⁴ where we can.

Involving people in plans to change services – sometimes we will need to make major changes to the services we commission. We will involve people, particularly those who may be affected by change, as early as possible in this process to ensure as many as possible have the chance to give their views.

Involving the right people – we carry out equality assessments to identify if any specific groups of people may be affected by our current work, when developing our plans and when proposing any changes to services, so no group is unfairly discriminated against.

⁴ <https://www.england.nhs.uk/participation/resources/co-production-resources/>

Our vision and objectives

Our organisational vision and values⁵ shape and define our communications and engagement activities and the key messages we need to communicate to our patients, public and partners.

Our **communications and engagement vision** provides greater focus:

“We want to be recognised as a people focused organisation, buying health services that represent the best patient outcomes and value, working with our public and partners to do this to improve the quality of our residents lives”

...as do our **communications and engagement objectives** in:

1. Encouraging participation of Southport and Formby residents' in their local NHS, so it is the best it can be
2. Engaging and communicating effectively with member GP practices and our staff, to enable a shared understanding of our work and their role within it
3. Supporting the successful delivery of our priority programmes to transform health services so they can meet the changing health needs of our residents and so they are more effective and efficient, involving our partners to do this whenever we can
4. Working together with our NHS partners, Sefton Council, Healthwatch Sefton and the voluntary, community and faith sector around our shared aims for high quality local health and care services
5. Increasing awareness of health and care services amongst people in Southport and Formby, so they have the information to support them to make appropriate choices, self care or take steps to prevent ill, so encouraging them to take a greater role in maintaining their health and wellbeing
6. Increasing recognition of our work and raise our profile amongst all patients, members of the public and other partners
7. Managing and planning for difficult situations

⁵ Our organisational vision, values and aims can be found on our website www.southportandformbyccg.nhs.uk

Our principles

We recognise the value of meaningful involvement and its integral role in helping us to provide the best possible services for the people we serve. Communicating and engaging effectively – at the right time and in the right way - will be central in helping us to do this.

Our overall approach to engaging and communicating reflects the good practice set out in the Sefton wide Public Engagement and Consultation Framework⁶. We will ensure our activities are:

1. **Relevant, planned and timely** – we will firstly establish the need to inform, engage or consult, so we are clear about our purpose. We will plan our approach, so that activities begin early, are timely throughout the process
2. **Proportionate and appropriate** – the scale of the activities we plan will be proportionate to the need to engage, consult or communicate with the different communities we need to reach
3. **Accessible and inclusive** – we will ensure our engagement and communications are appropriate and accessible by all
4. **Integrated and coordinated** – our communications and engagement activities will be integrated to get the best possible results, and we will work with our partners to organise and coordinate activities when possible to reduce duplication and resources
5. **Credible and informed** - our communications will be clinically led whenever possible and our messages will be consistent with our vision, values and objectives
6. **Open and two way** – we want people to be clear about how they can get involved in our work and how their views and experiences are being, or plan to be, used – coproducing⁷ services when we can
7. **Effective and measured** – we strive to always demonstrate value for money and good outcomes from the activities we carry out, so we constantly learn from experience when we are devising future activities
8. **Systematic and responsive** - we will manage the insight and outcomes gained from our activities to ensure this knowledge is used effectively to inform our decision making
9. **Fed-back and well explained** – letting people know how we respond to their views, comments and experiences is important to us and we constantly strive to do this in an effective and timely way

⁶ The framework was developed jointly and adopted by the local NHS, Sefton Council, and Sefton CVS in 2009 to set standards of good practice. Visit www.sefton.gov.uk

⁷ <https://www.england.nhs.uk/participation/resources/co-production-resources/>

Our approach

The steps we take to involve

When we engage or consult with our patients and residents these are the steps we will generally take:

- Identify the relevant people we need to speak and work with – our ‘stakeholders’ - and understand their roles
- Develop information for our stakeholders that contains all the relevant and salient points they need to know
- Provide this information across a range of platforms, including our website
- Provide various ways to capture stakeholder feedback
- Analyse and consider this feedback for decision makers
- Publish a report of the results and how people’s views have influenced our work and decisions

Carrying out the following activities helps us to plan and deliver our activities so they can be as effective as possible.

1. Knowing our audiences

Understanding who we need to communicate and engage with is crucial. It helps us to design the best methods for involving different partners and where to focus and prioritise our efforts. You can see a high level ‘mapping’ exercise of our priority partners in Appendix 1.

2. Understanding risks

We cannot know all the risks and issues that may affect our work all of the time. We can, however anticipate many and plan for those we do know about. We will consider and respond to any communications and engagement risks we identify. A high level analysis can be found in Appendix 2.

3. Feeding back

We understand the importance of feeding back how we have used people’s views and experiences in a timely and appropriate way. We do this in a number of different ways but we know that we must constantly look at how we can improve the ways we do this.

Here are some examples of the mechanisms we use to feedback:

Meetings, events and forums

When it is relevant, we include a feedback section in our Big Chat and other events. We also go back to many of the groups and forums who have participated in our activities to update them on the results.

Reports, documents and materials

We produce feedback reports about all the specific programmes and activities we carry out, including our Big Chat events and as well as reporting them through our governance structures and systems we also publish them on our website.

Involvement information online

We have a dedicated section on our website where we publish information relating to all our current and previous involvement activities.

Annual involvement report

We include details of our activities to involve people in our main CCG Annual Report and Accounts in line with guidance⁸ from NHS England around report requirements.

4. Other important considerations

There are a number of other organisational systems, committees and policies that this strategy complements and works together with. They can be found on our website⁹ and include:

- Organisational Development Strategy
- Equality and Diversity Strategy
- Quality Strategy
- Complaints and Enquiries Policy
- Disinvestment Policy and Procedure
- Clinical Quality Innovation Productivity and Prevention Committee

⁸ <https://www.england.nhs.uk/participation/resources/ccg-reportingpublicpart/>

⁹ www.southportandformbyccg.nhs.uk

Our structures and systems

1. Our structures

Here we illustrate how we embed involvement into our daily business through our governance structures:

- We have a **lay representative** dedicated to patient and public involvement on our Governing Body, where our most important work is debated and approved
- We hold bi-monthly **Governing Body meetings in public**, where residents are invited to hear members discussing and making decisions about our work. Ahead of the start of these formal meeting, there is an opportunity for people to meet some of the doctors and other professionals who make up the committee. They are also welcome to ask any questions or queries they have during this session
- Our organisation works across four geographical **GP practice localities**. These are well established forums, chaired by doctors and where our member practices participate in and influence our work. Practices also use these forums to feedback service and patient experience issues for action. Quarterly **wider group meetings** provide a further forum for practices to get involved in CCG business
- We have a joint **Quality Committee** with NHS South Sefton CCG and overseeing patient experience is one of its main areas of responsibility. The committee provides our Governing Body with direct assurance of the experience our patients receive from the services we commission, taking action when this falls below what we expect
- Our **Engagement and Patient Experience Group (EPEG)** reports to our governing body via our Quality Committee. It is a Sefton wide group and is jointly chaired by our lay member for patient and public involvement and their counterpart from NHS South Sefton CCG. It includes representation from Healthwatch Sefton, Sefton Council, Sefton CVS - there to represent the borough's vibrant voluntary, community and faith sector - Sefton Carers Centre and Sefton Young Advisers
- By working together, **EPEG** helps us maximise the opportunities we have to engage across the different sectors in Sefton in a coordinated way. EPEG gives expert advice about how and where to go to engage and consult our residents. This includes tapping in to the forums and networks that our partners manage, run and have access to
- All the information we gather from our engagement and consultation activities is scrutinised by **EPEG**, in addition to the patient experience data that is reported to us by our providers, such as Friends and Family

Test results. All this data informs our work by helping us to gauge how effective the services we commission are and where we can improve them. It also helps us to spot early any emerging trends and issues, so we can take quicker action via the Quality Committee

- We design and carry out specific **involvement exercises** for different aspects of our work, particularly when we are planning changes to a service now or in the future, including pre and post equality impact assessments¹⁰. These exercises often use differing methods to encourage people to get involved, aiming to be as tailored and appropriate as possible for the different groups of residents we need to speak with. We design them with and report their results to **EPEG**
- Whenever appropriate, we invite patient, public or carer representatives to get directly involved in our day to day commissioning work, such as taking part in procurement processes or joining our working groups to enable services and programmes to be **'co-produced'**
- Our regular public **Big Chat** events where we bring people together to discuss our work, ask for their views about our plans and feedback how we have used their comments and experiences so far
- We hold 'Big Chat style' **annual general meetings** to make these sessions as meaningful and useful as possible for our residents
- Many GP practices in Southport and Formby have **patient groups**¹¹. These enable patients to have greater participation in their local NHS
- Each year we report all our involvement activities in line with our legal duties in our **annual report and accounts**. However, there are many more ways we tell people about our work to involve them in our work, including a **dedicated website section** where people can find out about current and previous involvement activities
- Many of our organisation's **wider governance arrangements** play an important role in our ladder of assurance for patient and public involvement. Processes and systems are embedded in some of our most important committees such as our Corporate Governance and Quality, Innovation, Productivity and Prevention committees and the strategies, policies and protocols that underpin their work¹²

¹⁰ See page 27

¹¹ These are often known as Patient Participation Groups or Patient Reference Groups

¹² See page 10 for some of these other important considerations

Wider involvement structures and networks


Whilst our organisational structures provide a firm foundation for involving people we know there is always more to do to ensure as many residents as possible have the chance to get involved in our work.

So, we will constantly look for new opportunities to reach out to more people, particularly those who find it difficult to have their say about their health services.

Here are some examples:

- Working with Healthwatch Sefton's **Community Champions** to reach a much wider range of local residents, encouraging them to get involved in their NHS and to gain their experiences of using health services
- Working with **voluntary, community and faith groups** to gain their involvement and via Sefton CVS to also gather feedback and experiences from the networks it coordinates such as Ability, Every Child Matters and many others representing different **seldom heard groups**¹³
- Working with **Sefton Young Advisers** to better involve children and young people in our work and ensure their voices are heard
- Speaking directly to the **people who use services** we commission, so we can better gauge how effective those services are and how they can be improved
- Participating in Sefton Council's **Consultation and Engagement Standards Panel** to ensure we are working in line with best practice

The following diagram shows how our organisational structures and external systems work together:



¹³ Guidance on working with seldom heard groups
<https://www.england.nhs.uk/participation/resources/involveseldom-heard/>

2. Our systems

Having a systematic approach to collecting all the views and experience we receive from the public and our other partners is vital, if we are to truly commission responsive services that reflect the needs of local people. Below are some examples of the systems we use to help us manage and act on information via our governance structures described earlier.

Patient experience and insight dashboard

We are continuing to develop a patient experience dashboard to improve reporting of this data to EPEG¹⁴. Part of EPEG's role is to scrutinise patient experience data, including Friends and Family Test results, reports of serious incidents and complaints from our service providers. This helps us to help spot trends and to act early on emerging issues, which are then escalated to the Quality Committee for action. Monitoring by EPEG also supports us to better understand which services work well and to share their best practice with other providers. A patient experience dashboard would provide a more systematic process for managing and overseeing data. Our early prototype uses a software system called 'Insight'.

Communications and engagement dashboard


Our CCG communications and engagement activities are reported to our leadership team and EPEG in an easy to read one page monthly dashboard. Where possible we include data to show the outcomes of these activities, allowing monitoring and comparisons over time.

Customer relationship management

We use a secure database, called My NHS to store contact information for all the people who have asked to be kept updated about our work. When we are out and about we have been asking more people if they would like to join. This system keeps this data securely and it helps us to extend the number of people we are in contact with.

¹⁴ See page 11 for information about EPEG
February 2018

The following diagram shows our how our structures and systems work together with the aim of ensuring a systematic approach to managing all our data and insight.



Involvement in service planning

Planning and shaping our services

Our work revolves around an annual ‘**commissioning cycle**’ that sees us regularly analysing and assessing the effectiveness of current services, exploring if there are any gaps and where we might need to make changes. Public and partner involvement is central to the process, taking place at every stage. The diagram below explains how this works.



Our strategy for local services

The NHS must change if it is to remain efficient and effective in this time of unprecedented challenge of dwindling finances and increasing demand on its services.

In response to these challenges, the national 5 Year Forward View (5YFV) suggests new models of care to transform and futureproof NHS services. Our strategic vision for **community centred health and care** - where services work better together, are more responsive to people’s needs and are provided as close to people’s homes as possible – is in line with the thinking set out in the 5YFV. This vision is central to our evolving **Shaping Sefton**¹⁵ strategy and its three overarching areas of focus - primary care, urgent care and care for our older and vulnerable residents. Residents and partners views informed the development of our strategy.

¹⁵ <http://www.southportandformbyccg.nhs.uk/what-we-do/shaping-sefton/>

Our strategy's place in the bigger picture

If we are to achieve our vision and the requirements of the 5YFV, as well as effectively tackle the challenges facing the NHS, we need to work even closer with our partners from across health and social care including Sefton Council.

Our three Strategic priority areas of primary care, urgent care and care for our older and more vulnerable residents have been informed by our work with the council around the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment, Sefton Strategy for Health and Wellbeing and our joint strategy for integration Making it happen.

Beyond Sefton, we are working even more closely and systematically with partners across Cheshire and Merseyside to better understand where bigger system changes might improve care for our local residents. New networks, forums and structures are beginning to emerge to support organisations across wider areas to work together more effectively towards providing integrated services where appropriate. Similar options for Cheshire and Merseyside are being explored through an emerging health and care partnership¹⁶. Guidance¹⁷ around patient and public participation for those teams developing and leading these system wide models was published by NHS England in 2016.

This new and emerging operating environment is likely to pose many further challenges for the local NHS. Importantly however, it means we have the potential to achieve more for local residents than we could do individually, as there is greater strength in working together.

The role of ongoing involvement

Embedding communications and engagement in our local and regional transformational projects, programmes and service developments will be crucial to their success.

Involvement will be built into overarching project plans from the start, so their feasibility and resourcing is accounted for from the outset. This will also help us to identify any issues, providing early support and good understanding of the challenges involved.

This work will see us working closely with partners in Cheshire and Merseyside when required to ensure the views and experiences of Sefton residents are represented in any proposed changes that may affect their health and services.

¹⁶ <https://www.england.nhs.uk/systemchange/>

¹⁷ <https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/engaging-local-people-a-guide-for-local-areas-developing-sustainability-and-transformation-plans/>

Who we involve and how we do it

We use a range of 'channels' or methods to inform and encourage involvement with our priority audiences – **local residents, partners** and **member GP practices and staff**.

This section gives an overview of some of the key groups and individuals we communicate and engage with, and some of the channels we will use but these are not exhaustive.

It also sets out some of the **underpinning activities** that support our communications and engagement activities.

Our residents

We involve our residents in our work in a number of different ways. The list below highlights some of the core methods we use but it is by no means exhaustive. We continually look at how we can strengthen these further and supplement them with other activities and events, according to feedback from local people and based on our commissioning needs.

Big Chats

Our Big Chats provide a forum where we talk together with our residents about our work, ask for their views about our plans and feedback how we have used people's comments and experiences so far. We also hold Mini Chats to really focus on specific topics and where we can go out to talk to groups and individuals who often find it difficult to have their say about health services. We combined our Annual General Meeting with a Big Chat in 2015 and this approach was well received by attendees, so we have replicated this every year since. We are looking at how we can make Big Chats more accessible based on feedback, by shaping their content and format, the times they are held, as well as exploring more focused Big Chat style events for young people and other seldom heard groups for example.

Talking to patients

It is essential that we gain 'first hand' experience from the patients of specific services when we are planning changes or improvements to them. We need to ensure we have a full understanding of any impact our changes may have on patients, so we can address issues and amend our plans when necessary. We design appropriate methods to do this including surveys, events, focus groups and sometimes inviting residents to join our working groups to directly input into our work.

Involving younger residents

We know there is always more we can do to involve children and young people to ensure their voices are heard. We are active members of a number of committees and groups with organisations from across Sefton, which are focused on children's care and services. Our partnerships with VCF groups and organisations are also important in helping us reach these seldom heard groups.

Sefton Young Advisers are represented at EPEG and we are committed to working more closely with the team to involve children and young people, adopting the Advisers' engagement toolkit for young people and co-producing whenever possible and appropriate.

Media relations

A number of distinct and well respected publications remain in Southport and Formby, despite the national contraction in print media. The majority of newspapers are free sheets, delivered directly to a high proportion of homes in the area. These organisations are increasingly looking to boost their online presence, which presents us with new opportunities and challenges. Regional radio stations, such as BBC Radio Merseyside and Radio City command strong and loyal listenership, whilst national and trade publications present the opportunity to influence decision makers at a regional and national level. It is essential we manage our media effectively and to support members and staff in doing this we have a media protocol (Appendix 5).

Governing Body meetings

We hold bi-monthly Governing Body meetings in public, where residents can hear members discussing and making decisions about our work. Ahead of the start of these formal meeting, there is an opportunity for people to meet some of the doctors and other professionals who make up the committee. They are also welcome to ask any questions or queries they have during this session.

Patient groups

Our member practices are now required to have a patient group, sometimes known as Patient Participation Groups or Patient Reference Groups. They provide a forum for people to get involved in their practice and the services it offers. They also provide us with an opportunity to inform and involve members in our wider CCG work. We will continue to explore ways we might more systematically enable the involvement of these networks in designing and shaping our plans and services.

Corporate documents

We are required to produce an Annual Report and Accounts. In addition to this we will publish a number of other corporate strategies and reports that will further illustrate our work and performance. We will only produce new printed materials when absolutely necessary in support of 'greener' working practices. So, whenever possible, corporate documents will be produced electronically, only offering alternative formats on request.

Digital communications

Digital and social media now permeate our daily lives and we are exploring opportunities where we can effectively use these channels of communication in support of our objectives (page 7). Mobiles and smartphones are increasingly becoming the gateway of choice to digital channels, particularly amongst our younger residents. So, we will continue to explore the benefits and opportunities of these channels for achieving a two way dialogue with our publics and partners. Better engagement through social media was one of the recommendations of the 2015 Sefton Youth Voice and Participation Strategy and we will look to work with Young Advisers to inform our approach when targeting this age group.

Website

We refreshed our website in 2016 to make it more engaging and relevant to our residents and our partners. It provides a further mechanism for people to contact us and give their views. In addition it contains more information and offers more user functionality helping to further build recognition, reputation and understanding of who we are and what we do.

e-bulletin

We will launch an e-bulletin in 2018 providing updates about our work to those members of the public and our partners who have signed up to our Customer Relationship Management System (page 14). We invite people to sign up to our database via our website and leave comments about the items it contains.

Social media

Our social media strategy looks at how we can implement, manage and monitor the use of these channels to support our objectives. We currently support Twitter and You Tube channels with the aim of engaging a much wider group of residents and key influencers. These provide an additional gateway to our website and we continue to explore employing other new channels that may support our communications objectives.

Video

This medium offers the potential for more immediate and engaging storytelling. Mobile devices give us the technology to do this and we have adopted an 'think video' approach to our activities to strengthen our messaging. This can be a time intensive activity and will need to be balanced against our core priorities.

Maximising our public waiting areas

We secured national funding in 2017 to install digital TV style information systems in a number of our practices' waiting rooms. Once fully installed, we will be able to tap into these systems to promote key overarching health messages, in addition to practice based information.

Working with partners to amplify our voice

Our partners use a range of channels to communicate with their staff, service users, members and patients and often include messages on our behalf. We will look at how we can further maximise these good, reciprocal partnerships that we have established, to both support their work and to maximise the impact of our messaging. This includes working jointly on campaigns and recent examples include promoting winter health, flu vaccinations and our Examine Your Options campaign encouraging people to choose the most appropriate service for their needs. Our partners also support the distribution of our key campaign materials to point of service delivery venues in their networks. All this is helping us to reach out more widely to communicate with our patients, the public and other partners.

Our partners

We know we cannot achieve the improvement that we are aiming for in isolation. Having strong partnerships is crucial in helping us to achieve the best possible results for local people. Here are some of the partners we work with and some of the ways we involve and inform them in our work.

Sefton Health and Wellbeing Board

As active and committed members of the board, we work collectively to involve our publics and other partners in our work – from developing our JSNA and Health and Wellbeing Strategy. Our shared vision of more joined up, integrated services mirrors our Shaping Sefton programme and together we have a strategy for integration called ‘Making it Happen’. We aim to coordinate our activities, avoid duplication and maximise our resources and capacity whenever it is practical and appropriate.

Sefton Overview and Scrutiny Committee for Adult Social Care

We will continue to build good relationships with this committee. Our statutory duty to the committee is set out on page 10. Our Chief Officer attends every meeting to update councillors about our work. We will inform and involve the committee early about any relevant plans or changes to services. Other areas of specific work will be supported by members of the Operational Team.

Healthcare providers and partners

There are many NHS and non-NHS organisations that provide local health services on our behalf. So, we need to involve these partners early when we are developing our plans. This will be particularly important when considering transformational changes to local healthcare, which will require different and more effective ways of working in order to secure improvements to services that will benefit our local residents. We work together with a number of other NHS organisations to either provide services or monitor the quality and performance of the services and care we commission. We will look to carry out joint communications whenever appropriate with our NHS partners to ensure consistency and support. Partners include NHS England, NHS Improvement, other CCGs and the many hospitals and community services that provide care on our behalf.

Politicians and other key influencers

Members of Parliament (MPs) are uniquely positioned to provide us with views and perspectives about the services we commission based on the experiences of their constituents. It also means they are able to alert us early to problems, so we can begin to rectify them as soon as possible. Local councillors also provide similar insight into the care their electorate need and experience. We aim to hold regular meetings between our Chair and / or Chief Officer and local MPs to develop positive relationships, and we will respond quickly and effectively to requests in relation to parliamentary questions. We will work with Sefton Council to ensure its elected members are appropriately informed and involved in our work.

Healthwatch Sefton

We work with Healthwatch Sefton in a number of ways. The Chair of Healthwatch Sefton is a co-opted member of our Governing Body and the organisation is a member of the Health and Wellbeing Board and an active member of our EPEG group. These forums all present opportunities for Healthwatch to ensure the patients and publics it represents are kept up to date about our work, and for the organisation to feedback any comments directly to us, in its capacity as 'critical friend'. Healthwatch Sefton's network of Community Champions also presents us with greater opportunities to communicate with patients, local residents and voluntary, community and faith groups. We regularly attend these network meetings and have an agreed process for dealing with any queries or issues that so we can long, track and spot any trends over time. This working relationship helps us to engaging more widely with local people, particularly those who would not otherwise give their views about their local NHS, or whose voice is seldom heard. We continue to work together with Healthwatch Sefton to explore further opportunities for joint working.

Voluntary Community and Faith Sector

Our links with the voluntary community and faith sector (VCF) are extremely important to us. These links support us in providing information to, and gaining feedback from harder to reach groups via the VCF sector. Sefton CVS provides the link between the VCF sector and our EPEG group. This includes Sefton Equalities Partnership, Sefton Health and Social Care Forum and the Every Child Matters Forum. EPEG receives regular updates from the groups and networks that Sefton CVS coordinates. We will work together to explore how this can be further strengthened in the year ahead to ensure we are reaching the people who may be affected most by our work.

Our member GP practices and staff

We are one organisation bringing together many doctors and other professional who make up our membership. We are bound together by our CCG Constitution, which describes the individual responsibilities of our member practices and the systems in we have put in place to enable us to work effectively. We will support the effective delivery of our Organisational Development Strategy to keep our members and staff engaged and involved in our work. Here are more examples of how we **involve and inform** member GPs and our staff.

Training and development

We support regular Protected Learning Time events for the doctors, nurses and practice staff that make up our membership. These focus on different topics and subjects to support our membership in their day to day work. Alongside this we will strengthen our programme of development opportunities and support to our staff, clinical leads and Governing Body members.

Strengthening locality working

Locality working is central to how we want our organisation to operate and our commitment to this is set out in our founding Constitution¹⁸. Practices in each of our four locality areas come together each month to discuss commissioning issues. Each is led by a GP and supported by a locality manager from our operational team to devise schemes and initiatives to benefit their patients. We will continue to look at ways to further empower our localities through strengthened support in line with our Organisational Development Strategy.

Supporting our staff

We have a range of structured internal forums, including team and wider operational meetings, to ensure our staff have appropriate ongoing opportunities to be involved in shaping our day to day work and to be kept up to date with business across the organisation. In addition, we have a Sounding Board group, which includes a representative from each department. Sounding Board provides an important forum for airing workplace issues and for sharing ideas to improve the working environment.

¹⁸ Our Constitution can be downloaded from our website
February 2018

Digital and e-communications

We have a weekly e-bulletin and an intranet for our member practices and staff giving our members and employees access to a range of information that is useful in helping them to carry out their day to day work. We regularly review these channels and some of the improvements we have made so far based on feedback include launching a monthly staff bulletin in 2017 and redesigning our member GP practice and staff intranet to better meet our changing workloads that we expect to go live during 2018. We continue to look at ways to improve information channels with practices and staff. This includes streamlining email communications where possible. Our protocol encourages staff to use the intranet and e-bulletin as the main channels for non urgent operational communications to help reduce the circulation of often unnecessary global emails.

Underpinning activities

Brand management

There are high levels of trust and credibility in the NHS identity amongst our population. At the end of 2012 we created a visual identity, which incorporates NHS guidelines and which we use across our different channels of communication and corporate documents. We reviewed this visual identity in 2013, testing it with local people. Whilst the feedback was positive, the exercise highlighted areas for improvement and we revised our visual identity as a result. Effective management of our identity and corporate house style is an important element in promoting our reputation - the visual identity is designed to represent our vision and values clearly in all our communications. We must continue to ensure that our visual identity and corporate house style are consistently applied to ensure maximum recognition of our work. Alongside our CCG identity, the NHS issued revised identity guidelines in 2017 marking some changes to branding elements. We have been introducing our new organisational logo across all new materials since the changes came into effect.

Content planning

We will develop a content plan that maximises our messaging across our different channels, mediums and other activities. Good content planning is essential if we are to ensure consistency and timeliness in our messaging, and this will further support us in building trust and awareness of our work in line with our objectives.

Crisis and issues management

In the event of a crisis or major incident, effective and timely communications are critical. We will horizon scan for potential negative or difficult issues and prepare appropriate responses for any emerging problems. This means adopting a whole system overview of the information we gain through complaints, freedom of information requests, MP letters, parliamentary questions, patient experience, engagement and campaign insight - ensuring communications is considered as part of our EPEG group.

Equality impact analysis

We carry out equality impact analyses (EIA) on all of our key work programmes in line with our duties under the Equality Act¹⁹. These inform option development and consultees at the beginning of a consultation. They also inform decision makers post consultation.

¹⁹ See Appendix 1 – our duties: item 10, page 35
February 2018

Delivering this strategy

1. Roles and responsibilities

Members of our Governing Body and Operational Team will take a pro-active approach to carrying out their roles outlined below. They will do this in a timely way and be mindful of external deadlines in support of a positive reputation amongst our stakeholders.

Our Governing Body is responsible for:

Taking the lead and fronting media activity, both in relation to proactive and reactive issues

Lead on the delivery of high level communication to staff, constituent practices, partners and providers

Alerting the communications and engagement team to any emerging issues

Attendance and involvement in public events

Our Operational Team is responsible for:

Ensuring communications and engagement are represented in all workstreams and appropriate leads are alerted of any emerging issues

Informing and gaining the advice and involvement of the Communications and Engagement Team in all relevant activities

Supporting our e-bulletin and intranet first protocol for sharing appropriate information

Working pro-actively to provide updates to our Communication and Engagement Team for inclusion in briefings, press releases, bulletins, websites and newsletters etc

Communications and Engagement Team will be responsible for:

Developing and managing the operational delivery of the communications and engagement elements within this strategy providing an integrated, seamless service

Providing the Governing Body with timely progress reports and ensure that the Chair, Chief Officer and Senior Leadership Team are made aware of any significant issues or risks

Providing strategic communications and engagement input and advice to our work

Identifying, planning for and responding to emerging issues which may have a detrimental impact on reputation

Handling of all media activity – including social media and reactive media activity, ensuring appropriate response and timely escalation of issues and, where required, co-ordinate responses with communication leads from partner and provider organisations – to ensure a consistent approach

Oversight of all regulatory and non regulatory communications and engagement

Supporting the Operational Team with practical communication support

Acting as the first point of contact for our partners, including community and third sector groups in relation to public engagement and communications activity

2. Resourcing

We have many competing priorities and we must be realistic about what we can achieve. So, we must ensure our activities are focused on meeting our objectives, cost effective, make the best use of our capacity and regularly reviewed. This will be particularly important for any system wide transformational schemes, where we will need to consider resources from the outset, as part of the wider programme costs.

In recognition of the central and vital role of communications and engagement in our work, we strengthened our internal team in 2017 converting a successful two year Digital Communications and Engagement Internship scheme with John Moores University into a new full time role to concentrate on these quickly evolving communications channels.

Measuring and reviewing

We are mindful of the need for ongoing evaluation to measure and review the efficiency and effectiveness of our communications and engagement objectives. This section describes our approach for doing this.

Measuring

In 2017 new patient and public participation measures were added to the Improvement and Assessment Framework (IAF)²⁰ that sets wider expected performance requirements for all CCGs. An assessment of our performance against these new involvement indicators will appear for the first time in the IAF in the 2017-2018 publication of results. Our systems and structures for monitoring our involvement activities are described on pages 11 to 15. Some of the data, insight and outputs that feed into these systems and structures that we collect and analyse include:

- NHS England annual 360 degree survey of stakeholders and member practices
- Local and national patient experience feedback and surveys
- Insight about our work gained from partners including Healthwatch Sefton and Sefton CVS
- Independent audits of our internal processes for stakeholder engagement
- Public perceptions of local NHS services and people's ability to influence the future shape of these services
- Complaints and compliments, political and parliamentary queries, Freedom of Information requests
- Seeking views and gaining feedback from partners and provider organisations from a range of forums, using a range of mechanisms
- Seeking views and gaining feedback from staff through team meetings, staff briefings and other staff engagement events
- National and local surveys of staff and member practices
- Intranet / website usage
- Media content analysis
- Social media analysis

Reviewing

We have used data and insight gained from a number of activities described above to inform this refresh of our strategy. In particular this has included surveys of our member GP practices, staff and residents. Input has been invited from our Governing Body and from our partners via EPEG.

²⁰ <https://www.england.nhs.uk/commissioning/ccg-assess/>

Table of appendices

Appendix 1	32
Our duties	
Appendix 2	39
Knowing who we need to communicate with	
Appendix 3	40
Strengths and weaknesses	
Appendix 4	41
Messages and objectives	
Appendix 5	42
Summary of activity	
Appendix 6	45
Media protocol	

Appendix 1 – Our duties

The legal, statutory and regulatory requirements and guidance frameworks that steer our work around involvement and consultation are summarised in this section.

1. Health and Social Care Act 2012

The NHS has a legal duty to involve or consult patients and the public as outlined in Section 242 of the NHS Act 2006. The Health and Social Care Act 2012 (Section 14Z2) outlines how this legal duty applies to CCGs when authorised. The law requires CCGs to involve service users:

in the planning of its commissioning arrangements

- in developing and considering proposals for changes in the commissioning arrangements that would impact on the manner in which services are delivered or on the range of services available
- in decisions that affect how commissioning arrangements operate and which might have such impact

CCGs are also required to report annually on how they have met this duty to involve patients and the public (Section 14Z11).

CCG are required to adhere to Public Health, Health and Wellbeing Boards and Health Scrutiny Regulations 2013 where substantial development in services are planned and engagement with Health Overview and Scrutiny is required.

Duty as to Patient Choice (14v) - this sets the following legal requirement
“Each CCG must in the exercise of its functions, act with a view to enabling patients to make choices with respect to aspects of health services provided.”

Duty as to the improvement in quality of services - Section 14R NHS Act 2006
“Each CCG must exercise its functions with a view to securing continuous improvement in the quality of services provided to individuals for or in connection with the prevention, diagnosis or treatment of illness.”

2. NHS 5 Year Forward View

Published in October 2014, this calls on the NHS to engage with communities and citizens in new ways, involving them directly in decisions about the future of health and care services and that the NHS must:

- Do more to tackle the root causes of ill health
- Commit to giving patients more control of their own care
- Change to meet the needs of a population that lives
- Develop and deliver the new models of care, local flexibility and more investment in our workforce, technology and innovation

3. Equity and excellence: Liberating the NHS

This Department of Health document from 2010 highlights three mutually reinforcing parts:

- First, putting patients at the heart of the NHS: transforming the relationship between citizen and service through the principle of *no decision about me without me*
- Second, focusing on improving outcomes: orientating the NHS towards focusing on what matters most to patients – high quality care, not narrow processes
- Third, empowering local organisations and professionals, with a principle of assumed liberty rather than earned autonomy, and making NHS services more directly accountable

4. Gunning principles - (common law principles that govern lawful consultation)

There have been a number of legal decisions via Judicial review that we have to comply with, the most relevant being the ‘Sedley principles’ (often referred to as the Gunning principles) that consist of:

- (i) consultation must take place when the proposal is still at a formative stage
- (ii) sufficient reasons must be put forward for the proposal to allow for intelligent consideration and response
- (iii) adequate time must be given for consideration and response
- (iv) the product of consultation must be conscientiously taken into account

5. Planning, assuring and delivering service change for patients

This guidance included was designed to build confidence with staff, patients and communities around major service change and reconfiguration. It includes four tests that commissioners proposals²¹ must meet:

- Test 1 – support from GP commissioners
- Test 2 – strengthened public and patient engagement
- Test 3 – clarity on the clinical evidence base
- Test 4 – consistency with current and prospective patient choice

²¹ Planning, assuring and delivering service change for patients 2015 <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/plan-ass-deliv-serv-chge.pdf>

6. NHS Constitution 2013

The Constitution²² sets out the principles and values of the NHS in England. It brings together in one place the rights of patients, public and staff, as well as pledging what the NHS is committed to achieve. It also gives responsibilities which the public, patients and staff owe to one another to ensure that the NHS operates fairly and effectively. All NHS bodies, private and third sector providers supplying NHS services are required by law to take account of this constitution in their decisions and actions.

It states that people have the right to be involved in the planning of healthcare services commissioned by NHS bodies, the development and consideration of proposals for changes in the way those services are provided, and in decisions to be made affecting the operation of those services. The NHS Constitution states that the NHS will:

- Make decisions in a clear and transparent way, so that patients and the public can understand how services are planned and delivered
- Inform individuals about the healthcare services available, locally and nationally
- Engage staff in decisions that affect them and the services they provide

First published in 2012, the NHS Constitution is updated to reflect any changes to the NHS landscape.

7. Friends and Family Test

The Friends and Family Test (FFT) launched in April 2013, initially targeting the FFT test to all NHS inpatient and A&E departments across England. FFT is now a statutory requirement of all providers of NHS funded maternity services, GP practices and from April 2015, includes all NHS-funded mental health and community health services. FFT is being expanded to include NHS dental practices, ambulance services, patient transport services, acute hospital outpatients and day cases.

8. NHS Operating Framework 2015-2016

Domain 4 - Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care

²² NHS Constitution: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-nhs-constitution-for-england>
February 2018

9. Everyone Counts: Planning for Patients – 2013-14 to 2018-19

Citizen participation and empowerment - to focus on what patient choice their own health, and participating in shaping the development of health and care services. want and need. More information on how to stay well or manage their own health better through informed choices

Listening to patient views - commissioners to ensure patients and carers are able to participate in planning, managing and making decisions about their care and treatment through the services they commission.

Effective participation of the public in the commissioning process itself, so that services reflect the needs of local people.

The stronger role for user voice within services of Personal Health Budgets from April 2014-15.

10. Equality Act 2010

This is cross cutting legislative framework to protect the rights of individuals and advance equality of opportunity for all. It also updates, simplifies and strengthens previous legislation to deliver a simple, modern and accessible framework of discrimination law which protects individuals from unfair treatment and promotes a fair and more equal society.

Public Sector Equality Duty

As part of the Equality Act, CCGs are required to pay due regard Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) - across the following protected characteristics of age, disability, gender, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, lack of belief, sexual orientation, marriage and civil partnership – to:

- (a) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act
- (b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it
- (c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it

We carry out equality analysis to inform option development and consultees at the beginning of a consultation, and to inform decision makers post-consultation.

Equality Delivery System

The Equality Delivery System (EDS) helps us to deliver our PSED. It describes how we should: “Improve accessibility and information, and deliver the right services that are targeted, useful and used in order to improve patient experience”. In summary, this means that in planning and delivering services we must ensure that:

- Measures are in place to identify and tackle any barriers to using services
- People have the necessary support and information they need to access services in a way that meets and takes account of their individual needs
- People are supported to make informed choices about their care and treatment and understand their rights
- Robust systems are in place to gather feedback and capture experiences from the people who use services and use this intelligence to improve services

11. HM Government Code of Practice on Consultation

Specifically in relation to work with Local Authority as joint commissioning arrangements and where Health Overview and Scrutiny are to be involved as described below:

Overview and scrutiny

CCGs are required to consult Sefton Council’s Overview and Scrutiny Committee for Adult Social Care (OSC) where we are planning a substantial change or variation in services²³. A number of local councillors make up the committee and its purpose is to represent the views and safeguard the interests of local people by:

- Scrutinising NHS policy, service planning and operations
- Being consulted on all proposals for major changes to health services
- Calling commissioners to give information about services and decisions
- Reporting their findings and recommendations
- Referring matters to the Secretary of State where they have not been adequately consulted, or believe that the proposals are not in the best interests of the local health service

²³ Local Authority Regulations 2013 strengthen duties set out in the NHS Act 2006 February 2018

12. Involving people in health and care guidance

In 2017, new statutory guidance was published for CCGs - patient and public participation in commissioning health and care and involving people in their own health and care²⁴. These support us in improving individual and public participation and how we can better understand and respond to the needs of the people and communities we serve, in line with the statutory and legal duties described in this section.

13. Annual reporting on the legal duty to involve patients and the public in commissioning guidance

This guidance from 2016 sets out CCG requirements and good practice around the annual reporting of patient and public involvement.

14. Engaging local people – a guide for local areas developing Sustainability and Transformation Plans

This guidance²⁵ from 2016 is aimed at those developing STPs. It builds on the six principles for engaging people and communities Published by the People and Communities Board with support from National Voices, working in coproduction to improve access and outcomes. The six principles are:

- Care and support is person-centred: personalised, coordinated, and empowering
- Services are created in partnership with citizens and communities
- Focus is on equality and narrowing inequalities
- Carers are identified, supported and involved
- Voluntary, community and social enterprise and housing sectors are involved as key partners and enablers
- Volunteering and social action are recognised as key enablers

²⁴ <https://www.england.nhs.uk/participation/involvementguidance/>

²⁵ <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/engaging-local-people-stps.pdf>

Appendix 1 - Knowing who we need to communicate with

The table below categorises and summarises our overarching audiences. We know that relationships between different groups are complex and can sometimes shift from one category to another. So, we regularly revisit this mapping exercise to ensure appropriate relationships are maintained with different groups. When we consult, by law we must involve all interested parties. Who we consult with may change depending on the project. So, at the start of every consultation process we will carry out a specific stakeholder mapping exercise.

Keep engaged
CCG membership and staff
Patients, carers and patient groups (inc their reps, like Healthwatch Sefton etc)
Wider public
Seldom heard / diverse, potentially excluded and disadvantaged groups
Partners and providers (inc NHS, non-NHS and VCF organisations)
Keep informed
NHS England
Public Health England
Overview and Scrutiny Committee for Adult Social Care (OSC)
Sefton Council Cabinet
Ward councillors
MPs
Local Medical Committee (LMC)
Other medical committees (pharmaceutical , dental, optical etc)
Regulatory bodies (inc CQC, NHS Improvement)
Enablers
Commissioning Support Unit (CSU)
NHS England Cheshire and Merseyside Area Team
Service providers (inc Community, Acute and VCF)
Our Governing Body / locality groups / wider group / CCG staff
Neighbouring CCGs
Healthwatch Sefton
Sefton CVS
Sefton Health and Wellbeing Board (inc sub structure and task groups)
Sefton Public Health
Sefton Council Executive
MPs
Media
Clinical forums
Limiters
Groups with negative perceptions of the NHS or our work

Appendix 3 – Strengths and weaknesses

An analysis of the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats which may impact on our work are set out below.

Strengths
Leadership demonstrating firm commitment to robust and meaningful engagement and communications
Good, collaborate relationships and working practices with key partners (statutory and VCF)
Experienced and skilled communications and engagement function provided with good local knowledge
Strong history of clinical engagement
Positive relationships with distinct traditional media outlets
Weaknesses
National perception tracking survey highlights fall in levels of satisfaction in NHS
Key partners reducing capacity and resource in engagement and communications due to wider economic challenges within the public sector
Continuously changing environment due to ongoing NHS and public sector reforms
Opportunities
Emerging new media channels to engage and communicate with members and stakeholders
Chance to enhance internal and external clinical engagement
Resolve to carry out joint communications and engagement activities between key partners to maximise impact, capacity and resource
Relatively high levels of public trust in clinicians continues, making us ideally placed to deliver key messages
Threats
Financial challenge of reduced healthcare budgets impacting on the level and quality of communications and engagement support we are able to provide
Ongoing political challenge associated with healthcare
Possible reduced levels of confidence amongst our publics and partners due to national or local factors
Maintaining continually high levels of clinical engagement amongst our members and wider clinical groups

Appendix 4 – Messages and objectives

The key messages below have been developed to support our objectives. When necessary, we will develop 'sub' messages in line with our vision and objectives.

Objective 1 - Encouraging participation of Southport and Formby residents in their local NHS	
We are committed to involving people in our work and we will feed back any changes or improvements we make to services, so people can see where they have influenced this process	A
Objective 2 - Engaging and communicating effectively with member GP practices and our staff, to enable a shared understanding of our work and their role within it	
We are one CCG, bringing together practices, doctors and other professionals in south Sefton, to plan and buy high quality services that represent the best value to support good health and wellbeing of our residents	B
Objective 3 - Supporting the successful delivery of our priority programmes to transform health services so they can meet the changing health needs of our residents and so they are more effective and efficient, involving our partners to do this whenever we can	
We are well placed to develop local health services because we are close to patients and know their healthcare needs	C
We want more services to be provided closer to people's homes, making them easier to access and so that hospitals can concentrate on more specialist care, and we want services across health and social care to be better joined up, working seamlessly together – in line with our <i>Shaping Sefton</i> vision for 'Community Centred Health and Care'	D
We expect the services we plan and buy to be as effective as possible and to be of the highest possible quality, spending the money we are allocated for south Sefton wisely, so it represents best value. We will be transparent about the decisions we make	E
Objective 4 - Working together with our NHS partners, Sefton Council, Healthwatch Sefton and the voluntary, community and faith sector around our shared aims for high quality local health and care services	
We are committed to working even closer with our partners to improve services, reduce duplication and increase efficiency, with the aim of achieving more together for our residents to meet their changing needs	F
Objective 5 - Increasing awareness of health and care services amongst people in Southport and Formby, so they have the information to support them to make appropriate choices, self care or take steps to prevent ill, so encouraging them to take a greater role in maintaining their health and wellbeing	
We want people to have the confidence to choose the right care for their needs every time, using hospitals and other services like doctors surgeries and chemists appropriately	G
We want people to have the right support, so they can take control and better manage their conditions whenever possible to improve the quality of their lives	H
Objective 6 - Increasing recognition of our work and raise our profile amongst all patients, members of the public and other partners	
We will be pro-active in promoting our work, the achievements of our staff and members and the services residents can access to ensure a good understanding of the important role we carry out as the local lead organisation for the majority of local health care	I
Objective 7 - Manage and plan for difficult situations	
We will have to make tough decisions in this difficult financial climate, but we will involve south Sefton residents and our other partners in this process to ensure we make the best investments	J

Appendix 5 – Summary of activity

The table below is designed to give an overview of our work, and is supported by more detailed operational work plans. The messages and objectives below correspond with Appendix 4, and a list of ‘audiences’ can be seen in Appendix 2. Activity will be carried out during 2018 – 2020.

Objective	Audience	Messages	Methods
Encouraging participation of Southport and Formby residents in their local NHS, so it is the best it can be	All public audiences	A, D, E, G, H, J	Launch e-newsletter for engaged publics and partners and encourage further sign up via website
			Develop communications and engagement activities / campaigns to involve publics and partners in shaping services and to support their health and wellbeing, working jointly with our partners whenever possible
			Scoping opportunities to improve communications and engagement channels / mediums – including best use of public waiting areas, video storytelling etc
			Review programme of Big Chat events in line with commissioning requirements, incorporating Annual Review and working with Young Advisers and other bodies to target groups
			Encourage commissioning leads to adopt coproduction approaches where possible, based best practice frameworks and guidance
			Regular evaluation of our activities to determine their effectiveness and to ensure best use of capacity / resources
Engaging and communicating effectively with member practices and our staff, to enable a shared understanding of our work and their role within it	GP practices	A-J	Strengthening locality working, linking to and supporting delivery of Organisational Development Strategy (including support for practice learning time programme and other training opportunities)
	GP practices / staff		Refine internal communications channels (intranet / e-bulletin) based on feedback, to provide regular updates around locality and practice work, key corporate messaging and opportunities for member involvement
			Support key forums / meetings, including Sounding Board, practice manager, practice nurse and wider group meetings
			Explore potential for new communications channels and tactics with staff and practices

Supporting the successful delivery of our priority programmes to transform health services so they can meet the changing health needs of our residents and so they are more effective and efficient, involving our partners to do this whenever we can	Governing Body / staff	C-J	<p>Ensure communications and engagement are tied into organisational planning – including development of overarching organisational strategy, annual commissioning cycle and development of business cases through project management office approaches</p> <p>Developing bespoke communications and engagement plans for priority work programmes – including Shaping Sefton and our Clinical Quality, Innovation, Productivity and Prevention (QIPP) programme</p> <p>Explore database system for more effective coordination of qualitative / quantitative engagement / consultation insight, with aim to better triangulate data and outcomes</p> <p>Regular review of communications and engagement capacity and resources in line with priorities / evaluation of activities against objectives</p>
	Partners	C-J	<p>Work with counterparts across Cheshire and Merseyside and as part of the Sefton Transformation Board to develop joint approaches and exercises</p>
	Governing Body / staff / partners	F-J	<p>Continue to develop and strengthen EPEG</p>
	Partners	F-J	<p>Work collectively through Health and Wellbeing Board, Sefton Transformation Board and Cheshire and Merseyside Care Partnership and other partnership forums</p> <p>Develop joint communications and engagement strategies / activities for specific programmes and projects where possible</p>
Working together with our NHS partners, Sefton Council, Healthwatch Sefton and the voluntary, community and faith sector around our shared aims for high quality local health and care services	All public audiences	A, G-J	<p>Use our public facing communications channels appropriately to promote active involvement in our services, and look to develop other opportunities to do this (including social media)</p> <p>Scoping opportunities to improve communications within public waiting areas including review of TV based systems</p>
	Youth Voice	A, G-J	<p>Work with Young Advisers to increase Youth Voice, promoting their service 'checklist' internally and with our providers</p>
	All public audiences	A, G-J	<p>Work with Healthwatch to promote greater public involvement in GP practice patient groups, and to explore how they can better provide a mechanism for involving people in CCG work</p>
	All public audiences	A, G-J	<p>Further develop digital strategy to promote local health services and enable active involvement in our work</p> <p>Develop health campaigns in line with business objectives to support self care and choice of services etc</p>
Increasing awareness of health and care services amongst people in Southport and Formby, so	All public audiences	A, G-J	<p>Further develop digital strategy to promote local health services and enable active involvement in our work</p> <p>Develop health campaigns in line with business objectives to support self care and choice of services etc</p>

they have the information to support them to make appropriate choices, self care or take steps to prevent ill, so encouraging them to take a greater role in maintaining their health and wellbeing	Public / partner	A, G-J	Provide communications for partner internal / external channels Joint working on campaigns / involvement activities
	Partner	A, G-J	Meet regularly with and use appropriate channels to pro actively inform key influencers – such as OSC, MPs, VCF forums, Healthwatch Sefton, LMC etc – and provide them with information when requested promptly
Increasing recognition of our work and raise our profile amongst all patients, members of the public and other partners	Staff	I	Continued consistent use of our visual identity and corporate style across all channels / materials / templates / reports / strategies etc
	All public audiences	A-J	Proactive identification of opportunities / requirements to involve and inform people about our work towards meeting our statutory duties and good practice commissioning Content planning to support key work programmes / celebrate success across all outlets / channels / media outlets
			Use our public facing communications channels appropriately to promote active involvement in our services, and look to develop other opportunities to do this (including social media)
Manage and plan for difficult situations	GP practices / Governing Body /Operational Team	I, J	Revised media protocol and social media guidelines in place and awareness raised amongst staff / members around responsibilities
	Governing Body /Operational Team		Ensure communications and engagement is considered in all corporate systems – including Governing Body, Quality Committee, Clinical QIPP and EPEG
			Ensure communications and engagement is considered in all key work programmes to ensure emerging issues are spotted and acted upon Deliver increased proactive media plan in line with objectives

Appendix 5

Media protocol

NHS Southport and Formby Clinical Commissioning Group

February 2018

Contents

About this media protocol

Our media relations standards

Our media protocol

Social media guidance

Our communications service

Contents

About this media protocol

We aim to maximise opportunities to improve communications with local people and other partners through open, frank and effective media relations - initiating communications and responding to enquiries in a clear, timely and consistent way – to build a better understanding of our work and achievements.

Our central objective is to **ensure a positive media profile - maximise good publicity, minimise the effects of negative publicity and ensure a corporate approach to the media.**

To do this we will:

- Establish and maintain clear and regular channels of communication with the media and create a positive, informed and clear profile of who we are
- Develop and promote consistent key messages
- Respect the right of the media to represent all views
- Seek correction when media coverage is misleading or incorrect

Our media relations standards

- 1 Telling our story** - proactive communication through press releases, briefings and opportunities is key to shaping our positive profile and ensuring our publics and partners understand our work and achievements. This requires our staff and member practices to inform the communications support service as soon as possible about the stories they have to tell about our work, new initiatives, successes that should be celebrated and difficult messages that must be communicated. Information must be timely and relevant to ensure media interest. Opportunities to attend events, interview key people and take photography will increase the appeal of our stories.
- 2 Media enquiries** - a good relationship with the media is built on trust and responsiveness. We must ensure each issue is handled as well as possible and the media understand we are serious about openness and transparency. Our communications support service will respond to important media enquiries with a target turnaround of 4 hours whenever possible - this requires immediate attention and support from all our staff and members involved.
- 3 Management of Information** – our Governing Body and Operational Team will consider communication issues at their regular meetings - discussing communication risks, opportunities and significant planned initiatives.
- 4 Effective media communications**– our Communications and Engagement Team can offer strategic advice and expertise, supported by analysis of media coverage of our activities and channels, through media monitoring.

Our media protocol

We will handle all media issues and enquiries in the following way:

A All media issues about our organisation are handled by our communications and engagement team...

- All direct approaches to staff by the media must be referred to the communications lead at the earliest possible opportunity
- The lead will prepare proactive press releases and provide briefings when appropriate, arrange opportunities for media interviews and provide briefings
- The lead will prepare reactive media statements and briefings, arrange media interviews and provide briefings
- The lead will quote the chair / accountable officer / other clinical members – who will also represent us as spokespeople for media interviews

B Our members and our staff should proactively inform our communications and engagement team about all plans that require or may lead to publicity...

- All plans that may lead to publicity - proactive or reactive - must be shared with the Team at the earliest stage to ensure communications opportunities and risks are identified and managed

C Our communications support will ...

- Provide advice on issues and review reports that may lead to media interest
- Provide access to other appropriate communications opportunities
- Attend key internal meetings when required to discuss impending communications and engagement issues to identify opportunities and risks

D We will keep our partners informed by...

- Informing NHS England and other relevant partners – about media issues that may be of regional and national significance
- Liaising with our local partners – like Sefton Council, other CCGs and providers etc – on issues where we have joint responsibility or our media response may affect them
- Briefing key stakeholders about emerging issues or change - we will endeavour to ensure they hear news first from us

Social media guidance

Facebook, Twitter and You Tube are amongst some of the most well known examples of social media. Their power is growing and their application can therefore be useful for organisations to use appropriately to engage and inform their audiences. Whilst there are advantages to using social media, there can also be pitfalls which impact on reputation...

Our approach

...therefore, any engagement using these channels on behalf of the CCG should be managed by our central communications and engagement team. If you have a specific message you would like to cascade via social media, please contact communications who will provide advice and support.

Personal use

The following guidance provides a framework to help members protect themselves and our organisation, without sacrificing the benefits social media can bring to users.

1. Users are personally responsible for what they publish. Remember, anything posted will be published immediately and will be permanently available to a world wide audience and could be republished in other media
2. Internet postings must respect copyright, privacy, fair use, financial disclosure, and other applicable laws, such as libel and defamation
3. Internet postings should not disclose any information that is confidential or proprietary to the organisation or to any third party
4. If staff or members comment on our business they must clearly identify themselves with the disclaimer - "the views expressed are mine alone and do not necessarily reflect the views of the CCG." Individuals should neither claim or imply they speak on the organisation's behalf unless they have sought prior agreement via the Communications and Engagement Team
5. Identify yourself – give your full name when you discuss work-related matters. Write in the first person. You must make it clear whether you are speaking for yourself or on behalf of the organisation with approval
6. Be aware of your personal profiles – you may wish to ensure your own personal profile and related content is consistent with how you wish to present yourself to colleagues and stakeholders
7. Be safe – never give out personal details or publish confidential information including that about patients, providers etc
8. Respect your audience - you should show proper consideration for others' privacy and for topics that may be considered objectionable or inflammatory
9. Add value – our brand is best represented by its people and what you publish may reflect on that
10. Social media should only be used in work time if it directly supports you in your employed position, and you have gained approval
11. Compliments and complaints – if you are made aware of any complaints/criticisms, or if you are made aware of a particularly satisfied service user, inform Communications.
12. The organisation reserves the right to request the certain subjects are avoided, withdraw certain posts, and remove inappropriate comments

Our communications service

Press releases

We aim to achieve 100% take up of our press releases by the media, which means only producing releases on issues the media are likely to respond to and publish. Press release should be supported with arrangements for appropriate people to conduct follow up interviews and photo opportunities. Briefing notes will be prepared if appropriate. Our communications and engagement team will produce photography for distribution to the media if appropriate.

Media enquiries

We have highly skilled communications support in helping us to respond to media enquiries. The team relies on people throughout the organisation to respond to their referred enquiries as well and as quickly as possible. Each enquiry is logged and the results evaluated through our media monitoring.

Issue management

It is vital that we identify issues that may provide an opportunity for positive publicity or which may be contentious and plan for them as early as possible. Our communications and engagement team will prepare appropriate responses for any emerging problems, anticipating how the CCG will need to deal with criticism.

Nominated spokespeople

Agreeing a small pool of nominated, skilled spokespeople will ensure consistency of key messages. This will help build our reputation.

Rapid response

In cases where attacks on our organisation are made by media channels, our communications support will prepare a response with background notes, rebuttal statements and general advice.

Contacts

You can contact the communications and engagement team by:

Telephone - 0151 247 7055

Email - communications@southportandformbyccg.nhs.uk

MEETING OF THE GOVERNING BODY MARCH 2018

Agenda Item: 18/51	Author of the Paper: Phil Rule Interim Chief Accountant Email: phil.rule@southsefton.ccg.nhs.uk Tel: 0151 247 7070
Report date: March 2018	
Title: Annual Accounts Process 2017/18 - Governing Body Member's Declaration	
Summary/Key Issues: Governing Body Members are required to make an annual declaration as part of the annual audit process. The declaration confirms that Governing Body members know of no information which would be relevant to the auditors for the purposes of their audit.	
Recommendation The Governing Body is asked to receive this report.	Receive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Ratify <input type="checkbox"/>

Links to Corporate Objectives <i>(x those that apply)</i>	
	To focus on the identification of QIPP (Quality, Improvement, Productivity & Prevention) schemes and the implementation and delivery of these to achieve the CCG QIPP target.
	To progress Shaping Sefton as the strategic plan for the CCG, in line with the NHSE planning requirements set out in the "Forward View", underpinned by transformation through the agreed strategic blueprints and programmes and as part of the North Mersey LDS.
x	To ensure that the CCG maintains and manages performance & quality across the mandated constitutional measures.
	To support Primary Care Development through the development of an enhanced model of care and supporting estates strategy, underpinned by a complementary primary care quality contract.
	To advance integration of in-hospital and community services in support of the CCG locality model of care.
	To advance the integration of Health and Social Care through collaborative working with Sefton Metropolitan Borough Council, supported by the Health and Wellbeing Board.

Process	Yes	No	N/A	Comments/Detail (<i>x those that apply</i>)
Patient and Public Engagement				
Clinical Engagement				
Equality Impact Assessment				
Legal Advice Sought				
Resource Implications Considered				
Locality Engagement				
Presented to other Committees				

Links to National Outcomes Framework (<i>x those that apply</i>)	
	Preventing people from dying prematurely
	Enhancing quality of life for people with long-term conditions
	Helping people to recover from episodes of ill health or following injury
	Ensuring that people have a positive experience of care
	Treating and caring for people in a safe environment and protecting them from avoidable harm

Report to Governing Body MARCH 2018

1. Executive Summary

- 1.1 In support of the Corporate Governance Framework at the CCG, Governing Body Members are required to make an annual declaration as part of the annual audit process. The declaration confirms that Governing Body members know of no information which would be relevant to the auditors for the purposes of their audit.
- 1.2 The CCG Framework is summarised in the Introduction and Background in section 2 below and the declaration for consideration in Key Issues in section 3 below.

2. Introduction and Background

CCG Governance Framework

- 2.1 The CCG is a clinically led membership organisation made up of general practices. The member practices of the CCG are responsible for determining the governing arrangements for the organisation which are set out its Constitution.
- 2.2 The Constitution has been developed to reflect and support the objectives and values defined by the CCG and to ensure that all business functions discharged by the CCG are discharged in an open and transparent manner. It has been developed with the member practices and localities.
- 2.3 The Governing Body comprises a diverse range of skills from executive and lay members and there is a clear division of responsibility between running the Governing Body and running the operational elements of the CCG's business. The chair is responsible for the leadership of the Governing Body and ensures that directors have had access to relevant information to assist them in the delivery of their duties. The lay members have actively provided scrutiny and challenge at Governing Body and sub-committee level. Each committee comprises membership and representation from appropriate officers and lay members with sufficient experience and knowledge to support the committees in discharging their duties. The Governing Body is also assured of its effectiveness via the provider performance reports and compliance with constitutional standards.
- 2.4 The Audit Committee:
 - Supports the establishment of an effective system of integrated governance, risk management and internal control, across the whole of the CCG's activities to support the delivery of the CCG's objectives;
 - Reviews and approve the arrangements for discharging the CCG's statutory financial duties;
 - Reviews and approve arrangements for the CCG's standards of Business Conduct including conflicts of interest, the register of interests and codes of conduct;



- Ensures that the organisation has policies for ensuring compliance with relevant regulatory, legal and code of conduct requirements and to approve such policies, and
- Approves the annual accounts receives the letter of representation from external audit and receives other assurances from internal, external audit and third parties.

2.5 The CCG has a Risk Management Strategy and a Governing Body Assurance Framework in place and an annual review of the effectiveness of governance, risk management and internal control is carried out by the Accountable Officer and included in the Annual Governance Statement in the CCG's Annual Report and Accounts which is published on the CCG's website.

2.6 The Annual Governing Body Member's Declaration as part of the annual audit process is a further assurance in the Governance Framework. Previously this has consisted of a verbal declaration by Governing Body Members at the Governing Body meeting, with a confirmation reply to an e mail regarding the declaration sent by the CFO. The e mail responses are kept for audit evidence for the auditors.

3. Key Issues

3.1 The declaration to be confirmed by Governing Body Members is:

"I know of no information which would be relevant to the auditors for the purposes of their audit report, and which of the auditors are not aware, and (I have) taken all the steps that I ought to have taken to make myself aware of such information and to establish that the auditors are aware of any such information and to establish that the auditors are aware of it."

4. Conclusions

4.1 Governing Body Members to provide confirmation as part of the assurance process in the Governance Framework.

5. Recommendations

5.1 That Governing Body members:

- Verbal confirm their declaration at this Governing Body meeting, and
- Confirm their declaration by e mail to the CFO as audit evidence for the Auditors.

**Phil Rule
Interim Chief Accountant
March 2018**

Key Issues Report to Governing Body

Joint Quality Committee Meeting held on 26th October 2017
Southport & Formby CCG and South Sefton CCG

Chair:
Debbie Fagan

Information Points for Southport & Formby CCG Governing Body (for noting)

CQUIN Update – An update was received regarding 2017-18 CQUIN. Further contact to be made with NHSE to gain final clarification regarding ability to deviate from national CQUIN following provider request / information received from other commissioners and providers.

AQuA Quarterly Safety Report: Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust (S&O) – This report was received. Time lag in information noted but report was found to be valuable in re-inforcing information already known by commissioners. CCG BI team to be asked to provide more current information to be considered alongside the next quarterly AQuA report. AQuA to be contacted to facilitate a session at the next Joint Quality Committee Development Day.

Serious Incident Report Q2 2017-18 – This report was received. 3 x Ophthalmology incidents at Aintree University Hospital from September 2017 verbally reported at the September 2017 JQC now featured in this report.

Mersey Care Serious Incidents RCA Reports – Action plans not always submitted with the RCAs, this has been raised with Trust and Liverpool CCG. It was noted the constraints with ‘Acting as One’ in relation to contractual levers.

Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) Mersey Care Serious Incident Reporting: Community Contract – SOP was approved.

S&O Maternity Services – An update was received regarding challenges faced by the provider with the Middle Grade Rota and discussions across the health economy.

S&O CQC Section 65 Letters – The Trust have recently received 2 x Section 65 letters relating to RTT and a component of Maternity Services delivery. Responses have been sent from the Trust to the CQC. NHSE, NHSI, CQC and the CCGs are in regular contact with S&O for the purposes of assurance.

CCG Children in Care Annual Report 2016/17 – The annual report was received and approved. The Quality Committee recommended presentation to the Governing Body for the purposes of ratification.

Key Issues Report to SFCCG Governing Body

<p>Joint Quality Committee Meeting held on 30th November 2017 Southport & Formby CCG and South Sefton CCG</p>	<p>Chair: Dr Rob Caudwell</p>
--	-----------------------------------

<p>Information Points for Southport & Formby CCG Governing Body (for noting)</p>
<p>Provider Performance Reports – these have been reviewed by the Joint Quality Committees</p>
<p>Mental Health Performance – a request has been made to the CCGs’ Mental Health Commissioning Manager to undertake a ‘deep-dive’ of performance and report back to the March 2018 meeting of the Joint Quality Committee</p>
<p>S&O CQC Inspection – the CCG has submitted information to the CQC to inform the forthcoming Chief Inspector of Hospitals Inspection Visit. The information submitted has been reviewed at the Joint Quality Committee</p>
<p>NHSE SEND CCG Self-Assessment – the CCG has completed and submitted this self-assessment which has been presented to the Joint Quality Committee for information.</p>
<p>Christiana Hartley Medical Practice / Curzon Rd CQC Inspection Judgement – this practice was rated ‘Outstanding’ following a recent CQC Inspection.</p>
<p>S&O Harm Review Process – the Harm Review process continues at the Trust following the issuing of the Section 65 letter from the CQC regarding follow up reviews of patients</p>

Key Issues Report to Governing Body



SF NHSE Joint Commissioning Committee Part 1, Thursday 14th December, 2017

Chair:
Gill Brown

Key Issue	Risk Identified	Mitigating Actions
Delegated Commissioning. The committee discussed the option to apply to become delated commissioners for Primary Medical Care Services and the need to discuss further with the Governing Body and Wider membership.	<p>Increased workload for the CCG, additional staffing will be required.</p> <p>Financial risk, due diligence required prior to taking over responsibility.</p>	<p>Staffing requirements to be identified.</p> <p>Financial information is now shred between the CCG and NHSE.</p>

Information Points for Southport and Formby CCG Governing Body (for noting)

The CCG is waiting for the outcome of the recent application to the International Recruitment programme as part of GPFV.

Joint Quality Committee Minutes Part A – NHS Southport and Formby CCG

Date: Thursday 26th October 2017, 9am – 10.00am
Venue: The Marshside Surgery, 117 Fylde Road, Southport PR9 9XP

Membership

Graham Bayliss	Lay Member (SSCCG)	GB
Lin Bennett	Practice Manager / Govn.Body Member (SSCCG)	LB
Gill Brown	Lay Member (SFCCG)	GBr
Dr Doug Callow	GP Quality Lead (SFCCG)	DC
Dr Rob Caudwell	(Chair) GP Governing Body Member (SFCCG)	RC
Dr Peter Chamberlain	Clinical Lead Strategy & Innovation (SSCCG)	PC
Billie Dodd	Head of Commissioning (SFCCG / SSCCG)	BD
Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse & Quality Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	DF
Dr Gina Halstead	GP Clinical Quality Lead (SSCCG)	GH
Dr Dan McDowell	Secondary Care Doctor (SSCCG)	DMcD
Martin McDowell	Chief Finance Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	MMcD
Dr Andy Mimmagh	Chair & Governing Body Member (SSCCG)	AM
Dr Jeffrey Simmonds	Secondary Care Doctor (SFCCG)	JSi

Ex Officio Member

Fiona Taylor	Chief Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	FLT
--------------	-------------------------------	-----

In attendance

Susanne Lynch	Head of Medicines Management	SL
Tracey Forshaw	Head of Vulnerable People	TF

Apologies

Dr Rob Caudwell	GP Governing Body Member (SFCCG)	RC
Brendan Prescott	Deputy Chief Nurse / Head of Quality & Safety	BP
Helen Roberts	Senior Pharmacist (SFCCG / SSCCG)	HR

Julie Cummins	Clinical Quality & Performance Co-ordinator CSU	JC
Dr Jeffrey Simmonds	Secondary Care Doctor (SFCCG)	JSi
Dr Andy Mimmagh	Chair & Governing Body Member (SSCCG)	AM
Fiona Taylor	Chief Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	FLT
Martin McDowell	Chief Finance Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	MMcD
Dr John Wray	Governing Body Member (SSCCG)	JW

Minutes

Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse & Quality Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	DF
--------------	---	----

Membership Attendance Tracker

Name	Membership	Aug 17	Sept 17	Oct 17	Nov 17	Dec 17	Jan 18	Feb 18	Mar 18	Apr 18	May 18	Jun 18	Jul 18	Aug 18
Dr Rob Caudwell	GP Governing Body Member	√	√	A										
Graham Bayliss	Lay Member for Patient & Public Involvement													
Lin Bennett	Practice Manager, Governing Body Member													
Gill Brown	Lay Member for Patient & Public Involvement	√	A	√										
Dr Doug Callow	GP Governing Body Member /Clinical Quality Lead	√	√	√										
Dr Peter Chamberlain	Clinical Lead Strategy & Innovation													
Billie Dodd	Head of CCG Development	A	√	√										
Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse & Quality Officer	√	√	√										
Dr Gina Halstead	Chair and Clinical Lead for Quality		A	√										
Dr Dan McDowell	Secondary Care Doctor			√										
Martin McDowell	Chief Finance Officer	A	A	A										
Dr Andrew Mimmagh	Clinical Governing Body Member			A										
Dr Jeffrey Simmonds	Secondary Care Doctor	A	A	A										

- ✓ Present
- A Apologies
- L Late or left early

Part A

No	Item	Actions
17/177	Welcome, Introductions & Apologies Apologies received from Dr RC, MMcD, BP, HR, Dr JSi and FLT. SL in attendance for HR. Dr GH joined for part of the meeting.	
17/178	Declarations of Interest None reported other than those staff holding dual roles across both CCGs.	
17/179	Minutes & Key Issues from previous meeting Minutes of the meeting and key issues log agreed as an accurate reflection of the previous meeting.	
17/180	Matters Arising/Action Tracker 17/121(i) Q1 2017-18 SI Report JQC requested a breakdown of reasons for SIs still currently open to be included in future reports. Update: TF reported this has been completed and evident in the SI report being presented at today's meeting. Outcome: Action closed.	

No	Item	Actions
	<p>17/121(iii) Q1 2017-18 SI Report JQC recommended a letter be sent to none responding CCGs advising cases will be closed with no further opportunity to comment. Update: TF reported that this has been actioned. Outcome: Action closed.</p>	
17/181	<p>CQUIN Update</p> <p>EB provided an update on CQUIN progress. It was reported that some providers are requesting deviations from parts of the national CQUINs. Advice has been received from NHSE stating that no deviations are allowed. However, there is a query regarding the consistency of this advice and information has been provided to EB from other commissioners and providers evidencing support for some deviation. DF asked EB to re-contact NHSE and share the evidence in order to obtain a definitive position to inform any change in the current stance of the CCG which is no deviation as these are nationally set.</p>	
	<p>Action:</p> <p>17/181 CQUIN Update – Advise on local deviation of national CQUIN</p> <p>EB to re-contact NHSE and share the information obtained from other commissioners and providers to obtain a definitive response to inform any change in the current CCG position.</p>	EB
17/182	<p>AQuA Quarterly Safety Report – Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust (S&O)</p> <p>DF presented the report which provided information on incident reporting, re-admissions and the in-patient survey. Although the information was found to be useful, GBr highlighted the time-lag in the information provided but acknowledged that this did support the information that commissioners were already aware of regarding the Trust. DF stated that the Quality Team would liaise with the CCG Business Intelligence Team to request that current information that the CCG holds in relation to the 3 areas in the report is presented alongside the next AQuA quarterly report.</p> <p>Further discussion was held regarding other quality concerns within the Trust with the content of this discussion reflected in Part B 17/190 Chief Nurse Report and 17/191 S&O CQC Regulation 10 / Section 65.</p> <p>GBr stated that she had attended an AQuA facilitated session on interpreting mortality information which was very well received. It was agreed that the AQuA reports be a focus of the Joint Quality Committee development session next year and AQuA be contacted in order to arrange.</p>	

No	Item	Actions
	<p>Action:</p> <p>17/182(i) AQuA Quarterly Safety Report – Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust (S&O) EB to liaise with the CCG Business Intelligence Team to request that current information that the CCG holds in relation to the 3 areas in the report is presented alongside the next AQuA quarterly report.</p> <p>17/182(ii) AQuA Quarterly Safety Report – Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust (S&O) DF to contact AQuA to discuss them presenting at the next Joint Quality Committee Development Session.</p>	<p>EB</p> <p>DF</p>
17/183	<p>Serious Incident Report Q2 2017-18</p> <p>TF presented the report. The Committee were asked to note the 3 Ophthalmology related SIs from S&O that had verbally been reported to the last meeting as this report was not due. The CCG has requested site of the associated 72 hr timelines which will be reviewed by the Quality Team and forwarded to NHSE.</p> <p>Issues of inconsistent inclusion of action plans submitted with RCA's has been raised with Mersey Care and Liverpool CCG as the co-ordinating commissioner for the SI process. DF was asked to raise at the next Mersey Care CQPG. The Quality Committee asked of the incomplete RCAs (those sent without an action plan) constituted a breach in contract. However, it was explained that due to 'Acting As One', certain financial sanctions could not be applied but this did not prevent other contract sanctions being utilised as appropriate. It was requested that the Governing Body be made aware of the constraints of 'Acting As One' and to be included on the Key Issues Log.</p>	
	<p>Action:</p> <p>17/183 (i) Serious Incident Report Q2 2017-18 DF to raise the issue of actions plans to be included in RCA's as standard practice at the Mersey Care CQPG</p> <p>17/183 (ii) Serious Incident Report Q2 2017-18 DF to include the constraints and impact of 'Acting as One' in the Key Issues Log to ensure GB are sighted</p>	<p>DF</p> <p>DF</p>
17/184	<p>Any Other Business None reported.</p>	

No	Item	Actions
17/185	<p>Key Issues Log (issues identified from this part of the meeting)</p> <p>The following key issues were identified from this meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CQUIN Update – An update was received regarding 2017-18 CQUIN. Further contact to be made with NHSE to gain final clarification regarding ability to deviate from national CQUIN following provider request / information received from other commissioners and providers. • AQuA Quarterly Safety Report: Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust (S&O) – This report was received. Time lag in information noted but report was found to be valuable in re-inforcing information already known by commissioners. CCG BI team to be asked to provide more current information to be considered alongside the next quarterly AQuA report. AQuA to be contacted to facilitate a session at the next Joint Quality Committee Development Day. • Serious Incident Report Q2 2017-18 – This report was received. 3 x Ophthalmology incidents from September 2017 verbally reported at the September 2017 JQC now featured in this report. • Mersey Care Serious Incidents RCA Reports – Action plans not always submitted with the RCA's, this has been raised with Trust and Liverpool CCG. It was noted the constraints with 'Action as One' in relation to contractual levers. 	
	<p>Date & Time of Next Meeting Thursday 30th November 2017 - 09.00 – 12.00 Boardroom, 3rd Floor, Merton House, Bootle</p>	

Joint Quality Committee Minutes Part B – Southport & Formby CCG and South Sefton CCG

Date: Thursday 26th October 2017

Venue: Room 3A, 3rd Floor, Merton House, Stanley Road, Bootle L20 3DL

Membership

Graham Bayliss	Lay Member (SSCCG)	GB
Lin Bennett	Practice Manager / Govn Body Member (SSCCG)	LB
Gill Brown	Lay Member (SFCCG)	GBr
Dr Doug Callow	GP Quality Lead (SFCCG)	DC
Dr Rob Caudwell	GP Governing Body Member (SFCCG)	RC
Dr Peter Chamberlain	Clinical Lead Strategy & Innovation (SSCCG)	PC
Billie Dodd	Head of Commissioning (SFCCG / SSCCG)	BD
Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse/Quality Officer(SFCCG/SSCCG)	DF
Dr Gina Halstead	GP Clinical Quality Lead (SSCCG)	GH
Dr Dan McDowell	Secondary Care Doctor (SSCCG)	DMcD
Martin McDowell	Chief Finance Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	MMcD
Dr Andy Mimmagh	Chair & Governing Body Member (SSCCG)	AM
Jeffrey Simmonds	Secondary Care Doctor (SFCCG)	JSi

Ex Officio Member

Fiona Taylor	Chief Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	FLT
--------------	-------------------------------	-----

In attendance

Emma Bracewell	Programme Manager – Quality & Performance	EB
Brendan Prescott	Deputy Chief Nurse / Head of Quality and Safety	BP
Helen Roberts	Senior Pharmacist	HR
Gail Winder	Designated Nurse Safeguarding Adults	GW

Apologies

Dr Rob Caudwell	GP Governing Body Member (SFCCG)	RC
Karen Garside	Designated Nurse Safeguarding Children	KG
Lin Bennett	Practice Manager / Govn Body Member (SSCCG)	LB
Dr Pete Chamberlain	GP Clinical Lead Strategy & Innovation (SSCCG)	PC
Julie Cummins	Clinical Quality & Performance Co-ordinator	JC
Gill Brown	Lay Member (SFCCG)	DmcD
Jeffrey Simmonds	Secondary Care Doctor (SFCCG)	JSi
Dr Gina Halstead	GP Clinical Quality Lead (SSCCG)	GH
Dr Andy Mimmagh	Chair & Governing Body Member (SSCCG)	AM
Fiona Taylor	Chief Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	FLT
Dr John Wray	Governing Body Member (SSCCG)	JW
Dr Dan McDowell	Secondary Care Doctor (SSCCG)	DMcD

Minutes

Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse (SSCCG/SFCCG)	DF
--------------	---------------------------	----

Membership Attendance Tracker

Name	Membership	Aug 17	Sept 17	Oct 17	Nov 17	Dec 17	Jan 18	Feb 18	Mar 18	Apr 18	May 18	Jun 18	Jul 18	Aug 18
Dr Rob Caudwell	GP Governing Body Member	√	A	A										
Graham Bayliss	Lay Member for Patient & Public Involvement	A	√	√										
Lin Bennett	Practice Manager, Ford	√	A	A										
Gill Brown	Lay Member for Patient & Public Involvement	√	A	A										
Dr Doug Callow	GP Governing Body Member /Clinical Quality Lead	A	√	√										
Dr Peter Chamberlain	Clinical Lead Strategy & Innovation	A	A	A										
Billie Dodd	Head of CCG Development	A	√	√										
Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse & Quality Officer	√	√	√										
Dr Gina Halstead	Chair and Clinical Lead for Quality	A	A	A										
Dr Dan McDowell	Secondary Care Doctor	A	√	A										
Martin McDowell	Chief Finance Officer	A	√	A										
Dr Andrew Mimmagh	Clinical Governing Body Member	A	A	A										
Dr Jeffrey Simmonds	Secondary Care Doctor	A	A	A										

- √ Present
- A Apologies
- L Late or left early

Part B

No	Item	Actions
17/186	<p>Welcome, Introductions & Apologies</p> <p>Apologies received from LB, Dr RC, Dr PC, Dr DMcD, Dr AM, MMcD, BP, Dr JSi and FLT. SL in attendance for HR.</p>	
17/187	<p>Declarations of Interest</p> <p>None reported other than those staff holding dual roles across both CCGs.</p>	
17/188	<p>Minutes & Key Issues from previous meeting</p> <p>Minutes of the meeting and key issues log were agreed as an accurate reflection of the previous meeting.</p>	
17/189	<p>Matters Arising / Action Tracker</p> <p>17/065(xx) Dr GH to contact Dr WH re: LWH regarding communication between Midwives and GPs re: prescribing requests.</p> <p>Update: Dr GH stated that this issue has been addressed.</p> <p>Outcome: Action closed.</p>	

No	Item	Actions
	<p>17/158 Workplan - DF to review the JQC ToR and Work Plan with Debbie Fairclough from a quoracy and governance perspective. Update: DF stated that she had discussed the workplan and quoracy with DFair – this included how the JQC had been operating since auctioning the request from members to split into Part A, B and C due to the time available and growing agenda. It was noted that although the changes had many positives, there were some potential challenges to quoracy, efficiency and the rationale as to why the committee became joint following the PWC review. Members of the committee acknowledged that the CCG officers had attempted to meet the request of members and it had always been the intention to review. The decision was made to stop the Part A, B and C and to revert back to one whole meeting with the time frame remaining a 3 hour duration and alternating between an external and internal focus. DF stated that this discussion would now inform the workplan. DF stated that DFair would be adding HR to the ToR to show Medicines Management as a member of the committee going forward. Outcome: Action closed.</p> <p>17/160 Modern Slavery & Human Trafficking Statement - KG to liaise with the CCGs' Communications Team to have the Modern Slavery & Human Trafficking Statement uploaded on to the websites. Update: DF in the absence of KG stated that this action had been completed. Outcome: Action closed.</p> <p>17/162 Revision of Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for management of serious incidents. HR to liaise with LG to suggest that more specific information is contained within section 5.5 ie. e-mail address. Update: HR has spoken to LG regarding the Datix form and she is going to ask the Insight Team to amend the form that CCG staff use (ie. not GP practice staff form). There will be an option (in addition to yes or no) under 'Is this a serious incident?' to refer to the Quality Team, a link to their generic e-mail and an information message that the reporter should contact the Quality Team to discuss in person asap. Outcome: Action closed.</p> <p>17/163 Performance Report Trend Information EB to look at report / data and discuss with the BI Team if trend analysis could be made more clearer in their reports. Update: EB stated that she had been liaising with the BI Team. DF stated that the Quality Team had had previous discussions with the BI Team to explore if future provider performance reports could be amended / consolidated as the Quality Committee isn't the CRM / CQPG. DF asked EB to ensure these conversations are included in the work she is doing with BI re: trend analysis. Outcome: Action closed.</p> <p>17/165 CHC Disputes and Resolution Policy DF to raise with LA colleagues at the Integrated Commissioning Group the concern raised re: potential conflict of interest with the LA suggested Chair raised by GB. Update: DF confirmed that she had raised this issue at the Integrated Commissioning Group meeting and LA colleagues although they felt there was no conflict of interest had agreed to take the issue back for further discussion internally. Outcome: Action closed.</p>	

No	Item	Actions
17/190	<p>Chief Nurse Report</p> <p>DF presented the Chief Nurse report. The Committee were asked to particularly note the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Progress in relation to the SEND Written Statement of Action • Usage of the ADAM DPS still remaining suspended for End of Life patients whilst the necessary assurances are gained from the provider by the CCG – liaison between the CCGs and CSU / ADAM continues • Q2 2017/18 performance regarding the number of DSTs undertaken within acute Trust environments – improving position reported for SSCCG but decline in position noted for SFCCG. The Committee were asked to note the small numbers involved and the attempts being made between the CCGs and CSU to improve data quality • Update since the completion by commissioners of the Quality Risk Profile Tool (QRPT) for AUH. A date is awaited to meet with the provider to review the QRPT and agree risk rating • Recent CQC Chief Inspector of Hospitals Visit to AUH – outcome is awaited • Feedback from NHSE on the CCGs' GNBSI reduction plan – updated plan requested to be submitted in December 2017 • Collaborative work with the support from the Cheshire & Merseyside Vanguard to address challenges with the Obstetric Middle Grade Rota at S&O. Communication planned and being developed for all stakeholders. • Outcome of the recent CQC Chief Inspector of Hospitals Visit to Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust which went into the public domain at the beginning of October - overall rating was 'Good' with 'Outstanding' for caring. Surgery and Out-patients (which includes CAMHS) were rated as 'Requires Improvement'. <p>The Committee were also informed of the recent issuing of a Section 65 letter to S&O in relation to a component part of maternity services provision – the Trust response has been sent to the CQC. Updates will be received by the Quality Committee as appropriate.</p>	

No	Item	Actions
17/191	<p>S&O CQC Regulation 10 / Section 65</p> <p>DF presented the paper to the Committee which outlined the rationale for the issuing of the Section 65 letter relating to RTT by the CQC to the Trust. The required provider response has been submitted to the CQC by the Trust and meetings have taken place between NHSE, NHSI, CQC and the CCGs for the purpose of assurance – commissioners and regulators have set out what they expect the Trust to have in place to manage any risk and in order to provide assurance.</p> <p>Current level of assurance in relation to the provider discussed with GBr and GB raising the issue about Duty of Candour, transparency and messages to be given to patients, the public and local GPs. GBr and GB asked to see sight of the Communications plan for both Maternity Services and RTT. It was explained that the Trust were leading on the Communications but BD would ask the CCGs' Head of Communication to forward any plans and briefings to the CCG Lay Members.</p> <p>Discussion took place regarding quality concerns at the Trust and DF explained the Quality Surveillance and Assurance processes that were in place, including the involvement of commissioners and regulators. Dr DC raised concerns about challenges with medical rotas at the Trust and DF stated that this had been a specific agenda item at the last CRM / CQPG with the Deputy Medical Director presenting an initial overview of the current position – this is to be further updated by the Trust and NHSE had been made aware of the intention to have this discussion – update will be contained within the next QSG Exception Report.</p> <p>The importance of lessons learnt was discussed and Dr GH relayed some of the lessons learnt from the Liverpool Clinical Laboratories incident that had previously occurred and gave some reflections from Liverpool Community Health NHS Trust and subsequent involvement in the Kirkup Review.</p> <p>DF stated that she would escalate issues to the CCG Chief Officer for further discussion.</p>	
	<p>Action:</p> <p>17/191(i) S&O CQC Regulation 10 / Section 65 - S&O Communication Plans & Stakeholder Briefings Re; Maternity and RTT BD to contact LN to ask for any provider Communication Plans and Stakeholder Briefings to be shared with the CCG Lay Members.</p> <p>17/191(ii) S&O CQC Regulation 10 / Section 65 – Quality and Performance Issues DF to escalate issues to the CCG Chief Officer for further discussion.</p>	<p>BD</p> <p>DF</p>
17/192	<p>QIPP</p> <p>i. Issues from Clinical QIPP DF stated there was nothing specific to report.</p> <p>ii. QIA Activity DF stated there was nothing specific to report.</p>	

No	Item	Actions
17/193	<p>Cheshire & Merseyside Quality Surveillance Group – SFCCG / SSCCG Exception Report</p> <p>DF presented the report which was received by the Committee.</p>	
17/194	<p>Nursing Home Quality, Performance & Safeguarding Report</p> <p>JC presented the report which was received by the Committee. GBr asked how the quality monitoring was undertaken and this was explained by JC. The Committee noted that exclusions remain regarding admissions to St. Joseph's Hospice following the recent CQC inspection.</p>	
17/195	<p>Children in Care Annual Report 2016/17</p> <p>CB presented the CCGs' Children in Care Annual Report 2016/17 to the Committee. Members of the committee thanked CB for the quality of the report. Dr GH stressed the importance of the CCGs taking their corporate parent responsibility seriously and stated that this report reflect why they need to do so. The elements regarding health assessments was highlighted and it was noted that this will be further discussed in agenda item 17/196 Sefton IHA Q1 Audit Commentary. The Committee approved the report and recommended presentation to the Governing Body for ratification.</p>	
	<p>Action:</p> <p>17/195 Children in Care Annual Report 2016/17 CB to present the Children in Care Annual Report 2016/17 to the Governing Bodies.</p>	CB
17/196	<p>Sefton Initial Health Assessment Q1 Audit Commentary</p> <p>CB presented the report which covered the period Q1 2017/18. The previous revised pathway work that had been undertaken across the health economy to bring about improvement was discussed along with the outcome of this audit. The audit which was undertaken by the Designated Nurse Looked After Children and a representative from the LA, identified continued challenges with the part of the pathway, which at Q1, was provided by LCH. This service is now provided by Mersey Care under a sub-contracting arrangement with North West Boroughs – DF confirmed that this service and the issue with health assessments had already been placed on the CCGs' Corporate Risk Register.</p> <p>The audit results have been shared with both Mersey Care and North West Boroughs and a remedial action plan has been requested. The Designated Nurse for Looked After Children has also been liaising with the provider organisation to provide clarity re: outcome / recommendations and support. The CCGs' Chief Nurse and Designated Nurse for Looked After Children have recently presented the audit to the Corporate Parenting Board who have requested sight of the action plan and details of when a re-audit will take place at its December 2017 meeting. Updates to come to the Joint Quality Committee as required.</p>	

No	Item	Actions
17/197	<p>Planned Review of SSCCG & SFCCG Safeguarding Children & Adults at Risk Policy.</p> <p>DF presented the report which was received by the Committee. The Committee agreed to support an extension to the review period for the policy due to the new Working Together to Safeguard Children national guidance being awaited which will inform the policy update.</p>	
17/198	<p>Commissioner Quarterly Controlled Drug Report</p> <p>SL presented the report which was received by the Committee.</p>	
17/199	<p>EPEG Key Issues</p> <p>GBr and GB presented the key issues from the last meeting. The Quality Committee were asked to note the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The GP patient survey results for 2017 were positive and an improvement from 2016 • Concerns raised in relation to repeat prescribing, it was confirmed that SL was managing this • The contract for Sefton MBC Dom Care Service Providers had been completed with inclusion and support from medicines management for this element of the contract. • Good feedback was reported in relation to the service received provided by the Living Well Mentors, which is part of Well Sefton and the Virtual Ward. There was a recommendation for information about the Sefton CVS Living Well programme co-ordinated by Karen Nolan to be brought to the attention for GP's at locality meetings and via CCG bulletin. 	
	<p>Action</p> <p>17/199 Living Well Mentors - TF to liaise with Angela McMahon and Louise Taylor to contact Karen Nolan for inclusion at locality meetings and CCG bulletin</p>	
17/200	<p>Corporate Governance Support Group Key Issues</p> <p>DF presented the key issues log from the last meeting of the Corporate Governance Support Group which was held in June 2017. The Quality Committee received this information.</p>	
17/201	<p>Any Other Business</p> <p>None reported.</p>	

No	Item	Actions
17/202	<p>Key Issues Log (identified in this part of the meeting)</p> <p>The following key issues were identified from this meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S&O Maternity Services – An update was received regarding challenges faced by the provider with the Middle Grade Rota and support being received from across the health economy. • S&O CQC Section 65 Letters – The Trust have recently received 2 x Section 65 letters relating to RTT and a component of Maternity Services delivery. Responses have been sent from the Trust to the CQC. NHSE, NHSI, CQC and the CCGs are in regular contact for the purposes of assurance. • CCG Children in Care Annual Report 2016/17 – The annual report was received and approved. The Quality Committee recommended presentation to the Governing Body for the purposes of ratification. 	
	<p>Date & Time of Next Meeting</p> <p>Thursday 30th November 2017 - 09.00 – 12.00 Boardroom, 3rd Floor, Merton House, Bootle</p>	

Joint Quality Committee Minutes NHS Southport and Formby CCG & NHS South Sefton CCG

Date: Thursday 30th November 2017, 09:00 – 12:00

Venue: Room 3A, Merton House, Stanley Road, Bootle L20 3DL

Membership		
Graham Bayliss	Lay Member (SSCCG)	GB
Lin Bennett	Practice Manager / Govn.Body Member (SSCCG)	LB
Gill Brown	Lay Member (SFCCG)	GBr
Dr Doug Callow	GP Quality Lead (SFCCG)	DC
Dr Rob Caudwell	(Chair) GP Governing Body Member (SFCCG)	RC
Dr Peter Chamberlain	Clinical Lead Strategy & Innovation (SSCCG)	PC
Billie Dodd	Head of Commissioning (SFCCG / SSCCG)	BD
Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse & Quality Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	DF
Dr Gina Halstead	GP Clinical Quality Lead (SSCCG)	GH
Dr Dan McDowell	Secondary Care Doctor (SSCCG)	DMcD
Martin McDowell	Chief Finance Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	MMcD
Dr Andy Mimmagh	Chair & Governing Body Member (SSCCG)	AM
Dr Jeffrey Simmonds	Secondary Care Doctor (SFCCG)	JSi
Ex Officio Member		
Fiona Taylor	Chief Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	FLT
In attendance		
Paul Shilcock	Account & Training Manager – iMerseyside	PS
Becky Williams	Strategy & Outcomes Officer (SSCCG/SFCCG)	BW
Apologies		
Lin Bennett	Practice Manager / Govn.Body Member (SSCCG)	LB
Dr Peter Chamberlain	Clinical Lead Strategy & Innovation (SSCCG)	PC
Dr Gina Halstead	GP Clinical Quality Lead (SSCCG)	GH
Martin McDowell	Chief Finance Officer (SFCCG / SSCCG)	MMcD
Dr Andy Mimmagh	Chair & Governing Body Member (SSCCG)	AM
Dr Jeffrey Simmonds	Secondary Care Doctor (SFCCG)	JSi
Minutes		
Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse (SFCCG / SSCCG)	DF

Membership Attendance Tracker

Name	Membership	Aug 17	Sept 17	Oct 17	Nov 17	Dec 17	Jan 18	Feb 18	Mar 18	Apr 18	May 18	Jun 18	Jul 18	Aug 18
Dr Rob Caudwell	GP Governing Body Member	√	√	A	√									
Graham Bayliss	Lay Member for Patient & Public Involvement													
Lin Bennett	Practice Manager, Governing Body Member				A									
Gill Brown	Lay Member for Patient & Public Involvement	√	A	√	√									
Dr Doug Callow	GP Governing Body Member /Clinical Quality Lead	√	√	√	√									
Dr Peter Chamberlain	Clinical Lead Strategy & Innovation				A									
Billie Dodd	Head of CCG Development	A	√	√	√									
Debbie Fagan	Chief Nurse & Quality Officer	√	√	√	√									
Dr Gina Halstead	Chair and Clinical Lead for Quality		A	√	A									
Dr Dan McDowell	Secondary Care Doctor			A	A									
Martin McDowell	Chief Finance Officer	A	A	A	A									
Dr Andrew Mimmagh	Clinical Governing Body Member			A	A									
Dr Jeffrey Simmonds	Secondary Care Doctor	A	A	A	A									

- √ Present
- A Apologies
- L Late or left early

No	Item	Actions
17/214	<p>Welcome, Introductions & Apologies</p> <p>All were welcomed to the meeting. FLT was in attendance in her ex-officio member capacity. Paul Shilcock (PS) was in attendance to present agenda item 17/218. Becky Williams (BW) was in attendance to present agenda item 17/223.</p> <p>Apologies were received from LB, DrPC, DrGH, MMcD, DrAM and DrJS. The meeting was deemed quorate.</p>	
17/215	<p>Declarations of Interest</p> <p>None were reported other than those staff holding dual roles within the CCGs.</p>	
17/216	<p>Minutes & Key issues log of the previous meeting</p> <p><u>Part A – SFCCG</u></p> <p>Subject to the following amendments the minutes were deemed to be an accurate reflection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Date of the meeting should state 26th October 2017 and not 28th September 2017 Membership attendance tracker requires completion for October 2017 	

No	Item	Actions
	<p><u>Part B – SFCCG / SSCCG</u></p> <p>Subject to the following amendments the minutes were deemed to be an accurate reflection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date of the meeting should state 26th October 2017 and not 28th September 2017 • Membership attendance tracker requires completion for October 2017 <p><u>Part C – SSCCG</u></p> <p>Subject to the following amendments the minutes were deemed to be an accurate reflection:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date of the meeting should state 26th October 2017 and not 28th September 2017 • Membership attendance tracker requires completion for October 2017 <p>The Key issues logs for both Governing Bodies were deemed to be an accurate reflection.</p> <p>17/191(i) on the action tracker requires amending from 'BD to contact LN' to 'BD to contact 'LC'.</p>	
	<p>Action:</p> <p>DF to ask JW to make the necessary amendments to the minutes and action tracker.</p>	DF
17/217	<p>Matters Arising / Action Tracker</p> <p>SFCCG 17/181; SSCCG 17/207 CQUIN Update – Advise on local deviation of national CQUIN</p> <p>Update: EB confirmed that she had contacted NHSE and forwarded the information that providers had sent about agreements with other commissioners. Information from NHSE appeared to be inconsistent. It was confirmed that the stance taken back to providers within the CQPGs was that the CCGs would not be deviating from the national CQUIN unless authorised to do so by NHSE.</p> <p>Outcome – To remain open and EB to feedback at the next meeting.</p> <p>SFCCG 17/182(i); SSCCG 17/208(i) AQuA Quarterly Safety Report – Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust (S&O) & Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust (AUH)</p>	EB

No	Item	Actions
	<p>Update: EB confirmed that she had liaised with the CCG BI team to request that current information that the CCG holds in relation to the 3 areas in the report is in future presented alongside the AQuA information so a more up to date picture is obtained if possible.</p> <p>Outcome – Action closed.</p> <p>SFCCG 17/182(ii); SSCCG 17/208(ii) AQuA Quarterly Safety Report – Southport & Ormskirk Hospitals NHS Trust (S&O) & Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust (AUH)</p> <p>Update: DF has e-mailed BW and received contact details of AQuA colleague to be invited to the Quality Committee Development Session in 2018.</p> <p>Outcome – Action closed.</p> <p>17/183(i) Serious Incident Report Q2 2017-18</p> <p>Update: DF confirmed that she had raised the issue at the Mersey Care CQPG.</p> <p>Outcome – Action closed.</p> <p>17/183(ii) Serious Incident Report Q2 2017-18</p> <p>Update: Constraints and impact of ‘Acting as One’ was contained within the key issues log to the Governing Body.</p> <p>Outcome – Action closed.</p> <p>17/191(i) S&O CQC Regulation 10 / Section 65 – S&O</p> <p>Communication Plans & Stakeholder Briefings Re; Maternity & RTT</p> <p>Update: This has not yet been actioned.</p> <p>Outcome: Action to be carried forward to the next meeting</p> <p>17/191(ii) S&O CQC Regulation 10 / Section 65 – Quality & Performance Issues</p> <p>Update: DF confirmed that this had been discussed at the Governing Body.</p> <p>Outcome – Action closed.</p>	<p>BD</p>

No	Item	Actions
	<p>17/195 Children in Care Annual Report 2016/17</p> <p>Update: DF confirmed that the presentation to the Governing Bodies had been actioned.</p> <p>Outcome – Action closed.</p> <p>17/199 EPEG Key Issues</p> <p>Update: This has not yet been actioned.</p> <p>Outcome: Action to be carried forward to the next meeting</p>	
17/218	<p>EPaCCS Update</p> <p>PS presented an update report on EPaCCS which gave an overview of progress. Issues were identified with the GP Out of Hours provider being able to access the necessary information to support End of Life care (reportedly have read only access) but there had been some recent progress on this. PS reported that meetings have been progressing but there had been some issues with engagement from the CCGs. The narrative within the report was discussed and FLT asked if the report could be amended to clearly state 'Southport & Formby CCG' and 'South Sefton CCG' as Sefton CCG did not exist. PS was also asked to amend the wording 'negligible' and 'hampered' to 'impacted'. PS stated he would make the necessary amendments following the discussion that had taken place at the Committee. PS asked if there was still a requirement for continued reporting into the Quality Committee. The Committee requested that high level dashboard reporting come to the Quality Committee on a bi-annual basis and that this should be built into the work plan.</p>	
	<p>Action 1: CCG representation at the EPaCCS Task & Finish Group</p> <p>BD to ask Moira Harrison to attend the Task & Finish Group to represent the CCGs.</p> <p>Action2: EPaCCS to be added to the Quality Committee Work plan</p> <p>DF to ask JW to put EPaCCS on the Quality Committee work plan bi-annually.</p>	<p>BD</p> <p>DF</p>
17/219	<p>Transition of Community Services / Quality Assurance</p> <p>BP confirmed that the transition KPIs were included in the new contracts and that providers will be reporting against these.</p> <p>A report has been received from AUH regarding the transition of the Diabetes Team from LCH to AUH. The surveillance questions demonstrated high confidence levels for incident reporting with the new employer and good satisfaction scores in relation to staff support and support to patients.</p> <p>Action:</p> <p>The Committee received the report.</p>	

No	Item	Actions
17/220	<p>Provider Performance Reports</p> <p>EB presented the new style report and the Committee were asked for comments. The Committee welcomed this new style which clearly set out performance by exception and assurance. It was acknowledged that this report would require further development but was received well.</p> <p>Key areas discussed by exception were as follows:</p> <p><u>S&O – Women & Children’s</u></p> <p>System work continues with the support of the Vanguard. The Trust is due to responded to a letter from the CCG Chief Officer confirming that they are in a position to continue to deliver their contractual responsibilities for Obstetrics and Gynaecology Services. The work undertaken by the Trust and the wider system was acknowledged.</p> <p><u>S&O – Urgent Care</u></p> <p>FLT informed the Committee of a half-day session she had facilitated with the Trust and wider system to support greater understanding and improvement across the system. GBr stated that she felt the session was insightful. RC stated that NHSI had agreed a trajectory with the Trust and queried where the Trust where up to with this. EB agreed to find this out and report back to RC.</p>	
	<p>Action 1: S&O Urgent Care Performance Against the NHSI Agreed Trajectory</p> <p>EB to find out what S&O performance is like in meeting the urgent care trajectory set by NHSI.</p>	EB

No	Item	Actions
	<p><u>S&O – Mortality</u></p> <p>Latest AQuA Mortality Report has been previously discussed with the Trust and a CCG / System workshop has been planned for the purposes of assurance. The Trust has established a Mortality Assurance Clinical Improvement Committee and the CCG has agreed to identify representation – this will be RC for SFCCG.</p> <p><u>S&O – Stroke Services</u></p> <p>The trust continues to be challenged in meeting the indicators regarding the % of stroke patients spending more than 90% of their Hospital Stay on a Stroke Unit and the % of TIA cases with a higher risk of stroke who are seen and treated within 24 hrs. A recent discussion has taken place with the interim Chief Executive who has acknowledged these challenges and has expressed the intention to speak with the CEO at AUH regarding the pathway of care.</p> <p><u>S&O - Dermatology</u></p> <p>The Trust is currently only accepting a 2 week waiting list for Dermatology due to capacity issues. DC raised the issue of procedures of low clinical value possibly being referred / undertaken by DMC. BD has asked for case examples so the commissioning managers can address as appropriate. FLT reported that KMcC is visiting local CCGs as part of an engagement exercise regarding a possible joint case for change</p>	
	<p>Action 2: Dermatology Case Examples - LCV</p> <p>DC to send case examples of referrals / procedures of LCV being undertaken by DMC to BD for the commissioning managers to address as appropriate.</p>	DC

No	Item	Actions
	<p><u>Renacres</u></p> <p>Data quality in relation to diagnostics has been raised as an issue and the CCGs are awaiting a remedial action plan. Issues are apparent with coding for pain management and the CCG is awaiting feedback from a coding audit that has been commissioned by WLCCG.</p> <p><u>Lancashire Care Foundation Trust</u></p> <p>The data flow from the Trust for the purposes of assurance was discussed along with what softer intelligence is available – some data starting to flow through but as of yet it isn't comprehensive. The Committee noted that there had been a recent presentation from the Trust to SFCCG Governing Body regarding around intelligence they had which was supporting the Transformation process for the purposes of assurance.</p> <p>DF reported that it had been confirmed by NHSE C&M that reporting for the Trust as part of the NHSE Quality Surveillance Process would be by the Lancashire Commissioners to the Lancashire QSG and that the NHSE C&M team would feed back any issues to SFCCG. There was no requirement for the CCG Quality Team to report information about this provider into the C&M QSG.</p> <p><u>Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust (Mental health Contract) – Psychotherapy</u></p> <p>Issues regarding performance against the Psychotherapy Treatment indicator was discussed and BP stated that this had been discussed at the recent contract meeting and a remedial action plan has been requested. FLT asked how the provider was performing against this indicator against peers and requested that the Mental Health Commissioning Manager look at the available benchmarking data and report back to the Committee at the next available meeting.</p> <p><u>Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust (Mental health Contract) – Eating Disorder Service Treatment Commencing Within 18 Weeks of Referral</u></p> <p>RC stated he had raised this with DrHM as the CCG GP Clinical Lead for this area as there appears to be a gap in meeting the physical needs of such patients and this is then having an impact on GP workload in the community. FLT asked for the Mental Health Commissioning Manager to do a 'deep dive' into Mental Health performance.</p>	
	<p><u>Action 3: Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust (Mental health Contract) – Psychotherapy & Eating Disorder Service Treatment Commencing Within 18 Weeks of Referral</u></p> <p>DF to ask GJ to undertake a deep dive into Mental Health performance, including benchmarking, and to report back to the next available meeting of the Joint Quality Committee.</p>	<p>DF</p>

No	Item	Actions
	<p><u>Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust (Mental health Contract) – Adults on Care Programme Approach Receive a Review Within 12 Months</u></p> <p>It was noted that performance for SSCCG and SFCCG was lower than that for patients in other CCGs. BP reported that further detail was being asked for at the next CQPG.</p> <p><u>Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust (Mental health Contract) – Appropriate Supply of Medication on Discharge</u></p> <p>BP reported that this drop in performance had been identified and was an agenda item for discussion at the Mersey Care Collaborative Commissioning Forum which was held on 29.11.17.</p> <p><u>Aintree University Hospital NHS Foundation</u></p> <p>The Committee noted the assurance currently being received from the Trust in relation to falls, completion of the MUST tool and delays in out-patient communication. The Quality Committee acknowledged previous in-depth reporting of quality and performance issues at the Trust.</p> <p><u>Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust (Community Contract)</u></p> <p>Performance and quality data submitted remains lacking in details and this has been raised with the Trust. Pressure ulcer remedial action planning remains in progress. Transitional KPIs are reported in 17/219 are insitu.</p>	
17/221	<p>Chief Nurse Report</p> <p>DF presented the Chief Nurse Report. The Committee were asked to note the updates regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The NHS Improvement Emergency Care Improvement Programme (ECIP) Multi-Agency Discharge Event (MADE) which was held at AUH on 7th & 8th November 2017. A planning meeting has since been held to take forward the recommendations from the event • The continuation of meetings and the Harm Review process following the issuing of a Section 65 letter to S&O from the CQC • The continuation of system-wide meetings, supported by the C&M Vanguard, to ensure delivery against the contract of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Services at S&O • Discussion at the CCG Governing Bodies regarding the quality of services at S&O. 	

No	Item	Actions
	<p>DF presented the NHSE CCG SEND Self-Assessment which had been submitted and which was attached as an appendix to the Chief Nurse Report.</p> <p>Action:</p> <p>The Committee received the report.</p>	
17/222	<p>CCG Information to the CQC re: S&O</p> <p>BP presented the report which contained information that had been jointly submitted by SFCCG, SSCCG and West Lancashire CCG (WLCCG) to the CQC to inform key lines of enquiry for the Chief Inspector of Hospitals Visit. The Committee reviewed the information and requested that a follow-up e-mail be sent to the CQC highlighting the CCGs' concern about the impact of the many leadership changes at the Trust to ensure that this was explicit.</p>	
	<p>Action: CCG Information to the CQC for S&O</p> <p>BP to e-mail the CQC to ensure the CCGs' concerns regarding the impact of the leadership changes were explicit and noted.</p>	BP
17/223	<p>Primary Care / General Practice Quality</p> <p>Following a recent CQC inspection, it was noted that the Christiana Hartley Medical Practice / Curzon Road Medical Practice had been rated as 'Outstanding'.</p> <p>BW provided an update on the development of the Primary Care Dashboard and the conversations that had been had with the LMC – it was stressed that the dashboard is a tool to support quality improvement and is not intended to be a performance management tool</p> <p>Action:</p> <p>The Committee received the updates.</p>	
17/224	<p>CQUIN Update</p> <p>The information for this agenda item was also discussed in 17/217 (Action tracker - SFCCG 17/181 SSCCG 17/207).</p> <p>EB reported that Q1 2017/18 data had been submitted from both AUH and Mersey Care. Lancashire Care as the new contract holders for SFCCG community services had submitted some data and conversations had been had with the provider regarding what information they were able to submit at this time.</p> <p>Action:</p> <p>The Committee received the updates.</p>	

No	Item	Actions
17/225	<p>EPEG Key Issues</p> <p>GB and GBr informed the Committee of the following key issues discussed at the last EPEG meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AUH Patient Experience Presentation - Presentation described as impressive. • S&O - Presentation planned for the next meeting of EPEG. • EPEG Dashboard - Review of the dashboard to be undertaken. • Pharmacy 2 U - SL reviewing the advice that is being given to patients in the SFCCG area from this provider which may not be consistent with the messages from the CCG. <p>FLT asked if EPEG looked at high level complaints information as although all CCG complaints were reviewed by the Chief Nurse / Deputy Chief Nurse and signed-off by herself before leaving the organisation, there was a need for such high level information to be considered at a committee or sub-committee. It was agreed that a high level complaints report needed to come through to the Quality Committee as this was not presented at EPEG. DF reported that discussions had already taken place between herself and LG to ensure this took place.</p>	
	<p>Action 1: CCG Complaints Report</p> <p>DF to ask JW for CCG complaints to be built into the Quality Committee Work plan.</p> <p>Action 2: CCG Complaints Report to be Presented to the Next Meeting</p> <p>DF to ask LG to produce a report for the next meeting.</p>	<p>DF</p> <p>DF</p>
17/226	<p>Any other business</p> <p><u>Secondary Care Doctor</u></p> <p>It was noted that this would be DMcD last meeting as he was due to leave his position within SSCCG as the Secondary Care Doctor. The Committee thanked him for his input and involvement.</p> <p><u>Kirkup Review - LCH</u></p> <p>FLT informed the Committee that the CCGs had made contact with the Kirkup Review Team requesting an update. The CCGs had been informed that warning letters would be sent to individuals / organisations within the next 2 weeks or so – not every individual interviewed would receive such a letter. The report was originally expected to be published before Christmas but this time line has been put back.</p>	

No	Item	Actions
	<p><u>Deputy Chief Nurse - Leadership</u></p> <p>FLT expressed her thanks to BP for his leadership whilst DF had been on annual leave – this demonstrated high quality distributed leadership that is evident within the CCGs.</p> <p><u>Quality Team Capacity</u></p> <p>DF gave an update on capacity and recruitment within the Quality Team. FLT asked if the capacity issues within the Quality Team to deal with the increasing demand was clearly articulated on the CCG Corporate Risk Register (CRR). DF responded that it was and that this would be seen when the CRR was presented to the Quality Committee at the next meeting.</p> <p><u>AUH – Section 29 Letter from the CQC</u></p> <p>BP informed the Committee that AUH had recently been issued with a Section 29 letter from the CQC regarding DNAR records and record keeping in relation to DoLS assessments. The Committee noted this along with the actions taken for the purposes of assurance.</p> <p><u>Covert Medication</u></p> <p>HR informed the Committee of recent discussions with the LMC regarding a letter about covert medication and inclusion in the LQC.</p> <p><u>St Joseph's Hospice Update</u></p> <p>FLT informed the Committee that a restriction to admissions remained in place currently with Jospice following the CQC inspection. CCG teams continue to work in partnership with both the provider and the regulator to support necessary improvements. The amount of support from the CCG Medicines Management Team was acknowledged.</p> <p>Action:</p> <p>The Committee received the updates.</p>	
17/227	<p>Key Issues Log (from this meeting)</p> <p>The following key issues were highlighted for reporting to the Governing Bodies:</p> <p><u>SSCCG:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provider Performance Reports – these have been reviewed by the Joint Quality Committees 	

No	Item	Actions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mental Health Performance – a request has been made to the CCGs' Mental Health Commissioning Manager undertake a 'deep-dive' of performance and report back to the February 2018 meeting of the Joint Quality Committee • Multi-Agency Discharge Event (MADE) – A MADE event has taken place at AUH which was supported by the CCG team. Recommendations from the event will form part of a system action plan • S&O CQC Inspection – the CCG has submitted information to the CQC to inform the forthcoming Chief Inspector of Hospitals Inspection Visit. The information submitted has been reviewed at the Joint Quality Committee • NHSE SEND CCG Self-Assessment – the CCG has completed and submitted this self-assessment which has been presented to the Joint Quality Committee for information. <p><u>SFCCG</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provider Performance Reports – these have been reviewed by the Joint Quality Committees • Mental Health Performance – a request has been made to the CCGs' Mental Health Commissioning Manager undertake a 'deep-dive' of performance and report back to the February 2018 meeting of the Joint Quality Committee • S&O CQC Inspection – the CCG has submitted information to the CQC to inform the forthcoming Chief Inspector of Hospitals Inspection Visit. The information submitted has been reviewed at the Joint Quality Committee • NHSE SEND CCG Self-Assessment – the CCG has completed and submitted this self-assessment which has been presented to the Joint Quality Committee for information. • Christiana Hartley Medical Practice / Curzon Rd CQC Inspection Judgement – this practice was rated 'Outstanding' following a recent CQC Inspection. • S&O Harm Review Process – the Harm Review process continues at the Trust following the issuing of the Section 65 letter from the CQC. 	
17/228	<p>Date of Next Meeting and advance notice of apologies</p> <p>Date: Thursday 25th January 2018</p> <p>Time: 0900hrs-1200hrs</p> <p>Venue: The Marshside Surgery, 117 Fylde Road, Southport PR9 9XP</p> <p>No advance notice of apologies received at this time.</p>	

APPROVED

S&F NHSE Joint Commissioning Committee APPROVED Minutes – Part 1

Date: Thursday 14th December 2017, 11.00am to 12.00pm
Venue: Merton House, Stanley Road, Bootle

Members		
Jan Leonard	S&F CCG Chief Redesign and Commissioning Officer (Vice Chair)	JL
Dr Rob Caudwell	S&F CCG Clinical Chair	RC
Dr Kati Scholtz	S&F CCG Clinical Vice Chair	KS
Alan Cummings	NHSE Senior Commissioning Manager	AC
Sharon Howard	NHSE Programme Manager General Practice Forward View	SH
Attendees:		
Maureen Kelly	Healthwatch Sefton	MK
Rebecca McCullough	SFCCG Head of Strategic Financial Planning	RMc
Pippa Rose	SFCCG Quality	PR
Minutes		
Clare Touhey	S&F CCG Senior Administrator	CT

Attendance Tracker

✓ = Present

A = Apologies

N = Non-attendance

Name	Membership	April 17	Jun 17	Aug 17	Oct 17	Dec 17
Members:						
Gill Brown	S&F CCG Lay Member (Chair)	✓	✓		✓	
Helen Nichols	S&F CCG Lay Member	N	N		N	N
Jan Leonard	S&F CCG Chief Redesign and Commissioning Officer	✓	✓		✓	✓
Dr Rob Caudwell	S&F CCG Clinical Chair	N	✓		N	✓
Dr Kati Scholtz	S&F CCG Clinical Vice Chair	✓	✓		✓	✓
Susanne Lynch	S&F CCG Head of Medicines Management	✓	A		A	A
Brendan Prescott	Deputy Chief Nurse and Quality Officer	A	N		A	A
Alan Cummings	NHSE Senior Commissioning Manager	?	?		A	✓
Attendees:						
Jan Hughes	NHSE Assistant Contract Manager	✓	A		✓	A
Sharon Howard	Programme Manager General Practice Forward View	✓	✓		A	✓
Angela Price	Primary Care Programme Lead	✓	✓		✓	A
Maureen Kelly	Healthwatch Sefton	A	A		A	✓
Dwayne Johnson	Sefton MBC Director of Social Services and Health	N	N		N	N
Joe Chattin	Sefton LMC	N	✓		N	N
Anne Downey	NHSE Finance	✓	N		N	N

No	Item	Action
SFNHSE 17/61	<p>Introductions and apologies</p> <p>Apologies were received as noted above.</p>	
SFNHSE 17/62	<p>Declarations of interest</p> <p>Committee members are reminded of their obligation to declare any interest they may have on any issues arising at committee meetings which might conflict with the business of Southport and Formby Clinical Commissioning Group.</p> <p>Declarations declared by members of the Committee are listed in the CCG's Register of Interests. The Register is available either via the secretary to the governing body or the CCG website.</p>	
SFNHSE 17/63	<p>Minutes of the previous meeting</p> <p>The minutes of the last meeting held on 11 October 2017 were agreed as an accurate record.</p>  <p>20171011 SFNHSE MINUTES Part 1 - App</p>	
SFNHSE 17/64	<p>Action points from the previous meeting</p> <p>The action tracker was discussed and updated.</p>	
SFNHSE 17/65	<p>Report from Operational Group & Decisions Made</p> <p>JL reported that the group had discussed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informal list closures • GPFV • Winter planning <p>No decisions had been made.</p>	
SFNHSE 17/66	<p>GPFV Operational Plan/ Primary Care Programme Report</p> <p>The report was discussed to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resilience funding – looking at next round of funding and how to plan earlier and take in to account any lessons learnt. • International recruitment – • Patient Partner & Envisage – this is being delivered and installation plan is in place. • Enhanced access to Primary Care – a draft specification is being worked on by AP/KS/LT. No decision have been made regarding procurement. • LQC Phase 4 – first meeting has taken place to discuss this. 	
SFNHSE 17/67	<p>Primary Care Workshop</p> <p>The Committee noted that that a workshop session had been held to look at how to develop a strategy to support Primary Medical Care. JL is scheduled to attend the locality meetings in 2018 across S&F to discuss how this is taken forward.</p>	

SFNHSE 17/68	<p>Delegation</p> <p>The Committee noted that initial discussions began at the last Wider Constituent Group held on 22 November 2017. Further discussions will take place with the Governing Body to enable a clear message regarding the pros and cons for the membership to vote upon. It was noted that the deadlines for delegation for October 2018 would mean application completed by April 2018.</p> <p>SH queried what concerns the practices have and discussions took place around concerns raised over funding. The benefits of having more financial control were discussed and it was acknowledged that the advantages of delegation need to be fully identified and communicated to the membership.</p>	
SFNHSE 17/69	<p>Key Issues Log</p> <p>The key issues report was discussed and updated.</p> <p>The Committee discussed the option of Southport & Formby CCG becoming fully delegated.</p>	
SFNHSE 17/70	<p>Any Other Business</p> <p>SH noted that NHSE are in receipt of an email from Healthwatch regarding the ordering of prescriptions for vulnerable patients following the changes to repeat prescribing. JL reported that these issues were raised in September following the report by Healthwatch that was completed in June. FLT has since sent a response and they have been resolved.</p>	
SFNHSE 17/71	<p>Date of next meeting</p> <p>TBC</p>	

HEALTHY LIVERPOOL PROGRAMME
HOSPITAL BASED SERVICES
COMMITTEE(S) IN COMMON
KNOWSLEY, LIVERPOOL, SOUTH SEFTON CCGS AND
SOUTHPORT & FORMBY CCGS
MEETING ROOM 1 LIVERPOOL CCG
FRIDAY 17TH NOVEMBER 2017

PRESENT:

Simon Bowers (SB)	Chair (in the Chair)	NHS Liverpool CCG
Jan Ledward (JLe)	Interim Chief Officer	NHS Liverpool CCG
Mark Bakewell (MB)	Acting Chief Finance Officer	NHS Liverpool CCG
Fiona Lemmens (FL)	Clinical Vice Chair	NHS Liverpool CCG
Chris Grant (CG)	Hospital Services Programme Director	NHS Liverpool CCG
Carole Hill (CH)	Healthy Liverpool Integrated Programme Director	NHS Liverpool CCG
Graham Morris (GM)	Deputy Chair	NHS South Sefton CCG
Iain Stoddart (IS)	Chief Finance Officer	NHS Knowsley CCG
Andrew Bibby (AB)	Assistant Regional Director of Specialist Commissioning	NHS England
Paula Jones	Committee Secretary/minute taker	NHS Liverpool CCG

APOLOGIES:

Fiona Taylor (FT)	Chief Officer	NHS South Sefton CCG/ NHS Southport & Formby CCG
Ian Moncur	Councillor/Health & Wellbeing Board Chair	Sefton Council
Dyanne Aspinall (DAsp)	Interim Director of Adult Health & Social Care	Liverpool City Council
Rob Caudwell (RC)	Chair	NHS Southport & Formby CCG
Dianne Johnson (DJ)	Chief Officer	NHS Knowsley CCG
Donal O'Donoghue (DOD)	Secondary Care Clinician	NHS Liverpool CCG

1.0	Welcome, Introductions and apologies:
1.1	Chair welcomed all to the meeting and introductions were made. The meeting was not quorate as there was no representative from Southport & Formby CCG. The plan was to go to consultation next year from the Joint Committee and we knew that the process would be challenged – we needed to ensure that the governance/decision making behind the consultations was correctly done with the right people involved from all CCGs concerned.
2.0	Declaration of Interest:
2.1	There were no declarations of interest made specific to the agenda.
3.0	Minutes & Actions of the previous meeting: 15TH SEPTEMBER 2017
3.1	The minutes of the 15 th September 2017 meeting were agreed as an accurate record of the meeting subject to the following amendments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ There needed to be a correction to the spelling of Iain Stoddart's first name.
3.2	There were no outstanding actions from the Committees in Common meeting of the 15 th September 2017.
4.0	Establishing a North Mersey Joint Committee – Draft Terms of Reference – Report No: CIC 05-17 – Jan Ledward
4.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DJ had reviewed the Terms of Reference which had in turn been reviewed by the Chief Officers of all the CCGs. A workshop was to be held to look at what the joint Committee was and was not, it would have delegated authority from the Governing Bodies to make decisions. LWH consultation process in full to be looked at and role of Joint Committee at workshop in December before potentially being on the agenda for a public meeting of the Joint Committee in January 2018. • The Terms of Reference needed to be taken to all Governing Bodies for approval. IS confirmed that Knowsley CCG Governing Body met on 7th December 2017 and then later in the month there was a clinical membership meeting. Indications were that the

Governing Body was supportive and had no major issues. For South Sefton CCG GM noted that the issue was around Sefton Metropolitan Council which saw it's role as scrutinising rather than proposing. The Committee discussed whether West Lancashire CCG would be an associate member (not included in the TOR).

- Individual CCG Governing Body membership on the Joint Committee to be decided by each CCG – however FT could not represent both South Sefton and Southport & Formby CCGs.
- JLe noted that this needed to reflect the STP footprint which could see West Lancs as a full member. . The Workplan for the Joint Committee needed to be attached to the Terms of Reference, CH had a first draft which she agreed to circulate . JLe agreed to write to Mike Maguire, Chief Officer at West Lancashire CCG, for his opinion.
- Re NHS England representation AB reminded the CIC that NHS England specialist commissioning was in the unusual position of being able to make commissioning decisions with groups of CCGs but could not make the same decision with each CCG. For this reason NHS England needed to be “in attendance” and would then need to convene their own internal committee to take the decision.
- FL referred to 6.1.1 of the draft terms of reference– it was agreed to remove the reference to each CFO either being a member or in attendance. JLe noted that if finance expertise was required a CFO could be co-opted.
- FL referred to the 6 month notice to withdraw clause section 13 – it was agreed to delete this reference as should anyone withdraw then the Joint Committee would no longer be valid.

Action Points:

- **Workshop on Joint Committee to be arranged in December 2017.**
- **CH to circulate the draft Workplan,**
- **JLe to contact West Lancashire CCG**
- **Remove reference to CFO of each CCG being a member**
- **Remove section 13 around withdrawal from Joint Committee.**
- **PJ to correct the numbering in the document.**

The Committees in Common:

- **Noted the amendments proposed to the Terms of Reference and proposed workshop to be held.**

5.0	<p>Orthopaedics Reconfiguration – post consultation update – Presentation – Chris Grant/Carole Hill</p> <p>5.1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recap on proposal: Unplanned/trauma surgery to be dealt with at Aintree Hospital , For ENT, all day case & elective activity would move from Broadgreen to Aintree, all planned surgery to be carried out at Broadgreen. High risk planned surgery to be done at Aintree. • Proposed changes were clinically driven. An Oversight Board had been set up and a feasibility study had been developed which had resulted in a clear proposal, based on appraising a number of options. There was a preferred option and the consultation had set out all options considered. • Format of consultation had been a mixture of face to face, Website and social media, Booklet, VCSE Engagement Partners, Public Events/ HealthWatch, Clinics and engagement with the workforce. The reach was 10,030 consultation booklets distributed, Aintree’s volunteer team had given out 2160 surveys and directly supported 306 people to complete the survey. Volunteers at the Royal gave out 907 surveys and directly supported 58 people to complete the survey. Online - 3,870 visits to the website, Facebook - 57,860 reach, Twitter - 94,204 impressions, Community Partner Engagement – approx. 600, 20 community meetings, 32 sessions with BME communities, 22 community clinics and 2 Healthwatch events. • Consultation Findings: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Overall, there were 2000 responses to the consultation ; 1757 received through a completed survey and 243 individuals involved in 19 focus groups. ✓ Do you think that the doctors have come up with the best plan (for orthopaedics)? 1,023 said yes, 207 said no and 489 did not know. ✓ Do you think that the doctors have come up with the best plan (for ENT)? 990 said yes, 162 said no and 559 did not know. There was more perception of impact on South Sefton & Knowsley patients re travel and access, less so in Liverpool. ✓ How might the changes affect you? 40% would have to travel further, 5% would have a shorter distance to travel, 48% would not be affected and 7% would be affected in another way. ✓ What would be the impact of travelling further to use services? The challenge was to mitigate any issues regarding access for
-----	---

surgery for people with disabilities, patients with Learning Disabilities and low income patients. Work was being done with Mersey Travel to triangulate travel between Aintree, Broadgreen and the Royal.

Next Steps:

- Approval by trust boards of the final feasibility study, incorporating consultation findings – November 2017.
- Outcome of capital bids from both trusts awaited.
- Further assurance on capacity and resilience of Aintree to manage all unplanned T&O.
- Decision to be taken by Liverpool, South Sefton and Knowsley Public Governing Bodies – December 2017/January 2018. (Knowsley CCG 7th December, Liverpool CCG 12th December, South Sefton CCG 11th January 2018).
- Joint OSC to consider consultation findings and mitigations – January 2018.

IS requested the dates of the trust boards the consultation results were going to. It was noted that the timescales were extremely tight.

Action Points:

- **CH to send IS dates of trust boards consultation results were going to for approval.**

The Committees in Common:

- **Noted the presentation.**

6.0 Review of Liverpool Women's Services update on the path to consultation - Presentation – Chris Grant/Carole Hill

6.1 CG made a presentation to the Committees in Common:

- To re-cap ; January 2017, a draft Pre-Consultation Business Case (PCBC) was published, setting out 4 potential solutions:
 1. Relocate women's and neonatal services to a new hospital building on the same site as the new Royal Liverpool Hospital (*the preferred option*)
 2. Relocate women's and neonatal services to a new hospital building on the same site as Alder Hey Children's Hospital
 3. Make major improvements to Liverpool Women's Hospital on the current Crown Street site
 4. Make smaller improvements to the current Crown Street site

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pause then required to have peer review carried out via the North East Clinical Senate. This led to clear recommendation from the Clinical Senate that the future was co-location <p>Next Steps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional assurance evidence submitted to NHSE and NHSI. NHSE assurance response expected on 22nd November following review by NHSE North RMT. • Clinical view is that there is now only one viable option to consult on: services to be delivered from a new hospital on the Royal Liverpool Hospital campus • It is lawful to consult on implementing that single option. However, we will need to clearly explain the rationale and to enable people to suggest alternative options, which will be given genuine consideration. • North Mersey OSCs (Liverpool, Knowsley and Sefton) have agreed that the proposal presented represents a substantial variation • Planning for a comprehensive and authentic consultation, with opportunities for meaningful dialogue between individuals or groups, based on a genuine exchange of views, with the objective of influencing the final decision. • To be discussed at the Workshop in early December 2017 in respect of the role and responsibilities of a Joint Committee. <p>FL updated that LWH had concerns about the consultation process being split by purdah. This would mean starting the consultation in June 2018 for 12 weeks therefore finishing in September 2018 with a decision announced in November 2018.</p> <p>The Committees in Common:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted the presentation and timescales.
7.0	<p>Any Other Business</p> <p>None</p>
8.0	<p>Date of next meeting</p> <p>Friday 8th December 2017, 12pm to 2pm Boardroom, Liverpool CCG – it was agreed that this meeting would be used for the purposes of the Workshop mentioned to discuss the function of the Joint Committee and LWH subject to confirmation of required full attendance.</p>

Action Point:

- **PJ to email out to ensure that senior representation was available for the workshop date o 8th December 2018.**